REPORT OF

The Court of Wards,

NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES,

FOR THE REVENUE YEAR 1890-91,

Ending the 30th September 1891.



1/202

ALLAHABAD:
NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES AND OUDH GOVERNMENT PRESS
1892.

Price Rs 2-0-0.

INDEX.

GENERAL REVIEW.

	Page.
Introductory	1
Number of estates under management during the year...	*ib.*
Estates released during the year	*ib.*
Narratives of estates released during the year.	
Landhaura estate, Saháranpur district	1—2
Belaun estate, Bulandshahr district	2
Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal's estate, Moradabad district	*ib.*
Rawatpur estate, Cawnpore district	2—3
Sarai Pitha estate, Allahabad district	3—4
Narratives of estates taken over during the year.	
Landhaura estate, Saháranpur district	4
Dadon estate, Aligarh district	*ib.*
Bhagwat Dial's estate, Farukhabad district ...	5
Bhairon Prasad and Jwala Prasad's estate, Etah district	*ib.*
Ghungchai estate, Pilibhít district	*ib.*
Janki Prasad's estate, Cawnpore district	*ib.*
Garauti estate, Bánda district	5—6
Classification of estates	6
Release of estates held solely with a view to clear off their liabilities	*ib.*
Extent of landed property of estates	*ib.*
Area and population of estates	*ib.*
Rental, revenue, cess, and patwári rate demand ...	7
Rental demand and collections and percentages of collections	7—9
Balances	9—10
Interest on Government securities	10
Other receipts of the year	11
Total income of the year	*ib.*
Expenditure.	
Government revenue, cesses and patwári rate ...	11—12
Owners' and occupiers' rates	12
Cost of management	*ib.*
Special scheme of management	12—13
Miscellaneous charges	13
Personal expenses of proprietors	13—14
Education and Wards' Institute	14
Improvements	15—17
Takávi advances	17
Repayment of loans	17—18
Court of Wards' loans	18
State loans	*ib.*
Investments	*ib.*
Closing balance	18—19
Notices of ejectment	19
Applications for enhancement of rent	19—20
Civil litigation	20
Conclusion	*ib.*

APPENDIX.

	Page.
A —Benevolent trusts and educational endowments ...	21

STATEMENTS.

No. I.—Estates under the superintendence of the Court of Wards	ii—xi
No. II.—Assets and liabilities of estates on 30th September 1891, exclusive of the value of landed property, stock and houses ...	xii—xv
No. III.—Rental demands, collections, and balances	xvi—xxiii
No. IV.—Excess of total available income over expenditure chargeable to profits ...	xxiv—xxxi
No. V.—Personal or other expenditure not chargeable to profits in Statement IV ...	xxxii—xxxv
No. VI.—List of improvements undertaken and wholly or partially completed ...	xxxvi—xxxviii
No. VII.—Debts paid off, exclusive of interest ...	xxxix—xli
No. VIII.—Present circumstances of the loans made to encumbered estates by the Government and the Court of Wards ...	xlii—xliii
No. IX.—Details of notices of ejectment ...	xliv
No. X.—Details of applications for enhancement of rent	*ib.*
No. XI.—Estates from which arrears of the Government current demands were due	xlv
No. XII.—Estates from which outstanding arrears of revenue were due	*ib.*
No. XIII.—Receipt and disbursements of benevolent trusts	xlvi—xlvii
No. XIV.—Recoveries of interest on Government securities belonging to benevolent trusts	xlviii
No. XV.—Assets and liabilities of benevolent trusts with their capitalized value of real property	xlix
No. XVI.—List of educational endowments ...	l—lix
No. XVII.—What portion of the amounts decreed in favour of the Court of Wards was realized or remitted, and also the sums outstanding on the 1st October 1891 ...	lx—lxi

ERRATA TO THE ANNUAL REPORT ON THE ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES UNDER THE COURT OF WARDS IN THE NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES FOR THE REVENUE YEAR ENDING 30TH SEPTEMBER 1891.

Page.	Particulars.	For—	Read—
16	In the third last line	captured	found
xliv.—Statement No. IX.	Against the Arjunpur estate in the Agra Division, in columns headed (1) "Area of land to which the notices referred" and (2) "Tenants relinquished their holdings," sub-column headed "Area."	A. r. p. 1 6 23	A. r. p. 1 3 23
Ditto ...	Against total of column headed "Area of land to which the notices referred."	A. r. p. 2,738 0 34	A. r. p. 2,737 1 34
Ditto ...	Against total of column headed "Tenants relinquished their holdings," sub-column headed "Area."	A. r. p. 911 1 8	A. r. p. 910 2 8

REPORT OF THE COURT OF WARDS, NORTH-WESTERN PROVINCES, FOR 1890-91.

No. $\frac{650N.}{X-22}$ of 1892.

From

F. L. PETRE, Esq., C.S.,

Joint Secy. to the Board of Revenue, N.-W. P. and Oudh,

To

The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT,

N.-W. Provinces and Oudh, Revenue Department.

Dated Naini Tal, the 11th June 1892.

Sir,

Introductory.

I am directed to submit, for the orders of His Honor the Lieutenant-Governor and Chief Commissioner, the annual report on the administration of estates held under the superintendence of the Court of Wards of the North-Western Provinces, for the revenue year ending 30th September 1891.

Present:
W. Kaye, Esq.,
Junior Member.

Appendix I.
Number of estates under management during the year.

2. Of the 107 estates under management at the close of 1889-90, 4 were released during the year and 6 new estates were taken over. Besides these, charge of one estate, Landhaura, in the Saháranpur district, was assumed, and it was again released within the year. The number under management at the close of the year under report was 109.

Estates released during the year.

3. The following were the estates released during the year:—

Serial number.	Name of estate.	District.	Clause of section 194, Act XIX of 1873, under which originally taken over.
3	Landhaura ...	Saháranpur ...	(*b*) Minors (by order of Civil Court).
14	Belaun ...	Bulandshahr ...	Ditto ditto.
51	Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal.	Moradabad ...	(*b*) Minors (by Court of Wards on its own motion).
60	Rawatpur ...	Cawnpore ...	(*g*) At time of release. Also held at various periods of management under (*a*) and (*b*) (see narrative).
85	Sarai Pitha ...	Allahabad ...	(*a*) Females deemed incompetent to manage their estates.

Landhaura estate, Saháranpur district.—This estate, consisting of shares in villages in the Saháranpur, Muzaffarnagar, and Umballa districts, was owned by Badri Das, who died leaving a widow, two adult and three minor sons. The property was then managed by Tariff Singh, one of the adult sons, who obtained a certificate of administration of the minors' property. This was, on the application of their mother, cancelled by the District Judge of Saháranpur, and that portion of the estate belonging to the minors lying in the North-Western Provinces was made over to the Collector of Saháranpur for management under Act XL of 1858 by orders dated 8th November 1889 and 16th January 1890. The Collector, however, did not formally assume charge of the property till the 10th February 1891 after he had received the orders of the Board to assume charge. The delay in reporting the matter was partly due to difficulty in obtaining information as to the age of the minors and other points, and partly to neglect to move the Judge to constrain the outgoing guardian, Tariff Singh,

to hand over his accounts and to obtain a formal discharge. Under the Judge's order of the 8th November 1889 it was incumbent on Tariff Singh to account to the Collector for all moneys received and disbursed and to make over to him all property belonging to the minors. After it had been brought to the notice of the Judge that Tariff Singh had not furnished accounts, and that the mother of the minors did not raise any objection to Tariff Singh continuing as guardian, he cancelled his order making over the property to the Collector, and directed the Collector to account to Tariff Singh for all moneys received and disbursed during the period the estate was under his management. The estate was released on the 22nd August 1891. During the six months the estate was under management Rs. 4,513 were collected and Rs. 2,836 expended, leaving a balance of Rs. 1,677. Of this Rs. 204 were made over to Tariff Singh on 7th September 1891, and the remainder on the 26th October 1891.

Belaun estate, Bulandshahr district.—This estate was placed under the charge of the Collector by an order of the Judge of Meerut, dated 23rd May 1879, under Act XL of 1858; but, owing to the illness of the former manager and the necessity for enquiry into the affairs of the estate, delay occurred and charge was not assumed till the 1st October 1879. It is owned by Aram Singh and consists of shares in two villages. The share in one of the villages which, on assumption of charge, was mortgaged for Rs. 1,800 has since been redeemed. Owing to increase in cultivation and judicious management the rental demand has risen from Rs. 1,441 to Rs. 1,930. On the date of release the estate had Rs. 10,000 invested in Government promissory notes and a cash balance of Rs. 903. The Court of Wards were arranging to invest the greater portion of the accumulations in the purchase of two shares adjoining those of the ward in mauza Belaun, but before the transaction could be completed the estate was released. The Collector has, however, promised to give the ward all the assistance he can in effecting the purchase of the shares in question. The lands of the estate are irrigated from a canal, and no money was therefore spent during management on sinking wells. Aram Singh is reported to have received a fair education in vernacular and to have acquired a sufficient insight into the affairs of his estate. He attained his majority on the 21st June 1891, and his estate was, under the authority of the District Judge of Meerut and the Board, released from the control of the Court of Wards on the 1st September 1891 in a satisfactory condition.

Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal's estate, Moradabad district.—This estate, consisting of four whole villages and shares in 20 villages in the Moradabad district, is owned by two brothers, Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal, and was, on the recommendation of the local officers, taken under the management of the Court of Wards under clause (*b*), section 194, Act XIX of 1873, with effect from the 15th February 1884. On the date of assumption of charge the debts due by the estate amounted to Rs. 2,488. This sum, together with a sum of Rs. 94 subsequently found due, was paid off by the year 1888-89. Great difficulty was experienced in realizing the old debts due to the estate, amounting to Rs. 25,943, which were for the most part merely book debts. Rs. 10,821 were, however, recovered during the Court's management. No assistance whatever was given by the family in realizing these debts, as they all along resented the Court's interference with their affairs. The rental of the estate remained stationary during the period it was under management. Nothing was laid out on works of improvement. This was due chiefly to the fact that all the available funds were required towards liquidating the debts due by the estate. Even after the debts had been paid off there were no funds available for improvements. As the elder ward, Kunj Behari Lal, attained his majority on the 7th April 1891, and there appeared to be no sufficient reason for keeping the younger ward's share under management, against the wish of the family, until he had attained his majority, the whole estate, with Rs. 202 in cash, was made over to Kunj Behari Lal on 1st May 1891.

Rawatpur estate, Cawnpore district.—This estate when taken under management in June 1873, consisted of 22 whole villages and shares in two others in pargana

Jajmau in the Cawnpore district. It belonged to Sheo Singh, who died on the 18th December 1872, four days after the death of his father, Randhir Singh. The widow of Sheo Singh, known as the Thakurain Baghelin, succeeded to the estate, and was declared incompetent to manage her estate under the orders conveyed in G. O. No. 474A., dated 29th March 1875. On the 23rd June 1876, the Thakurain, with the consent of the brotherhood, adopted Shiuratan Singh, a boy of eight and a near connection of the late Rawat. In October 1876 he was recorded as proprietor, and in the following month the Court of Wards formally assumed the superintendence of the estate as his property under clause (*b*), section 194, Act XIX of 1873. In 1888 a suit was brought by the Thakurain to recover possession of the estate of which she alleged herself to have been wrongfully dispossessed by the Court of Wards. Shiuratan Singh attained his majority in June 1889, but as the estate had become involved in litigation while he was a minor it was thought advisable that the litigation should be brought to an end under the supervision of the Court of Wards. Shiuratan Singh was accordingly declared, under clause (*g*), section 194, Act XIX of 1873, disqualified from managing his estate by G. O. No. $\frac{1206}{1-552A.}$, dated 14th August 1889. The suit instituted by the Thakurain Baghelin was decided by the Subordinate Judge of Cawnpore on the 24th August 1890 in favour of Shiuratan Singh, and the decision was appealed to the High Court by the lady. But before the appeal came on for hearing in the High Court the Legal Remembrancer reported that he and the counsel engaged by the Court of Wards and Shiuratan Singh to defend the appeal were of opinion that it could not be successfully defended, and they recommended a compromise on the best terms obtainable for Shiuratan Singh. They considered that the Court would hold the adoption of Shiuratan Singh by the Thakurain Baghelin invalid, because it was not made by her under permission given her by her late husband, and that the plea of estoppel would be of no avail, even against the Thakurain Baghelin, as the original transaction was invalid. Under this advice the only course open to the Court of Wards was to accept a compromise to the effect (1) that of the 28 villages composing the estate Shiuratan Singh should be declared absolute owner of six and the Thakurain Baghelin of twenty-two from the 15th March 1891; (2) that the accounts of the whole estate should be made up by the Court of Wards by the 15th June 1891; and (3) that the share of the estate falling to the Thakurain Baghelin should be made over to her on that date. The litigation having been brought to an end, and Shiuratan Singh being reported to be quite capable of managing his portion of the estate, it was unnecessary longer to retain him as a ward of Court, and the disqualification imposed on him was removed by G. O. No. $\frac{1283}{1-552A.}$, dated 8th June 1891. The shares in the estate allotted to Thakurain Baghelin and Shiuratan Singh were made over to them on the 15th June 1891 in accordance with the terms of the compromise. During the period of management four villages with an annual income of Rs. 13,067 were purchased at a cost of Rs. 1,06,000. The income of the estate during the period of management increased from Rs. 35,728 to Rs. 61,802. Excluding the income of the newly purchased villages, there was an increase in the income of Rs. 13,007 or 36 per cent., which is partly due to the improvements effected and partly to judicious management. Rupees 81,365 were spent on improvements and works of public utility, *viz.*, Rs. 43,557 on the construction of, and repairs to, wells; Rs. 15,551 on the construction of roads on the estate and in improving the town; and the balance on other improvements, such as drainage, arboriculture, agricultural improvements, and sanitation. Debts amounting to Rs. 7,910 were paid off, and there was due to the estate when charge was relinquished a sum of Rs. 11,072 secured by mortgages of property and Rs. 3,491 secured by decrees.

Sarai Pitha estate, Allahabad district.—This estate, which comprises two-third shares in thirty-three villages in pargana Kiwai in the Allahabad district, was the property of a widow, Ganesh Kuar. On the death of her husband in 1864 she enjoyed an income of Rs. 9,000 per annum, and in addition was in possession of a large sum in cash. In the course of ten years she, however, became so involved in debt that the

annual interest of her liabilities amounted to more than half her current income. The case was reported by the Collector of Allahabad in the beginning of 1875, and on the representation of the Board, His Honor the Lieutenant-Governor, in orders communicated in G. O. No. 290A., dated 13th February 1875, instructed the Court of Wards to assume superintendence of the estate. On enquiry it was found that the debts amounted to Rs. 39,926, of which Rs. 32,969 were secured by decrees and the remainder represented arrears of interest. In addition to this, Rs. 44,532 on account of interest accrued up to 31st March 1890. The whole of this debt has been liquidated. Before the estate, which had been freed from encumbrances, could be restored to Musammat Ganesh Kuar she died suddenly on the 16th April 1890, leaving no issue. The estate was made over on the 1st February 1891 under orders conveyed in G. O. No. $\frac{2131}{I-699A.}$, dated 24th December 1890, to Babu Randhir Singh, Mathura Prasad Singh, and Bijai Bahádur Singh, who had proved to the satisfaction of the Civil Court that they were the next of kin to the deceased lady and had obtained letters of administration. In consequence of pressure put on the tenantry by these persons, nothing was realized during the four months of the Court's management in 1890-91. The Government demand, cost of management, and other charges for this period were met from the cash balance in hand at the close of 1889-90. Rupees 223, the balance on 31st January 1891, were made over to the heirs and their receipt for the same taken. When the Court of Wards assumed charge of the estate its gross rental was Rs. 17,596, and it is satisfactory to record that by careful administration this has now been raised to Rs. 20,267, an increase of 15 per cent. The bulk of the surplus cash of the estate had to be devoted to the repayment of debts, and but little could be undertaken in the way of improvements. A sum of Rs. 488 was, however, spent in repairing houses, wells, and embankments. The estate was in a thoroughly flourishing condition on the date of its release, and Munshi Zain-ul-Abdin, Khan Bahádur, Special Manager, deserves credit for the results of management.

Estates taken under charge during the year.

4. The following estates were taken under management during the year :—

Serial number.	Name of estate.	District.	Clause of section 194, Act XIX of 1873, under which taken over.
3	Landhaura	Saháranpur ...	(*b*) Minors (by order of Civil Court).
17	Dadon	Aligarh ...	(*g*) Persons disqualified on their own application.
23	Bhagwat Dial	Farukhabad ...	(*d*) Lunatics.
35	Bhairon Prasad and Jwala Prasad.	Etah ...	(*b*) Minors (by Court of Wards on its own motion).
65	Ghungchai	Pilibhít ...	Ditto ditto.
72	Janki Prasad	Cawnpore ...	(*g*) Persons disqualified on their own application.
83	Garauti	Bánda ...	(*b*) Minors (by Court of Wards on its own motion).
			Ditto ditto.

Landhaura estate, Saháranpur district.—*Vide* narrative printed on pages 1 and 2.

Dadon estate, Aligarh district.—This estate, consisting of 54 whole villages and shares in six others in the Aligarh, Etah, and Budaun districts, and several indigo factories, is owned by Muhammad Hádi Yár Khán, and was taken under management under clause (*g*), section 194, Act XIX of 1873, under the authority conveyed in G. O. No. $\frac{1555}{I-807A.}$, dated 30th June 1891. The rental of the estate is estimated at Rs. 83,400, and the demand for land revenue, cesses, and patwári rate at Rs. 39,110. When charge of the estate was assumed on the 1st August 1891, the debts due by it amounted to Rs. 4,07,117, principal and interest. Of this sum Rs. 15,219 have been remitted by creditors and, to liquidate a portion of the balance which carried high rates of interest, a loan of Rs. 3,50,000 at 6 per cent. was taken from bankers of Jubbulpore on the security of the estate. It has been calculated that it will take about twelve years to clear the estate of its liabilities. The receipts for the two months of the year the estate was under management amounted to Rs. 3,51,702 (including the loan referred to above), and Rs. 3,25,935 were expended, leaving a balance of Rs. 25,766. The greater portion of this balance has, since the close of the year, been utilized in paying off debts.

Bhagwat Dial's estate, Farukhabad district.—This estate, consisting of shares in five villages, is owned by Bhagwat Dial, a lunatic, and was made over to the Collector for management under section 3, Act XXXV of 1858, by order of the District Judge, dated the 12th January 1891. Formal charge was, however, not assumed till the 25th May 1891. The Government demand for land revenue and cesses is reported to be Rs. 441, and the income is estimated at Rs. 786. The estate is deeply involved in debt to the amount of Rs. 3,296, and as the property is spread over a large area, the management is likely to give more trouble than the importance of the estate deserves.

Bhairon Prasad and Jwala Prasad's estate, Etah district.—This estate, consisting of two whole villages in the Etah district and shares in three others in the Mainpuri district, was owned by Banwâri Lál. He died early in the year, leaving two minor sons, Bhairon Prasad and Jwala Prasad, aged 8 years and 8 months and 2 years and 4 months, on 30th September last. There being no near relative fit to manage the estate, the Board, on the recommendation of the local officers, sanctioned the assumption of charge of the estate by the Court of Wards under clause (*b*), section 194, Act XIX of 1873. Charge was formally assumed on the 15th December 1890. The rental of the estate is Rs. 11,835, and the demand for revenue, cesses, and patwâri rate Rs. 5,565. The debts due to the estate on the 30th September last amounted to Rs. 37,841, and those due by it to Rs. 4,622. The elder ward, Bhairon Prasad, studies in the tahsíl school at Etah.

Ghungchai estate, Pilibhít district.—This estate was taken under the superintendence of the Court of Wards under clause (*b*), section 194, Act XIX of 1873, on the 1st August 1891, owing to there being no fit guardian to look after the interests of the minor proprietor, Sewa Singh. Sewa Singh, who is 13 years old, and his mother, Musammat Indal Kuar, are half sharers with the former's uncle in 21 villages in the Pilibhít district and 7 in the Sháhjahánpur district, and hold one-sixth share in 23 villages in the former district and in 8 villages in the latter. Since Musammat Indal Kuar's name is recorded along with that of her minor son as proprietor, it was thought desirable to bring her share in the estate also under the Court, and the sanction of Government was accordingly obtained in G. O. No. $\frac{2679}{1-879A.}$, dated 4th November 1891, to her disqualification, on her own application, under clause (*g*), section 194, from managing her share in the property. The present estimated income of the estate amounts to Rs. 10,497, and the Government demand to Rs. 5,680. There were no receipts or disbursements during the two months, August and September, that the estate was under management in 1890-91, as no rental instalments fell due. The debt, including interest, due from the estate amounts to Rs. 7,168. Under an arrangement since made the joint property has been divided between the wards and the uncle of the minor. This arrangement will much facilitate management of the Ward's Estate.

Janki Prasad's estate, Cawnpore district.—This estate, consisting of two villages and some house property, was taken under the management of the Court of Wards under clause (*b*), section 194, Act XIX of 1873. The Government demand for land revenue and cesses is Rs. 2,872, and the present estimated income of the estate is Rs. 5,894. The debts due by the estate are estimated at Rs. 22,900, and the debts due to it at Rs. 11,090. The minor ward, Janki Prasad, who was 13 years old on the 30th September 1891, is the recorded proprietor of the villages. He was adopted by Musammat Jethri Kuar, now deceased, the widow of the former owner of the estate, Lalu Mal Seth *alias* Sada Sukh, in accordance with the wishes of her husband. The minor's adoption and right of succession to the estate are disputed by Budhu Mal, a cousin of the deceased Lalu Mal, and the suit instituted by him to set aside the adoption is now pending before the High Court. There were no receipts or disbursements during the year as formal charge of the estate was not assumed till the 1st September 1891. The ward receives his education in the zila school, Cawnpore.

Garauti estate, Bánda district.—This small estate is owned by Baijnath, who was 10 years and 5 months old on the 30th September 1891. It is composed of two shares in two villages and was, on the recommendation of the local officers, taken under the Court of

Wards on the 29th November 1890, under clause (*b*), section 194, Act XIX of 1873, there being no male relative capable of taking charge of the property. The rental demand is Rs. 370, and the Government demand for land revenue, cesses, and patwári rate Rs. 226. The estate is not in debt and nothing is due to it. Arrangements are being made for the education of the minor.

APPENDIX I. Classification of estates.

5. The estates under the management of the Court of Wards are classified as follows :—

Clause of section 194, Act XIX of 1873.	Number of estates.
(*a*) Females deemed by the Local Government incompetent to manage their estates ...	12
(*b*) Minors ... { Estates made over by the Civil Court	38
(*b*) Minors ... { Estates taken over by the Court of Wards on its own motion	47
(*c*) Idiots	1
(*d*) Lunatics	4
(*e*) Persons otherwise rendered incapable by physical defects or infirmities from managing their estates	1
(*g*) Persons declared by the Local Government, on their own application, to be disqualified from managing their estates	28
Estate managed under section 11, Act XXXV of 1858	1
Encumbered estate managed by, but not under the jurisdiction of, the Court of Wards ...	1
Total ...	133

It will be observed that this number is in excess of the actual number of estates (114) under management, the reason being that several estates are held under more than one clause.

Release of estates held solely with a view to clear off their liabilities.

6. The policy laid down by Government of releasing estates held solely with the object to clear off their liabilities as soon as this object has been obtained has been kept in view during the year under report. Of this class only one estate, Sarai Pitha, in the Allahabad district, was released during the year, and another, Gambhira, also in the Allahabad district, was released after the close of the year. The Majhauli estate was cleared of its liabilities during the year, but is now managed on behalf of the minor grandson of the late Rája, whose death was reported to Government in the Board's No. $\frac{738}{X-51}$, dated 21st May 1891.

APPENDIX I. Extent of landed property of estates.

7. The subjoined table shows that the number of villages, shares and plots held under the Court of Wards has steadily declined since 1888-89 :—

Year.	Revenue-paying.			Revenue-free.		
	Whole villages.	Shares.	Plots of land (arázis).	Whole villages.	Shares.	Plots of land (arázis).
1888-89	1,430	1,640	4	5	72	7
1889-90	1,129	1,567	3	5	76	6
1890-91	1,145	1,356	...	5	61	6

APPENDIX I. Area and population of estates.

The area of the estates under the Court's management for these three years, with details of the irrigated and unirrigated cultivated area, and the population settled on the estates, are given in the following table, which shows an increase in area and population as compared with 1889-90, though a decrease as compared with 1888-89 :—

Year.	Total area.	Cultivated area.			Population.
		Irrigated.	Unirrigated.	Total.	
	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	
1888-89	814,649	170,331	294,255	464,586	1,028,053
1889-90	686,662	119,493	283,230	402,723	866,619
890-91	729,465	143,960	298,005	441,965	890,618

Rental, revenue, cess and patwári rate demand.

8. The rental demand compared with the Government demand for land revenue, cesses, and patwári rate for the past three years is shown in the subjoined table:—

Year.	Gross rental demand.	Government demand.			
		Land revenue.	Cesses.	Patwári rate.	Total.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1888-89	18,31,634	8,13,925	1,00,419	14,403	9,28,747
1889-90	15,40,061	6,87,887	85,096	28,340	8,01,323
1890-91	15,27,226	6,79,080	83,696	27,651	7,90,427

The falling off in the rental and revenue demands is chiefly due to the release of Sarju Prasad's estate with an income of over Rs. 1,20,000 in the previous year.

Excluding the estates that were not under management for the whole of the past two years, the following show considerable variations in the gross rental demand compared with that of the previous year:—

Increases.	Rs.	*Decreases.*	Rs.
Bhandura	1,050	Partáb Chand	2,940
Murári Lal	1,046	Majhauli	1,954
Sujrai Kurauli	1,360		
Anapur	1,990		
Brijnandan Das	1,014		
Sarai Mír	1,302		

The increase in Bhandura is due to extension of cultivation. In Murári Lal's estate the rents are paid partly in cash and partly in kind. There have been increases under both, that under the former being due to enhancements, and that under the latter to good harvests and high prices at the time of harvest.

The increase in the Sujrai Kurauli estate is chiefly due to enhancements, made after revision of settlement, in the Bulandshahr portion of the estate. That in the Anapur estate is due to the purchase of a portion of the ward's brother's estate which was sold by order of the Civil Court. The increase in Brijnandan Das' estate is said to be due to the leasing of sír cultivation which could not be carried on by the estate, and to the bringing of fallow land under the plough. The decrease of Rs. 2,940 in Partáb Chand's estate is partly accounted for by losses from diluvion. The decrease in the Majhauli estate is due to land having fallen out of cultivation owing to floods.

APPENDIX III. Rental demand and collections.

9. The following table shows, by Divisions, the progress of collections, as compared with the demand, and percentages borne by the collections, current and arrear, to the demand:—

Division.	Gross rental demand.	Demand at commencement of the year.			Collections.			Balance.	Advance collections on account of the following year.
		Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.		
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Meerut ...	1,56,008	1,55,181	16,254	1,71,435	1,46,568	7,533	1,54,101	17,334	...
Agra ...	1,61,459	1,60,885	17,436	1,78,321	1,46,551	4,409	1,50,960	27,361	47
Rohilkhand ...	3,61,626	3,57,401	32,891	3,90,292	3,41,779	12,195	3,53,974	86,318	2,862
Allahabad ...	4,67,659	4,62,485	32,469	4,94,954	4,22,735	10,993	4,33,728	61,226	53
Benares ...	96,056	94,924	12,653	1,07,577	85,139	3,097	88,236	19,341	...
Gorakhpur ...	2,84,418	2,81,995	32,743	3,14,738	2,31,489	17,149	2 48,638	66,100	...
Total ...	15,27,226	15,12,871	1,44,446	16,57,317	13,74,261	55,376	14,29,637	2,27,680	2,962

Division.	Percentages of collection to the demand.					
	1889-90.			1890-91.		
	Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.
Meerut	92	40	88	94	46	90
Agra	91	31	85	91	25	85
Rohilkhand	94	36	86	96	37	91
Allahabad	95	30	91	91	34	88
Benares	93	31	86	90	24	82
Gorakhpur	78	41	73	82	52	79
Total ...	90	36	85	91	38	86

The following explanations seem called for :—

Meerut Division.—Shows improvement under both heads of collection. The current collections would have been 95 per cent. but for the Kunjpura estate which is managed by the Panjáb Court of Wards.

Bhandura estate.—Short collections are ascribed to a poor rabi, the effects of which were only partially remedied by a good outturn of sugarcane.

Bidauli.—Excessive rains and floods, injuring crops, caused small collections. The estate is in a poor tract and has poor tenants. The Collector thinks the present rents can scarcely be maintained, though they were accepted at the recent settlement as fair and the revenue was enhanced in consequence. He is making special inquiry on this subject.

Agra Division.—The percentage of current and of total collections remained the same, but there was a decrease in arrear collections.

Arjunpur.—Poor collections are attributed to a bad kharíf harvest. in the Farukhabad portion of the estate. The Collector, however, is not satisfied that the management in that district has hitherto been all that could be desired and has promised to enquire into it.

Sujrai Kurauli.—Collections were retarded by partial failure of the kharíf in Mainpuri. The rabi was also damaged by frost in Mainpuri and by hail in Cawnpore.

Musammat Jasodha Kuar's estate and Roshangpur.—Poor collections here also are attributed to bad harvests. The tenantry of Roshangpur are described as of a poor class and have to be largely assisted with takávi.

Chet Singh's estate.—Of the balance Rs. 1,149 have been remitted since the close of the year.

Parshadi Lal's estate.—Suits had to be instituted against certain defaulters. Hence delay in collection.

As directed by Government in para. 6 of their review of last year's report, the rentals of estates in the Agra Division have been specially examined with a view to ascertaining whether reductions of rent were required in any villages which have not already come within the scope of proposals for revision in consequence of flooding. In the following cases only was any action found to be necessary :—

Sujrai Kurauli.—Rental of one village has been reduced by Rs. 141 from the 1st July 1892.

Harchandpur and Roshangpur.—Rentals have been slightly reduced in two villages of the former and three of the latter, which had suffered from excessive rainfall in the past six years.

Arjunpur estate.—The Collector of Farukhabad sees no reason at present to suppose rents are excessive, in the portion of the estate in his district, and he has heard no complaints ; but he promises further inquiry in the rains.

Bhagwat Dial's estate.—The Collector doubts the necessity of reductions, which are very difficult to make, as the estate is composed of fractional shares and action can only be taken with the consent of other co-sharers.

Rohilkhand Division.—Both current and arrear collections show an improvement of 2 and 1 per cent. respectively. Total collections have increased 5 per cent.

Collections, it is reported, would have been better but for damage to kharíf crops in several estates from floods.

Allahabad Division.—Current collections fell by 4 per cent., whilst arrear collections rose by the same percentage. Total collections fell 3 per cent., but, excluding the Rawatpur and Sarai Pitha estates, which were under management only for a portion of the year, the percentage would be the same as last year.

Bhamba and Kurhai estates in the Bánda district show a falling off, due presumably to káns grass and unfavourable seasons.

Sultan Husain and Musammat Shams-un-nisa's estate.—Short collections are attributed to a turbulent tenantry, consisting of Pathán ex-proprietors, who habitually default.

Dya Chakwa.—A similar cause is assigned. The Collector states that collections are impeded by the sharers in possession, under whom, as well as under the Court of Wards, the tenants hold.

Bára.—Injury from hail and poor seasons are the cause assigned for indifferent collections. The Collector explains that much of the Bára pargana is, from its rocky and uneven nature, not susceptible of improvement in the matter of irrigation, and that the tenants, being indolent, do not fully utilize even the existing sources of irrigation. The collections on this portion of the estate are therefore largely dependent on a seasonable rainfall, and in the year under report it was far from satisfactory.

Benares Division.—Both current and arrear collections fell off, and this is accounted for by the following estates chiefly :—

Batuk Prasad.—Short collections partly due to poor agricultural prospects and partly to insufficient establishment.

Anapur.—Causes assigned are poor harvests, both rabi and kharíf, and damage to the former by hail in the Allahabad portion of the estate. The tenants were much reduced in circumstances, and stringent measures for recovery from them were not advisable. The Board had sanctioned a sum of Rs. 2,000 to start relief works for them, but these were fortunately rendered unnecessary by the fall of rain in August.

Gorakhpur Division.—Shows an increase of 6 per cent. in collections, but they are still the worst in the Provinces. The poor results are accounted for chiefly by the Majhauli and Narharpur estates.

Majhauli.—Abnormal rainfall and inundations so severe as almost to have caused a famine in the Sáran district (where a portion of the estate lies) and in tahsíls Deoria and Bánsgaon are the causes to which the want of success in collections is attributed. Delay on the part of the *abádkárs* in paying up their rents is another cause assigned.

Narharpur and Bánsgaon.—The same causes are alleged as in Majhauli, and the Collector congratulates himself on having been able to collect even the amount of the Government demand, which was not found possible in some other villages affected by the floods.

Birjnandan Das' estate.—Similar remarks apply to a certain extent, but something is also due to default by the lessees of 7 villages in Basti, which have since been taken under direct management. The bulk of this estate being in the Maharájganj tahsíl, it did not suffer much from the inundations of 1890.

Sarai Mir.—Arrears are chiefly due from tenants in the Jaunpur portion of this estate. The Collector of Jaunpur attributes much to the neglect of the sazáwal, whose work should have been more carefully supervised.

10. The balances aggregating Rs. 2,27,680 are classified by Divisions as follows:— APPENDIX III. Balances.

Division.	Balance.			Percentage of total arrears to gross rental.
	Current.	Arrears.	Total.	
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Meerut	8,613	8,721	17,334	10
Agra	14,334	13,027	27,361	15
Rohilkhand	15,622	20,696	36,318	9
Allahabad	39,750	21,476	61,226	12
Benares	9,785	9,556	19,341	18
Gorakhpur	50,506	15,594	66,100	21
Total ...	1,38,610	89,070	2,27,680	14

The causes of current balances have already been explained in dealing with the reasons for short collections. Rohilkhand and Meerut Divisions come out best in the return.

In Agra the arrears are largely due to the same causes as have rendered necessary extensive revision of settlement. In Benares poor results are due to the agricultural depression which has prevailed of late years in the eastern districts. In Gorakhpur excessive rain and floods in several successive years have rendered full collection impossible.

APPENDIX IV. Interest on Government securities.

11. The interest realized from investments in Government securities has fallen from Rs. 31,579 in the previous year to Rs. 24,048. The decrease is mainly due to the sale in 1889-90 of securities to the value of two lakhs belonging to the estate of Begam Mashuk Mahal in Bareilly, in order to advance a loan to the Benares Municipality. The effects of this sale were only felt in the year under report, as explained in para. 14 of last year's report. The effects of a sale of one lakh worth of promissory notes by Partáb Chand's estate were shown last year. The result of the loan to the Benares Municipality made by the Mashuk Mahal estate, at the desire of Government, has been a heavy loss to that estate. The loss on re-investment in Government Promissory notes due merely to rise in premium since the securities were sold will amount to over Rs. 9,000. In addition to this there are the costs of selling and buying and the interest on the money between repayment to the estate and re-investment, which was delayed in hope of a fall in Government securities. Against these losses can only be set off a slight increase in the rate of interest whilst the money was in the hands of the Municipality.

The following changes in investments were made during the year under report:—

Estate.	Government securities.		Remarks.
	Bought.	Sold or transferred.	
	Rs.	Rs.	
Hapur	...	6,000	Sold out to provide funds for investment in land.
Sakarwan	...	5,500	
Anapur	...	68,000	
Durga Prasad ...	...	1,000	Sold to provide funds for repairs to ward's house.
Chaumukha ...	...	3,000	Sold in order to make over to Nandan Chaube (whose share was released) his share of invested funds.
Dumri	...	78,000	Transferred to Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar, where the headquarters of the estate are.
Bidauli	3,000		
Bhandura	7,000		
Karam Ali Khan ...	9,000		
Daurala	500		
Kithauli	500		
Parna	5,000		
Abdul Majid Khan ...	2,000		
Begam Mashuk Mahál ...	40,900		
Srigopal	1,500		
Madhopur	50,000		
Sahanpur	25,000		
Kazi Imdad Ali ...	200		
Sheikhupur	1,000		
Budh Prakash ...	4,000		
Chirgaon	1,300		
Kukargaon	2,500		
Dhagwan Khurd No. 1 ...	1,500		
Chaumukha	600		
Bánsgaon	6,000		
Total ...	1,61,500	1,61,500	

Excluding the securities (value Rs. 10,000) belonging to the Belaun estate, which has been released, the amount held in Government securities at the close of the year was Rs. 6,28,300.

12. The following are the details of the other receipts of the year :— APPENDIX IV. Other receipts of the year

	Rs.
Court of Wards and other loans	3,90,100
Debts recovered	3,98,541
Takávi advances recovered	10,782
Rent of houses	11,522
Occupiers' rate	53,622
Patwáris' cess	13,164
Sale proceeds of lands and houses	8,275
Ditto Government securities	89,385
Miscellaneous receipts	1,46,741
Total ...	11,22,132

The large receipts under "Court of Wards and other loans" include a loan of Rs. 3,50,000 taken from Jubbulpore Bankers for the Dadon estate in the Aligarh district. The increase in the receipts under "Debts recovered" compared with the figures for the previous year is mainly due to the repayment by the Benares Municipality of the 3 lakhs of rupees advanced to them for water-works projects by Partáb Chand's estate in the Allahabad district and Begam Mushuk Mahal's estate in the Bareilly district in 1889-90.

13 The gross receipts from all sources amounted to Rs. 25,78,779, which, with a cash balance of Rs. 4,67,952 in hand from the previous year, made up a sum of Rs. 30,46,731 as the income available for expenditure during the year. APPENDIX IV. Total income of the year.

14. The first item calling for notice on the expenditure side of the accounts is the "Government demand for land revenue," under which Rs. 6,79,080 were paid. Rupees 83,696 and Rs. 27,651 were also paid on account of local cesses and patwári rate respectively. The percentage of land revenue to the rental receipts of revenue-paying lands shown in column 19 of statement IV is 48, the same figure as in the past two years. The percentage of land revenue to rental receipts is high in the following estates :— APPENDIX IV. Government revenue, cesses and patwári rate.

Dadon (Aligarh)	108
Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal (Moradabad)	67
Dhukri (Sháhjahánpur)	66
Darwapur (Cawnpore)	68
Bhamba (Bánda)	81
Singhpur (Do.)	110
Baghel (Do.)	78
Karim-ud-dinpur (Gházipur)	66

The high percentage of 108 in the Dadon estate is due to the fact that th demand shown in column 19 of statement IV is for two months only, while the revenu paid was the actual amount due to Government when the estate was taken under management. The percentage of revenue to rental receipts according to estimated figures is 46. The high percentage (67) in Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal's estate is due to the fact that the instalment of revenue payable for the first half-year is two-thirds of the whole demand, while the rental demand for the half-year, was only half. In this estate the percentage for the whole year is only 43. In the Dhukri estate the high percentage (66) is due to short collections. The actual revenue demand is 60 per cent. of the full rental. The high percentage (68) in the Darwapur estate is due to a decrease in the rental demand, owing to land having fallen out of cultivation. The percentage of revenue to rental receipts in the Bhamba estate has fallen from 88 in the previous year to 81 in the year of report. This is one of the *kán* affected estates, and the decrease is due to the fact that the revenue demand of one of the villages of the estate was reduced during the year from Rs. 230 to Rs. 160 with retrospective effect from 1296 fasli. Further improvement has also taken place in the Singhpur estate, the percentage of revenue to rental receipts having fallen from 156 and 124 in the two previous years to 110 in the year of report. This estate derives a large portion of its rental from revenue-free lands, and the land revenue demand amounts to

only 42 per cent. of the full current rental of the estate, including the rental of revenue-free lands. In the Baghel estate the percentage fell from 80 in 1888-89 to 76 in 1889-90. This year it has risen to 78. Proposals for the revision of the revenue demand of this estate are under consideration. As regards the high percentage (66) in the Karim-ud-dinpur estate it was explained in the report for 1888-89 that the revenue was fixed at the permanent settlement.

APPENDICES XI AND XII.

15. Rupees 5,017 of the current revenue demand and Rs. 4,131 of the demand for past years remained due at the close of the year. Of the total Rs. 4,988 are due by Chet Singh's estate in the Etah district. Of this sum Rs. 4,611 are held under suspension and the balance could not be paid for want of funds. Of the remainder Rs. 2,343 are due by the Bára estate in the Allahabad district and are held under suspension owing to injury caused to crops by hail.

APPENDIX IV. Owners' and occupiers' rates.

16. The sums of Rs. 5,571 and Rs. 60,950 paid to Government on account of owners' and occupiers' rates call for no remarks. Of the occupiers' rate Rs. 53,622 were realized from the tenants, leaving a balance of Rs. 7,328 still due.

APPENDIX IV. Cost of management.

17. The management charges of the year amounted to Rs. 1,28,725, which falls at 6 per. cent., against 7 per cent. in the previous year, of the receipts shown in columns 7 to 14 and 17 of statement IV. As explained in the reports for the two previous years, it has been found impracticable to reduce the cost of management in the following small estates :—

	Percentage of cost of management to receipts.
Nagla Kisi (Etah)	16
Nagla Amín (Do.)	14
Beona (Jalaun)	13
Fida Husain (Basti)	15

In the Dadon estate the high percentage (38) is due to the fact that the full establishment had to be entertained while there was only a small portion of the demand left to be realized during the year. The high percentage of cost of management in the following estates is due to short collections :—

	Percentage of cost of management to receipts.
Chet Singh (Etah)	17
Bamrauli (Sháhjahánpur)	12
Zafar Ali Khan (Ditto)	12
Khirya Rasúlpur (Ditto)	16
Narhappur (Gorakhpur)	12

The high percentage (17) in Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal's estate in the Moradabad district is due to short collections owing to the release of the estate during the year.

Special scheme of management.

The special scheme of management was in force in the following districts during the whole year :—

Sháhjahánpur, Allahabad, | Gházipur, Gorakhpur.

The special scheme in the Cawnpore district was abolished from the 15th June last owing to the release of the Rawatpur estate.

The income, cost of management, and the percentage of cost to income for the above districts are shown in the following table :—

District.	Income for 1890-91, exclusive of opening balance, receipts from loans, and sale proceeds of land and houses and Government securities.	Cost of special management.	Percentage of cost of special management.	Remarks.
	Rs.	Rs.		
Sháhjahánpur ...	1,12,747	8,619	7·6	* These figures relate to Court of Wards' estates alone. Estates managed by the Special Manager under sections 323 and 326 of the Civil Procedure Code and State properties also pay their quota of the cost of special management.
Allahabad	4,97,852	*23,262	4·7	
Gházipur	65,799	*4,477	6·8	
Gorakhpur	2,65,307	18,721	7·0	

The pay of the Special Manager in the Sháhjahánpur district has been reduced from Rs. 150 to Rs. 100, and as this pay is much lower than that contemplated by the scheme of special management this district will not be shown as under the special scheme in future reports.

Except in Sháhjahánpur, the special management has continued to work satisfactorily. The results in Allahabad were particularly good.

On the recommendation of the Commissioner and Collector the special scheme of management has been introduced into the Bareilly district with effect from the 1st October last, and the result of its working will be noticed in next year's report.

18. The miscellaneous charges of the year amounted to Rs. 2,43,709 against Rs. 2,81,965 in the previous year. The largest expenditure under this head was in the following estates :— APPENDIX IV. Miscellaneous charges.

	Rs.
Madhopur (Bareilly)	34,414
Partáb Chand (Allahabad)	10,663
Bára (Ditto)	20,580
Majhauli (Gorakhpur)	13,553
Dumri (Ditto)	17,039

The large expenditure in the Madhopur estate is due to the satisfaction, under legal advice, of a claim to Rs. 25,000 endowed by the late proprietor for charitable purposes; while that in Partáb Chand's estate is due to the payment to the Accountant-General of Rs. 5,025, being the balance of commission and other charges in connection with the purchase of Government securities in the previous year, and to the payment of Rs. 2,059 on account of law expenses. The heavy expenditure in the Bára estate includes a large item of Rs. 16,460 paid to Partáb Chand's estate on account of interest. In the Majhauli estate Rs. 5,812 were paid on account of law expenses owing to the fact that a large number of suits for arrears of rents had to be filed to protect balances from being barred by limitation. The cost of mutation of names consequent on the Rája's death also helped to swell the expenditure. The large expenditure in the Dumri estate is due to a sum of Rs. 15,000 having been remitted to the Deputy Commissioner of Amritsar where the headquarters of the estate are situated, and to a loan of Rs. 2,250 having been advanced to a private individual on a usufructuary mortgage of his estate.

19. The ordinary and extraordinary personal expenses amounted to Rs. 1,49,546 and Rs. 29,869 against Rs. 1,39,148 and Rs. 44,155 respectively in the previous year. The following estates account for the increase under the former head :— APPENDIX V. Personal expenses of proprietors.

	Increase. Rs.
Sujrai Karauli (Mainpuri)...	2,267
Madhopur (Bareilly)	5,848
Rámpur (Moradabad)	2,499
Partáb Chand (Allahabad)...	2,684

The increase in the Madhopur and Rámpur estates is due to the fact that the allowances paid in the previous year were only for a portion of the year; that in the Sujrai Karauli estate is due chiefly to an allowance of Rs. 350 per mensem having been granted to the ward and his wife after the former left the Wards' Institute and went to reside on his estate; while that in Partáb Chand's estate is due chiefly to the allowance of the ward having been increased from Rs. 3,370 to Rs. 5,680 per annum. Under personal expenses, extraordinary, the expenditure was largest in the following estates :—

	Rs.
Karam Ali Khan (Meerut)...	4,700
Hápur (Do.)	1,000
Sujrai Karauli (Mainpuri)...	1,236
Madhopur (Bareilly)	1,164
Nahal (Sháhjahánpur)	2,100
Partáb Chand (Allahabad)...	3,672
Majhauli (Gorakhpur)	7,360

In Karam Ali Khan's estate Rs. 4,000 were spent in connection with the marriage arrangements of the ward. The item in the Hapur estate includes a sum of Rs. 500

spent on presents made to the ward's nephew on the occasion of his wedding, and Rs. 500 to meet the expenses in connection with the accouchement ceremony of the ward's wife. In the Sujrai Karauli estate Rs. 500 were spent on the occasion of the birth of a son and heir to the ward, Rs. 250 on gold bangles for the heir, and Rs. 350 on a horse for the ward. In Madhopur Rs. 1,000 were spent on the funeral ceremony of Musammat Zainab Begam. Of the sum of Rs. 2,100 spent in the Nahal estate, Rs. 1,850 were paid for an elephant for the use of the ward, Rao Dal Singh, and Rs. 250 were on account of expenses incurred by the ward in attending the Viceregal Darbár held at Agra in November 1890. The item in Partáb Chand's estate includes a sum of Rs. 3,000 spent on the purchase of a pair of horses and a landau for the ward. In Majhauli Rs. 5,800 were spent on the funeral obsequies of the late Rája, and Rs. 1,500 on the *barkhi* ceremony of the late Rája's deceased son.

APPENDIX V. Education and Wards' Institute.

20. The charges for education fell from Rs. 24,091 in 1889-90 to Rs. 17,921 in the year under report. The Karam Ali Khan, Hapur, and Ram Saran Das' estates in the Meerut district and the Sujrai Karauli estate in the Mainpuri district chiefly account for this falling off. The following decreases are due to withdrawal of wards from the Institute.

	Rs.
Karam Ali Khan	1,577
Hapur	1,808
Ram Saran Das	886
Sujrai Karauli	963

The following wards, being too old for school, are now being initiated into the management of their estates:—

Khurshed Ali Khan, Karam Ali Khan's estate.
Harkesh, Daraula estate.
Saiyid Muhammad Mohsin, Hapur estate.
Banarsi Das, Ram Saran Das' estate.
Raghubar Singh, Roshangpur estate.
Chaudhri Batuk Prasad, Batuk Prasad's estate.

The desire of the Government of India that wards whose education has been completed should receive some practical instruction in agriculture and in horse-breeding and management has not been lost sight of, but so far it has not been and practicable to send any ward for a course of such training.

The general charges for superintendence, establishment, &c., of the Wards' Institute for the year amounted to Rs. 7,685, and the private expenses of the wards to Rs. 5,548, against Rs. 6,720 and Rs. 5,011 respectively in the previous year.

The Institute was closed with effect from the 1st November last under the orders conveyed in G. O. No. $\frac{1263}{1-506}$, dated 8th June 1891, the number of wards for whom education at the Institute was desirable being too small to admit of its being successfully worked.

An offer of Rs. 14,000 for the Institute buildings from Rája Jai Kishen Das, C.S.I., has, since the close of the year, been accepted with the sanction of Government (G.O. No. $\frac{1268}{1-506}$, dated 26th May 1892).

The following is a list of the wards who were in the Institute for the whole or a portion of the year:—

District.	Name of estate.	Name of ward.	Remarks.
Meerut ...	Karam Ali Khan ...	Khurshed Ali Khan	Left the Institute on 24th March 1891.
	Ram Saran Das ...	Lala Banarsi Das	Left the Institute on 4th April 1891.
		Lala Narain Das	These wards were in residence up to the date of the closing of the Institute.
Bareilly ...	Begam Mashuk Mahál	Muhammad Husain Khan ...	
	Madhopur ...	Sheikh Aziz Ahmad	
Gorakhpur ...	Bánsgaon ...	Babu Dalip Narain Singh ...	
Hardoi (Oudh) ...	Khajurahra ...	Thakur Shankar Bakhsh ...	
Pubraich (Do.) ...	Rehwa ...	Thakur Bijai Bahádur Singh ...	
Partábgarh (Do.) ...	Dahyawan ...	Babu Randhir Singh ...	

The usual manuscript report on the working of the Institute and the progress made by each ward accompanies this report.

21. A total sum of Rs. 36,636 against Rs. 24,208 in the previous year was spent on improvements. Details of the works carried out are given in statement VI. Of this amount Rs. 19,482 were spent on the construction of, and repairs to, wells. The largest expenditure occurred in the following estates :— APPENDIX VI. Improvements.

	Rs.
Partáb Chand (Allahabad)	5,869
Sahanpur (Bijnor)	4,353
Bhandura (Muzaffarnagar)	4,141
Karam Ali Khan (Meerut)	3,823
Dumri (Gorakhpur)	3,734
Mohreni (Aligarh)	2,220
Anapur (Gházipur)	1,884
Majhauli (Gorakhpur)	1,499

Nothing was spent on works of improvement in the Kunjpura estate (Saháranpur), which, as already stated, is under the management of the Panjáb Court of Wards. Both the Financial Commissioner, Panjáb, and the Deputy Commissioner of Karnál have been addressed on the subject and invited to make arrangements for expenditure on improvements. In the Bhandura estate (Muzaffarnagar) Rs. 4,141 were spent against a budget allotment of Rs. 3,100. The item of Rs. 1,574 shown in statement VI as spent on miscellaneous works includes Rs. 550 spent on the construction of a house in Muzaffarnagar and Rs. 495 in filling up hollows and tanks. A sum of Rs. 10,000 has been provided for improvements during the current year. In the Hapur estate (Meerut) Rs. 299 were spent on completing the well in mauza Chuchai, which has cost a total sum of Rs. 2,099. This well has been an expensive work owing to unexpected difficulties due to the sub-soil to a great depth not being suitable for foundations. The canal having now been extended to this, the only unirrigated village of this estate, further well construction will be unnecessary. Out of a total allotment of Rs. 1,520 only Rs. 718 or less than half was spent in Ram Saran Das' estate (Meerut). This is due to the fact that a sum of Rs. 800 provided for the Rithani factory was not utilized owing to the indigo crop having been damaged by excessive rains and to the factory not having been worked during the year. The Collector reported that he would give the subject of further improving some of the backward villages of the estate his careful attention during his cold weather tour. It is satisfactory to note that Rs. 3,823 were spent in Karam Ali Khan's estate (Meerut) during the year against Rs. 503 in the previous year. In the Mohreni estate Rs. 2,200 were spent on the construction of wells against Rs. 1,750 in the previous year.

Nothing was spent on improvements in the Parna estate (Agra), which has since the close of the year been released. In the Sujrai Karauli estate (Mainpuri) no improvements of any importance were carried out during the year. The Board have lately sanctioned an expenditure of Rs. 3,770 on the construction of wells, and it is proposed to lay out a sum of Rs. 10,000 for re-building the wards house and thoroughly repairing all the buildings attached to it.

In Begam Mashuk Mahál's estate (Bareilly) only Rs. 300 were spent, and this sum represents charges on account of repairs, &c., to sugar mills which were let out on hire and brought in an income of Rs. 1,450. This estate formed the subject of adverse comment by Government in para. 10 of their review last year, and it is to be regretted that again practically nothing should have been done on so large an estate. The Collector (Mr. Connell) reports that he himself inspected most of the villages of the estate, taking careful notes of possible improvements. Before proceeding on three months' leave he had given instructions to the manager for carrying out these improvements; but the latter neglected to do so, and Mr. Connell, on his return, found that little or nothing had been done. The manager has since been removed as inefficient. The Board trust that the Collector, with the assistance of the new

manager, will be able this year to show much better results both in this and in the Madhopur estate, where, for similar reasons, only Rs. 808 were spent on improvements. In the Sahanpur estate (Bijnor) Rs. 4,353 were spent against Rs. 2,520 in the previous year. Over Rs. 10,000 have been provided for expenditure during the current year. It will be observed that only Rs. 310 in one estate were spent in improvements in the Sháhjahánpur district. The Collector explains that the late manager was entrusted with the duty of carrying out the works provided for in the budgets of the several estates. He entirely neglected this duty. The Collector's explanation is not satisfactory, and the Board have pointed to him that it was his duty to supervise the manager's work and to make sure that important matters of this sort are not neglected.

Owing to the Arampur Bushi estate (Fatehpur) being engaged in heavy litigation, no improvements could be undertaken during the year. In Partáb Chand's estate (Allahabad) Rs. 5,869 were spent against Rs. 3,119 in the previous year. The increase is due to a comparatively large expenditure on new wells and tanks. Endeavours were made to carry out as many improvements as possible during the year, previous to the release of the estate in February last. The Bára estate (Allahabad) is heavily involved and not much can be afforded for improvements. Rupees 974 were, however, spent on the construction and repair of *bandhs*, and efforts have also been made to assist the tenants with advances of money and grain, but they are reported to be generally indolent and wanting in self-help.

In the Anapur estate Rs. 1,834 were spent and Rs. 2,000 sanctioned for relief works, which fortunately, as already stated, were eventually rendered unnecessary by the long delayed rain which fell in August last.

The Dumri estate spent Rs. 3,734. This estate, which has now been released, has spent over a lakh and a quarter on improvements since charge was assumed in 1882.

The subject of improvements receives special attention from the Board in dealing with the budgets of the various estates, and where the allotment appears insufficient, considering the circumstances of the estate, further proposals are invariably called for and a transfer of funds made to meet them when received. In many estates it is not possible to spend much on improvements, owing to heavy debts, to the estate consisting of scattered shares, and to other causes. Where such causes do not militate against expenditure on improvements the Board will continue to impress upon Collectors the necessity for liberal allotments and for working up to those allotments.

22. The reports show that Sánsiahs were settled on only three estates in the whole Province, *viz.*, Sahanpur (Bijnor), Bára (Allahabad), and Anapur (Gházipur). In Sahanpur three families of Sánsiahs were located near the forest. They were all engaged in cultivation and are said to be doing well. The Collector states that he has frequently seen them, and that they are constantly watched by the manager. Rupees 100 were advanced to them as takávi, and the Collector hopes to recover this sum from them by degrees.

The Collector of Allahabad reports that of the 78 Sánsiahs sent to his district up to the close of October 1890, a batch of 49 was allotted to the Bára estate. They were located close to the Bára police station and placed under the surveillance of the police. Thatched huts were built at the expense of the estate for their accommodation. In December 1890 four Sánsiahs were sent to Sultánpur, where the rest of their family were, in accordance with the suggestion of the Superintendent of the Reformatory in that district: the rest remained in the Bára estate. In spite of the surveillance of the police 44 of them on three different occasions ran away. Of these a few were captured within the district and a few in Fatehpur. Of the others no trace has yet been obtained. A great deal of trouble has been taken by the Collector and the Court of Wards' Manager to settle these Sánsiahs down and to induce them to work,

but unfortunately their success has been very slight. These efforts are being maintained during the current year, but the Collector has little hope of any real success. The Sánsiahs will neither work steadily for wages nor cultivate the land made over to them.

In the Anapur estate the Sánsiahs appear to have been somewhat more amenable and to have done a little work.

23. Takávi advances aggregating Rs. 10,711 were made to tenants in 22 estates. In para. 10 of their review of the Board's report last year, Government remarked that takávi advances in the estates of the Sháhjahánpur district were limited to three items aggregating Rs. 50. It will be seen from statement V that during the year under report takávi advances to the amount of Rs. 706 were given to tenants in this district. As directed by Government, the Board carefully examined the budgets for the current year and satisfied themselves that the allotments made for improvements and advances in the few estates in the Sháhjahánpur district which are free from debt were sufficient.

APPENDIX V. Takávi advances.

24. The total principal of debts due from estates under management from the previous year and from those that come under management during the year amounted to Rs. 16,10,131. Rupees 4,06,254 were paid during the year, leaving a balance of Rs. 12,03,877 at the close of the year, as shown in column 11 of statement VII. The details of payment are—

APPENDICES V AND VII. Repayment of loans.

	Rs.
Towards Court of Wards or State loans	40,515
Other debts	3,65,739
Total ...	4,06,254

The progress made in the payment of these debts since the estates concerned were taken under management is shown in statement VII, of which the following is an abstract :—

	Rs.	Rs.
Debts due when superintendence was assumed	35,52,739	
Incurred after ditto	14,54,520	
Total ...	———	50,07,259
Debts paid off by incurring other debts or by sale of property	17,04,151	
Ditto from cash balance in hand or otherwise ...	20,99,231	
	———	38,03,382
Balance due at the close of the year as shown above		12,03,877

It was found possible to pay only Rs. 500 towards the principal of the debt due by the Bára estate to Partáb Chand's estate. Government in para. 11 of their review for the previous year suggested the advisability of selling a portion of the estate to reduce the debts due by it. The Rája, who was consulted on the subject, is averse to any portion of his estate being disposed of by sale. Taking into consideration, however, the circumstances of the estate, it appears impossible to reduce the debts otherwise than by the adoption of the course suggested by Government. The Collector reports that hitherto he has not been able to find a purchaser for a sufficiently large portion of the estate to be worth selling to attain the object aimed at. The question will be kept steadily in view, and the Collector promises to submit a report as soon as a favourable opportunity occurs of giving effect to the suggestions of Government.

The present proprietress of the Chakkarnagar estate would prefer to sell a portion of the estate to accelerate the payment of the debts due; but as she has only a life interest in the property and the Court of Wards represents her only, it is doubtful whether it would be legal to sell any portion of the estate. This estate was leased in 1882 to the Rája

of Partapner for 20 years on the condition that the lease might be terminated after 5, 10, or 15 years on 6 months' notice being given. As it would be hazardous to allow the estate to remain longer in the hands of the Rája, who is himself deeply embarrassed, six months' notice to terminate the lease was given on 1st April last.

In the Mubarik Husain estate (Moradabad), which was specially noticed by Government last year, the proprietors deprecate sale, as the debts are not pressing and bear no interest. Both debtors and creditors are reported not to desire sale of fractional shares, which would bring but a small price.

The proprietors of the Singhpur estate, instead of selling a portion of their estate, desire a loan to be granted to them on easier terms to pay off the existing debt. A proposal to this effect is under consideration. If a loan cannot be granted they are prepared to sell a portion of the estate. Rupees 898 were paid towards the liquidation of the debt against Rs. 235 in the previous year.

APPENDIX VIII. Court of Wards' loans.

25. During the year Rs. 36,750 were advanced from one Court of Wards' estate to another. Rupees 2,250 were also advanced to a private individual from the funds of the Dumri estate. The three lakhs of rupees advanced under the direction of Government to the Benares Municipality were repaid during the year. Of the total sum due on account of principal from one estate to another, Rs. 12,125 were paid, leaving a balance of Rs. 3,52,760 due at the close of the year. The sums due on account of arrear instalments of principal and interest were Rs. 3,957 and Rs. 3,048 respectively, details of which are given in columns 15 and 16 of statement VIII. For want of funds these amounts could not be paid within the year.

APPENDIX VIII. State loans.

26. The balance due at the close of the year on account of State loans amounted to Rs. 23,530. Of this Rs. 21,000 are due by the Arjunpur estate in the Mainpuri district and Rs. 2,530 by the Sangaband estate in the Mirzapur district. The balance of the debt due by the Majhauli estate in the Gorakhpur district was paid within the year under report. The balance due by the Arjunpur estate includes Rs. 17,859 on account of arrear instalments. The circumstances of this estate were reported to Government in the Board's No. $\frac{1574}{X-21}$, dated 26th October 1891, and by G. O. No. $\frac{6705}{X-213}$, dated 30th November 1891, the extension of the period for the liquidation of the loan from 31st March 1894 to 31st March 1901 was sanctioned.

APPENDIX V. Investments.

27. The investments of surplus funds made during the year were—

	Rs.
In Government securities	1,61,500
In landed property and houses	1,79,450

The large expenditure under the latter head is chiefly accounted for by the Anapur estate in the Ghazipur district. The estate of Har Shankar Prasad Singh (brother of the ward of the Anapur estate) was managed by the Collector of Ghazipur under the provisions of section 320 *et seq.* of the Civil Procedure Code. The estate being heavily in debt, it was thought advisable to sell it by auction, and the principal portion of it was purchased by the Anapur estate in order that the property might remain in the possession of the family.

APPENDIX V. Closing balance.

28. The total expenditure of the year amounted to Rs. 22,27,271, and the balance at its close was Rs. 8,19,459. The following estates chiefly account for this large balance:—

	Cash balance at the close of the year. Rs.
Begam Mashuk Mahál (Bareilly)	2,50,893
Madhopur (ditto)	1,02,681
Partáb Chand (Allahabad)	1,75,399
	5,88,973

The balance in Begam Mashuk Mahál's estate is due to the repayment during the year by the Benares Municipality of the two lakhs of rupees advanced to it in the previous year for water-works projects. As no suitable investment in landed property could be found the Board have, since the close of the year, sanctioned the investment of these two lakhs in Government securities. The greater portion of this sum will be invested hereafter if possible in the purchase of landed property or in loans more profitable than Government promissory notes at their present high rate of premium.

The balance in the Madhopur estate is partly due to the fact that a sum of Rs. 1,10,000 which was provided for the purchase of landed property during the year was not utilized. It was proposed to purchase 15 biswas of a mahál in the Pilibhít district, the remaining portion of which belonged to the estate, but the negotiations fell through. A further reason for the large balance is that considerable expenditure was anticipated as possible under several heads. There was first a claim on the part of Husain Ali for a lakh of rupees as the unpaid dower of his deceased sister, Musammat Zainab. This claim has been negatived by the Board, and he has been left to seek his remedy by civil suit if he thinks his claim will stand. A similar claim was also preferred by Musammat Rabbani Begam; but as she is a disqualified proprietor, she cannot institute the threatened suit without the previous permission of the Court of Wards, and she has been so informed. She (or rather her son for her) disputes this view and still threatens a suit. There were also two other possible suits, either of which might involve a considerable outlay. There is further the claim, which has now to be calculated and settled, of Husain Ali, as the legal heir of his deceased sister, Musammat Zainab, to $\frac{1}{24}$th of the total movable property left by the late proprietor.

The balance in Partáb Chand's estate is partly due to the repayment during the year of the loan of one lakh advanced to the Benares Municipality, and partly to an allotment of Rs. 54,000 provided for the purchase of Government securities not having been utilized for the purpose owing to the rate of premium being very high. As the estate was shortly to be released the Board decided not to re-invest the loan repaid by the Benares Municipality, but to make it over in cash to the proprietor.

29. Three hundred and fifty-eight notices of ejectment were issued during the year against 582 in the previous year. Though there was a falling off in the number of notice issued, the average area of the holdings to which they related rose from 4 to 7½ acres. Eighteen notices, or 5 per cent. of the total number issued, were contested successfully, and 32 or 9 per cent. unsuccessfully, against 7 and 12 per cent. respectively in the previous year. In 198 cases the tenants relinquished their holdings, and in 110 they were permitted to remain in possession at enhanced rates.

APPENDIX IX. Notices of ejectment.

The largest number of notices were issued in the following estates :—

Bára (Allahabad)	124
Ram Saran Das (Meerut)	55
Murári Lal (ditto)	53

Considering the extent of the estate, the number of notices (124) issued in the Bára estate is not excessive. Proceedings under section 36 of the Rent Act had to be taken in this estate chiefly to meet the case of tenants who had, in fraudulent collusion with the Rája's karindas, obtained land at inadequate rents. The 55 notices in the Ram Saran Das' estate were issued against tenants who, holding on very low rents, refused to accept the generally prevailing rate. Out of the 53 notices issued on Murari Lal's estate some were issued on defaulting tenants and some on tenants holding at rents below the general rate who would not agree to enhancement. Of the 7 notices issued in the Sujrai Karauli estate 4 were successfully contested on technical grounds of informality in signature of the notice.

The Court of Wards finds, as other managers also do, that to obtain adequate rents recourse must to some extent be had to ejectment proceedings.

30. Ninety applications for enhancement of rent affecting 97 tenants were filed during the year, the area to which the applications referred being 656 acres. The rate per acre was raised from an average of Rs. 3-8-8 to Rs. 4-9-11, and the rent enhanced

APPENDIX X. Applications for enhancement of rent.

from Rs. 2,324-14-10 to Rs. 3,031-12-4. The numerous applications (62) in the Sambalhera estate were found necessary in order to enhance rents to meet the increased revenue due to revision of settlement. The enhancements made are said to be moderate. The seventeen cases for enhancement in the Bára estate were instituted for reasons similar to those which necessitated notices of ejectment in the case of tenants-at-will.

Civil litigation.

31. The Legal Remembrancer's report deals with the civil litigation of the Court of Wards. The return showing what portion of the amounts decreed in favour of the Court of Wards was realized or remitted during the year of report, and also the sums outstanding on 1st October 1891, is printed as statement No. XVII. The total demand for the year on account of principal, interest, and costs was Rs. 77,155. The results this year were again poor, only Rs. 18,026 having been realized. Besides this, Rs. 880 were remitted, leaving a balance of Rs. 58,249, the bulk of which is classed as recoverable.

The book circular containing rules prescribing forms in which the accounts of Court of Wards' estates should be kept, referred to in para 35 of the Board's report for 1889-90, is at present being circulated for the opinion of selected officers.

Conclusion.

32. Collectors generally have taken much trouble in the management of Court of Wards' estates, which in many cases form a very serious addition to their work, especially in districts such as Allahabad, Gházipur and Meerut, where other work is heavy. The Board would specially commend Messrs. F. S. Bullock (Bijnor), R. S. Whiteway (Meerut), J. B. Thomson (Allahabad), E. B. Alexander (Etáwah), W. F. W. Wells (Gházipur), and H. M. Bird (Cawnpore).

Statements XIII to XVI show the accounts of the several benevolent trusts, and the year's management of these trusts is noticed in Appendix A.

I am to add that, although this report is issued in the name of Mr. W. Kaye, the department was during the year in the charge of Mr. J. R. Reid.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

F. L. PETRE,

Joint Secretary.

APPENDIX A.

Benevolent Trusts, North-Western Provinces.

THE number of charitable and other trusts on the Board's list was the same as in the previous year. APPENDICES XIII, XIV AND XV.

The receipts and expenditure are compared in the following table with the figures of the previous year :—

	1889-90.	1890-91.
Receipts.		
Opening balance	13,305	12,868
Receipts	35,655	36,844
Total ...	48,960	49,712
Expenditure.		
(*a*) For trust purposes	26,203	24,654
(*b*) For other ditto	9,889	9,988
Total ...	36,092	34,642
Closing balance ...	12,868	15,070

With the exception of receipts from "House rents," "Miscellaneous," and "Profits from inmates' labour," which show decreases, all the other heads of receipt show increases. The chief decrease is under "Miscellaneous" and is accounted for by the Rájghát dharamsála in the Benares district. The large receipts under this head in the previous year were due to the sale of the old dharamsála buildings. A proposal to build a new dharamsála is under consideration. All the items of expenditure show a falling off except those under "Dieting and clothing" and "Government revenue and cesses."

Inclusive of Rs. 2,19,000 invested in Government securities, the surplus assets at the close of the year amounted to Rs. 3,72,206.

The subject of vesting of trust funds and of schemes of management for carrying out the objects of the trusts under Act VI of 1890 was dealt with by the Board in the first instance. After they had submitted proposals in connection with the majority of the Charitable and Educational Endowments in both the North-Western Provinces and Oudh, the Government in G. O. No. $\frac{135}{\text{III—719A.}}$, dated 16th January 1892, directed that such applications should be made direct to Government by the person or persons acting in the actual administration of the same, and not by the Board, which merely exercises a supervision over these trusts.

Educational Endowments.

Statement XVI contains a list, together with the accounts, of these endowments, which are under the immediate control of the Director of Public Instruction, North-Western Provinces and Oudh. APPENDIX XVI.

The Thomason Testimonial assigned to St. John's College has been omitted from the list, as the Director explains that this endowment is neither attached to any Government institution nor is it administered by any Government official.

It will be observed that the Hammond Scholarship, which was in previous years shown in the Allahabad district, has this year been shown in the Agra district. The Director reports that this scholarship was originally created for the students of the Agra College, but it was transferred to the Muir Central College, Allahabad in 1874, as there was no provision for teaching law in the Agra College. Last year it was re-transferred to the Agra College under the orders conveyed in G. O. No. $\frac{314E.}{\text{III—327}}$, dated 7th March 1890.

Including an opening balance of Rs. 8,213, the available income of the year amounted to Rs. 51,692. Rupees 43,424 were expended in carrying out the wishes of the donors, leaving a cash balance of Rs. 8,268 at the close of the year.

STATEMENTS.

STATEMENT No I.—*Estates in charge or under the superintendence*

Serial number of estate.	District.	Name of estate.	Superintendence when assumed.	Proprietor.	Date of birth of minor.	Age of minor on the 30th September 1891.	
						Years.	Months.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
				Under clause (a), Section 194, Act XIX of 1873, Females deemed			
5	Muzaffarnagar ...	Bidauli ...	4th May 1880 ...	Musammat Mahbuban ...	...	...	...
				Ditto Mehdi Begam ...	...	...	...
27	Etáwah ...	Chakkarnagar ...	4th April 1876 ...	Thakurain Baisni ...	...	...	...
				Musammat Zainab Begam (a) ...	...	...	...
40	Bareilly, Pilibhít ...	Madhopur ...	2nd February 1890 ...	Ditto Akbari Begam ...	...	...	...
				Ditto Rabbani Begam ...	...	...	...
41	Bijnor ...	Kiratpur ...	15th April 1879 ...	Ditto Hashmi Begam ...	...	...	...
42	Ditto ...	Malakpur Dehri ...	17th October 1879 ...	Ditto Lali ...	...	...	...
53	Moradabad ...	Rámpur ...	1st April 1890 ...	Ditto Nanhi Begam ...	...	...	...
				Ditto Ajb-un-nisa ...	...	...	...
56	Sháhjahánpur ...	Fakr-ud-din Khan ...	25th September 1878 ...	Ditto Soghra ...	...	...	...
				Ditto Khatim-un-nisa ...	...	...	...
				Ditto Hulas Kuar ...	...	...	...
60	Ditto ...	Bamrauli ...	July 1888 ...	Ditto Dharm Kuar ...	...	...	...
				Ditto Durga Kuar ...	...	...	...
70	Cawnpore ...	Banajakha ...	10th November 1888 ...	Ditto Shiam Kuar ...	...	...	...
85	Allahabad ...	Sarai Pitha ...	13th February 1875 ...	Thakurain Ganesh Kuar (b) ...	...	...	...
86	Ditto ...	Gambhira ...	16th March 1877 ...	Ditto Balraj Kuar (c) ...	...	...	...
91	Jalaun ...	Beona ...	21st July 1880 ...	Ditto Larai Duluiya ...	...	...	...
					Total ...	...	...
				Under clause (b), Section 194, Act XIX of 1873.—			
1	Saháranpur ...	Raghunath Das ...	14th June 1886 ...	Raghunath Das	24th June 1875 ...	16	3
3	Ditto ...	Landhaura (d) ...	10th February 1891 ...	Amir Singh	15th September 1873 ...	18	...
	Muzaffarnagar ...			Mutsaddi Lal	4th August 1875 ...	16	2
				Sundar Lal	9th December 1877 ...	13	10
12	Meerut ...	Kithauli ...	1st July 1885 ...	Mukhtar	9th March 1875 ...	12	7
13	Ditto	Murari Lal ...	1st October 1888 ...	Bulaki Das	2nd February 1880 ...	11	8
14	Bulandshahr ...	Belaun (e) ...	23rd May 1879 ...	Aram Singh	June 1870 ...	...	...
15	Aligarh ...	Mohreni ...	2nd October 1880 ...	Bijai Indar Singh	26th December 1872 ...	18	9
16	Ditto ...	Lachman Singh ...	...	Kesar Kuar	Exact date not known	13	...
				Mukund Kuar		9	...
20	Farukhabad ...	Abdul Majid Khan ...	5th December 1884 ...	Abdul Majid Khan ...	February 1875 ...	16	7
22	Ditto ...	Muhammad Raza Khan	7th February 1890 ...	Muhammad Raza Khan ...	20th September 1879 ...	12	...
29	Etáwah ...	Koshangpur ...	23rd November 1888 ...	Raghubar Singh ...	7th October 1871 ...	20	...
30	Etah ...	Nagla Kisi ...	1st February 1878 ...	Girwar Lal ...	14th October 1870 ...	20	11
31	Ditto ...	Mati-ullah Khan ...	1st September 1884 ...	Mati-ullah Khan ...	25th November 1876 ...	14	10
32	Ditto ...	Arthara ...	May 1885 ...	Tej Singh ...	21st October 1879 ...	11	11
39	Bareilly ...	Srigopal ...	1st April 1886 ...	Srigopal ...	1st October 1876 ...	15	...
44	Bijnor ...	Kazi Imdad Ali ...	28th April 1881 ...	Abdul Razzak ...	22nd September 1871 ...	20	...
				Musammat Ummehabibi ...	27th September 1874 ...	17	...
47	Ditto ...	Musammat Anandi ...	20th September 1890 ...	Musammat Anandi ...	20th May 1873 ...	18	4
48	Budaun ...	Sheikhupur ...	13th July 1886 ...	Jamal-ud-din ...	15th October 1882 ...	8	11
49	Moradabad, Bijnor	Budh Prakash ...	11th November 1878 ...	Budh Prakash ...	10th August 1871 ...	20	2
50	Moradabad ...	Mubarak Husain ...	8th August 1881 ...	Mubarak Husain ...	23rd February 1876 ...	15	7
52	Ditto	Anand Sarup and ...	1st April 1890 ...	Anand Sarup ...	13th March 1875 ...	16	6
		Jagdish Sarup ...		Jagdish Sarup ...	18th April 1877 ...	14	5
56	Sháhjahánpur ...	Fakhr-ud-din Khan ...	25th September 1878 ...	Fida Husain Khan ...	2nd October 1873 ...	21	...
				Ali Husain Khan ...	14th August 1875 ...	16	2
63	Ditto ...	Zafar Ali Khan ...	1st December 1888 ...	Zafar Ali Khan ...	1st April 1874 ...	17	6
				Kampta Prasad ...	19th September 1878 ...	13	...
64	Ditto ...	Khiriya Rasúlpur ...	17th December 1889 ...	Phul Chand ...	22nd July 1882 ...	9	2
				Beni Ram ...	29th December 1884 ...	6	9
69	Cawnpore ...	Sakarwan ...	1st October 1884 ...	Lok Nath ...	12th July 1871 ...	20	2
71	Ditto ...	Misri Lal ...	7th December 1888 ...	Misri Lal ...	3rd February 1876 ...	15	8
75	Fatehpur ...	Wahid-un-nisa ...	3rd March 1890 ...	Wahid-un-nisa ...	12th May 1874 ...	17	4
84	Allahabad ...	Partab Chand ...	27th June 1872 ...	Partab Chand ...	13th February 1871 ...	20	7
92	Jalaun ...	Dhagwan Khurd No. I	27th April 1886 ...	Gulab Singh ...	25th September 1871 ...	20	...
93	Ditto ...	Ditto No. II	July 1888 ...	Raghuraj Singh ...	1st August 1883 ...	8	2

of the Court of Wards during the revenue year 1890-91.

Number of villages.						Total area.	Cultivated area.			Population.	Total annual income available for expenditure as in column 18 of statement IV.	Excess of total available income over expenditure chargeable to profits as in column 27 of statement IV.	Serial number of estate.	Remarks.
Revenue-paying.			Revenue-free.											
Whole villages.	Shares.	Patches.	Whole villages.	Shares.	Patches.		Irrigated.	Unirrigated.	Total.					
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
by the Local Government incompetent to manage their estates.														
											Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
Vide (*b*) Minors.			...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	
22	4	...	...	...	...	20,279	2,321	8,921	11,242	15,067	33,947 4 8	7,627 11 11	27	(*a*) Died on 14th March 1891.
Vide (*b*) Minors.			...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	40	(*b*) Died on 16th April 1890. The estate was made over to the next of kin on 1st February 1891.
Ditto			...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	41	
Ditto			...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	42	
Ditto			...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	53	
Ditto			...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	56	(*c*) Estate was released on 16th December 1891, under orders conveyed in G. O. No., $\frac{2941}{1-907A}$, dated 27th November 1891.
Ditto			...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	60	
Ditto			...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	70	
33	...	...	...	...	...	4,993	2,004	975	2,979	4,989	5,588 13 9	...	85	
80	1	...	...	...	...	8,032	2,598	1,906	4,504	7,964	27,150 12 6	9,724 6 10	86	
Vide (*b*) Minors.			...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	91	
135	5	...	...	...	...	42,304	6,923	11,802	18,725	28,620	66,686 14 11	17,352 2 9		
Minors. (Estates made over by the Civil Court.)														
...	2	...	...	...	...	382	...	315	315	1,524	1,502 6 7	569 6 7	1	
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4,513 7 10	1,473 10 3	3	(*d*) Released 22nd August 1891.
...	1	...	...	...	...	153	42	90	132	635	1,442 1 10	875 5 7	12	
1	8	...	...	2	...	1,970	497	1,241	1,738	65,032	12,550 0 8	5,081 3 10	13	
...	2	...	...	...	...	438	189	232	421	399	3,148 13 2	476 0 0	14	
2	6	...	...	...	...	3,730	1,606	1,516	3,122	4,231	14,961 2 7	6,072 7 11	15	(*e*) Released 1st September 1891.
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16	
...	4	...	...	4	...	47	14	33	47	10,202	2,916 14 5	2,647 2 5	20	
...	5	...	...	...	...	178	16	131	147	12,349	691 6 9	505 13 0	22	
6	6	...	...	...	...	8,819	1,067	2,470	3,537	3,853	20,578 12 8	4,179 9 10	29	
...	4	...	...	...	...	270	105	81	186	249	1,459 5 10	663 13 11	30	
...	7	...	...	...	...	287	40	73	113	195	1,670 1 9	1,371 7 11	31	
...	2	...	...	...	...	251	90	67	157	165	1,036 9 0	528 12 11	32	
2	1	...	...	...	...	1,189	665	298	963	1,705	6,037 5 1	3,195 11 7	39	
1	7	...	...	1	...	156	27	93	120	419	844 14 5	527 11 10	44	
3	3	...	...	...	...	508	...	391	391	154	1,233 5 8	482 0 5	47	
...	31	...	...	9	...	1,957	103	1,271	1,374	745	3,727 14 2	2,441 6 0	48	
2	11	...	...	...	2	1,212	60	969	1,029	32,470	9,835 13 8	6,294 11 6	49	
...	5	...	...	4	...	278	12	164	176	740	751 15 8	616 3 1	50	
...	4	...	...	2	...	251	80	123	203	436	584 8 6	504 0 11	52	
1	2	...	...	2	...	1,181	266	393	659	929	2,959 13 3	1,121 0 6	56	
...	1	...	...	...	...	309	40	140	180	200	2,445 8 2	1,696 3 6	63	
1	5	...	...	...	...	479	76	272	348	251	3,224 1 8	1,048 1 0	64	
3	...	...	...	...	...	1,855	426	454	880	1,105	12,096 9 2	7,119 1 8	69	
2	4	...	...	...	...	884	468	173	641	3,033	7,821 12 5	1,802 4 10	71	
1	3	...	...	...	...	398	86	120	206	493	1,075 8 9	415 3 4	75	
94	339	...	...	...	...	52,073	11,416	19,326	30,742	47,146	3,19,773 0 0	1,99,869 3 0	84	
...	2	...	...	1	...	1,850	3	901	904	221	6,543 3 4	3,433 4 4	92	
...	1	...	...	1	...	634	3	431	434	164	2,798 8 5	1,352 10 7	93	

STATEMENT No. I.—*Estates in charge or under the superintendence*

Serial number of estate.	District.	Name of estate.	Superintendence when assumed.	Proprietor.	Date of birth of minor.	Age of minor on the 30th September 1891.	
						Years.	Months.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
				Under clause (b), Section 194, Act XIX of 1873.—			
100	Jaunpur ...	Pathana ...	1st November 1882 ...	Muhammad Husain ...	28th October 1869 (a) ...	21	11
				Musammat Ali-un-nisa ...	26th July 1875 ...	16	2
				Ditto Kaniz Bannu ...	11th August 1877 ...	14	1
101	Jaunpur, Azamgarh.	Batuk Prasad ...	3rd November 1885 ...	Chaudhri Batuk Prasad ...	24th November 1871 ...	19	10
102	Jaunpur ...	Hardial Lohar ...	25th January 1889 ...	Jholai	11th October 1871 ...	20	...
				Ram Narain	2nd November 1877 ...	13	11
105	Gházipur ...	Lakshmi Narain ...	14th August 1888 ...	Lakshmi Narain	6th April 1872 ...	19	6
106	Ditto ...	Kodai ...	1st October 1888 ...	Mahadeo Rai	8th December 1872 ...	18	10
108	Gorakhpur ...	Chaumukha ...	29th August 1879 ...	Sahibzada Chaube	11th December 1872 ...	18	10
109	Gorakhpur, Azamgarh.	Bansgaon ...	6th July 1880 ...	Dalip Narain Singh ...	31st January 1873 ...	18	8
111	Gorakhpur ...	Narharpur ...	5th January 1885 ...	Lal Bisheshwar Prasad Mal (b)	27th December 1875 ...	...	...
113	Basti, Lucknow ...	Fida Husain ...	16th July 1885 ...	Fida Husain Khan ...	14th June 1873 ...	18	3
				(Estates taken over by the			
2	Saháranpur ...	Kunjpur ...	November 1887 ...	Nawab Ibrahim Ali ...	20th July 1879 ...	12	2
	Muzaffarnagar ...						
4	Saháranpur ...	Sambalhera ...	15th January 1870 ...	Zamir Husain	20th September 1874 ...	17	...
	Muzaffarnagar ...			Hamid Husain	29th September 1876 ...	15	...
	Meerut ...			Wazir Begam	25th February 1881 ...	10	7
5	Muzaffarnagar ...	Bidauli ...	4th May 1880 ...	Muhammad Asghar Husain ...	14th February 1874 ...	17	7
				Musammat Kaniz Shabir ...	17th November 1871 ...	19	10
				Ditto Askari Begam ...	28th August 1877 ...	14	1
7	Ditto ...	Bhandura ...	8th April 1888 ...	Saiyid Asad Raza	2nd January 1874 ...	17	9
				Ditto Ikbal Raza ...	20th October 1876 ...	14	11
				Ditto Bakar Raza ...	29th August 1881 ...	10	1
				Musammat Hasni Begam ...	16th May 1878 ...	13	4
				Ditto Bannu Begam (c) ...	23rd May 1883 ...	8	4
8	Meerut, Bulandshahr.	Karam Ali Khan ...	10th June 1872 ...	Khurshed Ali Khan ...	December 1871 ...	19	10
9	Meerut ...	Daurala ...	16th March 1880 ...	Harkies	19th August 1875 ...	16	1
10	Ditto ...	Hápur ...	1st September 1881 ...	Muhammad Mohsin ...	6th December 1872 ...	18	10
11	Ditto ...	Ram Saran Das ...	11th May 1883 ...	Banarsi Das	1st August 1872 ...	19	2
				Narain Das	30th November 1876 ...	14	10
				Dhanpat Rai	29th November 1878 ...	12	10
19	Agra, Mainpuri ...	Parna ...	3rd October 1874 ...	(d)	... ...	...	...
21	Farukhabad ...	Guthena ...	1st February 1888 ...	Tej Singh	22nd May 1874 ...	17	4
25	Ditto ...	Sujrai Karauli ...	18th November 1879 ...	Lala Ishwari Prasad Narain Singh.	2nd July 1872 ...	19	3
	Mainpuri ...						
	Cawnpore ...						
28	Etáwah ...	Harchandpur ...	16th April 1888 ...	Lal Sundar Singh ...	10th February 1876 ...	15	8
33	Etah ...	Nagla Amín ...	1st October 1885 ...	Lal Polandar Singh ...	30th August 1878 ...	13	1
34	Ditto ...	Chet Singh ...	5th September 1889 ...	Chet Singh	21st July 1878 ...	13	2
35	Etah and Mainpuri	Bhairon Prasad and Jwala Prasad.	15th December 1890 ...	Bhairon Prasad	23rd January 1883 ...	8	8
				Jwala Prasad	24th May 1889 ...	2	4
				Muhammad Husain Khan ...	13th June 1877 ...	14	3
38	Bareilly ...	Begam Mashuk Mahal.	9th January 1884 ...	Sikandar Begam	10th December 1871 ...	19	10
	Pilibhít ...			Káisar Begam	7th September 1874 ...	17	1
	Budaun ...			Khakan Begam	15th February 1876 ...	15	7
				Nawab Begum	5th November 1879 ...	11	11
40	Bareilly ...	Madhopur ...	20th February 1890 ...	Aziz Ahmad	July 1876 ...	15	3
	Pilibhít ...			Zamir Ahmad	July 1883 ...	8	3
41	Bijnor ...	Kiratpur ...	15th April 1879 ...	Tabarruk Husain ...	8th December 1873 ...	17	10
				Musammat Bharwar Banu ...	15th October 1879 ...	11	11
				Ditto Akhtar Banu ...	24th May 1881 ...	10	4
42	Ditto ...	Malakpur Dehri ...	17th October 1879 ...	Tula Singh	9th August 1875 ...	16	2
45	Ditto ...	Parbin Singh ...	19th December 1883 ...	Parbin Singh	12th November 1872 ...	18	10
51	Moradabad ...	Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal (e)	15th February 1884 ...	Kunj Behari Lal	7th April 1870 ...	...	...
				Sipahi Lal	9th June 1881 ...	10	4
53	Ditto ...	Rámpur ...	1st April 1890 ...	Sahibzada Sajjad Ali Khan ...	22nd October 1868 ...	2	11
54	Sháhjahánpur, Kheri.	Narainpur ...	13th February 1870 ...	Kunwar Jangi Singh ...	6th February 1873 ...	18	8
55	Sháhjahánpur, Pilibhít.	Nahal ...	27th February 1875 ...	Gajraj Singh	9th March 1878 ...	13	7
				Gokran Singh	10th November 1883 ...	7	11
57	Sháhjahánpur ...	Mohanpur ...	March 1882 ...	Mohan Singh	14th August 1874 ...	17	1
				Mangal Singh	18th June 1876 ...	15	3
58	Ditto ...	Azizganj ...	6th April 1887 ...	Kanhaiya Lal	23rd November 1872 ...	18	10
59	Ditto ...	Dhukri ...	20th April 1887 ...	Bikram Singh	Exact date not known ...	17	...

of the Court of Wards during the revenue year 1890-91—(continued).

Number of villages.						Total area.	Cultivated area.			Population.	Total annual income available for expenditure as in column 18 of statement VI.	Excess of total available income over expenditure chargeable to profits as in column 27 of statement IV.	Serial number of estate.	Remarks.
Revenue-paying.			Revenue-free.											
Whole villages.	Shares.	Patches.	Whole villages.	Shares.	Patches.		Irrigated.	Unirrigated.	Total.					
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
Minors. (*Estates made over by the Civil Court*)—(continued).											Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
...	28	...	...	...	...	349	192	28	220	6,566	1,670 5 5	1,651 13 2	100	(*a*) The Collector has applied to the Civil Court for sanction to the release of Muhammad Husain's share.
6	9	...	...	...	...	4,022	2,449	1,117	3,566	6,318	8,389 6 8	4,252 14 11	101	
Rent-paying tenure measuring 3 roods and 7 poles.								...	...	...	92 5 5	88 5 5	102	
...	15	...	...	...	...	889	201	688	889	2,706	4,121 10 4	2,419 7 9	105	(*b*) Died on 17th September 1891. The ward's mother succeeds to the estate.
...	(Tenant land.)		...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	149 10 10	93 9 6	106	
20	8	...	...	...	...	8,304	736	4,763	5,499	5,319	18,591 10 3	5,885 9 5	108	
6	21	...	...	...	...	9,744	1,061	6,347	7,408	12,105	32,283 7 6	18,031 8 3	109	
3	4	...	...	...	...	3,086	338	1,186	1,524	2,784	5,652 15 3	2,072 3 7	111	
...	20	...	...	...	...	1,913	249	1,036	1,285	2,807	2,332 4 2	1,209 0 7	113	
Court of Wards on its own motion.)														
5	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	24,841 1 5	20,346 1 6	2	
6	12	...	...	6	...	15,810	2,069	4,085	6,154	8,945	25,721 9 0	8,501 12 7	4	
7	14	...	...	1	...	7,000	979	1,334	2,313	8,875	13,554 8 6	7,954 5 0	5	
6	28	...	...	2	...	14,858	4,611	7,583	12,194	26,938	53,352 4 9	14,937 1 0	7	(*c*) Died on 28th November 1891.
4	4	...	...	...	...	5,404	1,085	3,111	4,196	9,282	44,430 6 8	26,040 9 9	8	
...	4	...	...	...	...	180	54	129	183	1,345	1,486 5 9	830 4 3	9	
...	8	...	...	...	..	1,460	281	985	1,266	18,701	13,551 9 4	10,442 13 2	10	
3	18	...	...	...	1	4,226	1,128	2,016	3,144	73,352	32,791 9 0	20,192 6 4	11	
1	1	...	...	...	...	4,535	58	4,477	4,535	2,838	18,477 11 1	10,163 7 0	19	
...	1	...	...	...	...	186	40	44	84	78	844 1 11	549 7 1	21	
11	15	...	...	...	...	14,891	2,138	4,319	6,457	16,284	46,042 12 0	18,606 10 5	25	(*d*) The minor proprietor died on 24th October 1888. The estate has since the close of the year been made over to one Bhup Singh who has been declared by the Civil Court to be the legal proprietor.
9	...	...	...	...	...	7,464	3,586	3,083	6,669	9,719	46,572 10 11	11,289 15 5	28	
...	4	...	...	...	...	403	95	150	245	215	1,681 14 6	848 13 8	33	
...	5	...	...	...	...	3,026	125	596	721	1,600	1,898 2 4	239 1 8	34	
2	3	...	...	...	...	2,934	1,081	626	1,707	9,989	14,530 0 4	5,804 5 0	35	
20	...	...	1	3	...	10,053	2,584	4,999	7,583	12,002	3,34,830 4 3	3,08,951 8 10	38	
30	14	...	1	...	...	9,481	2,911	5,055	7,966	15,786	2,92,285 1 11	2,23,610 8 11	40	
17	20	...	1	...	...	2,612	...	1,382	1,382	1,928	18,122 0 3	12,773 14 9	41	
10	1	...	...	...	...	2,148	272	1,286	1,558	1,114	10,616 12 5	4,860 5 0	42	
2	1	...	...	...	...	666	...	468	468	415	3,497 0 3	2,096 8 10	45	
4	18	...	...	2	...	695	218	454	672	964	2,042 8 2	356 8 0	51	(*e*) Released on 1st May 1891.
1	1	...	...	...	...	940	173	591	764	1,466	26,149 15 9	14,531 13 9	53	
4	4	...	...	...	...	3,857	588	409	997	2,416	10,357 14 11	6,702 14 1	54	
29	...	...	...	...	...	14,111	2,471	7,010	9,481	11,481	29,998 4 5	12,359 4 4	55	
8	7	...	...	...	...	5,041	636	2,835	3,471	6,413	7,830 0 11	1,813 2 5	57	
13	19	...	...	6	...	11,782	2,930	5,420	8,350	12,933	29,391 3 8	6,310 15 0	58	
...	1	...	...	...	...	70	47	7	54	25	109 1 11	17 5 9	59	

STATEMENT No. I.—*Estates in charge or under the superintendence*

Serial number of estate.	District.	Name of estate.	Superintendence when assumed.	Proprietor.	Date of birth of minor.	Age of minor on the 30th September 1891.	
						Years.	Months.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
					(Estates taken over by the		
60	Sháhjahánpur ...	Ramrauli ...	July 1888 ...	Bilas Singh	17th June 1874 ...	17	3
				Gujraj Singh	13th November 1876 ...	14	10
				Mahtab Singh	21st July 1884 ...	7	2
61	Ditto ...	Bhatpura Rasúlpura	24th September 1888 ...	Shiv Narain	4th August 1882 ...	9	2
62	Ditto ...	Khameria ...	23rd October 1888 ...	Kanhaiya Lal	28th September 1877 ...	14	...
65	Ditto., Pilibhít	Ghungchai ...	1st August 1891 ...	Sewa Singh	1st December 1878 ...	12	10
67	Cawnpore ...	Ratanpur ...	16th December 1881 ...	Musammat Gulab Kuar ...	3rd July 1872 ...	19	3
	Sháhabad (L.P.)			Ditto Raj Ram Kuar ...	3rd July 1874 ...	17	3
68	Cawnpore ...	Darwapur ...	9th May 1884 ...	Ramzan Khan	3rd November 1873 ...	17	11
70	Ditto ...	Ranajakha ...	10th November 1888 ...	Narbada Prasad	March 1874 ...	17	7
72	Ditto ...	Janki Prasad ...	1st September 1891 ...	Janki Prasad	25th September 1878 ...	13	...
74	Fatehpur ...	Arampur Bushi ...	23rd April 1880 ...	Afzal Husain	15th November 1872 ...	18	10
				Mazhar-ul-Husain ...	1st November 1877 ...	13	11
76	Bánda ...	Bhamba ...	30th January 1880 ...	Bansidear Lál	January 1874 ...	17	8
82	Ditto ...	Baghel... ...	24th November 1884 ...	Sardar Singh	8th September 1877 ...	14	1
				Babu Singh	17th March 1877 ...	14	6
				Bular Singh	14th December 1875 ...	15	9
83	Ditto ...	Garauti ...	29th November 1890 ...	Baijnath	6th May 1881 ...	10	5
90	Jalaun ...	Kukurgaon ...	26th November 1876 ...	Nirand Singh	11th September 1870(*a*)...	21	1
91	Ditto ...	Reona	21st July 1880 ...	Gobind Singh	1st November 1872 ...	18	11
94	Lalitpur ...	Mailwar Andela ...	13th October 1884 ...	Newal Singh	25th July 1883 ...	8	2
95	Ditto ...	Manikju and Dulaju	9th August 1886 ...	Manikju	13th May 1875 ...	16	4
				Dulaju	30th June 1883 ...	8	3
97	Ditto ...	Pahalwan Singh ...	1st June 1890 ...	Pahalwan Singh	7th March 1879 ...	12	7
107	Gorakhpur ...	Majhauli ...	22nd November 1871 ...	Rája Kansil Kishori Prasad Mal	6th July 1880 ...	11	3
	Ballia ...						
	Saran (L.P.) ...						
110	Gorakhpur ...	Dumri ...	May 1882 ...	Sardar Umrao Singh (*b*) ...	26th May 1870 ...	21	4
				Sardar Sundar Singh ...	4th March 1872 ...	19	7
112	Ditto ...	Brijnandan Das ...	24th August 1889 ...	Sikhir Chand	20th August 1884 ...	7	1
					Total ...	...	...
					Under clause (c), section 194,		
73	Fatehpur ...	Patti Shah ...	8th April 1876 ...	Mir Wajid Husain	...	...	...
					Total ...	...	...
					Under clause (d), section 194,		
6	Muzaffarnagar ...	Karana ...	31st October 1887 ...	Dharmi Mal ...	...	...	...
				Malla Mal ...	...	...	...
23	Farukhabad ...	Bhagwat Dial ...	25th May 1891 ...	Bhagwat Dial ...	...	...	...
36	Bareilly ...	Durga Prasad ...	November 1881 ...	Durga Prasad ...	...	...	...
104	Gházipur ...	Anapur ...	16th January 1882 ...	Gauri Shankar Prasad Singh ...	...	...	...
	Ballia ...						
	Benares ...						
	Allahabad ...						
					Total ...	...	...
					Under clause (e), section 194, Act XIX of 1873.—Persons managing		
46	Bijnor ...	Pedi ...	1st August 1888 ...	Raza Husain ...	...	...	...
					Total ...	...	...

of the Court of Wards during the revenue year 1890-91—(continued).

Number of villages.						Total area.	Cultivated area.			Population.	Total annual income available for expenditure as in column 18 of statement IV.	Excess of total available income over expenditure chargeable to profits as in column 27 of statement IV.	Serial number of estate.	Remarks.
Revenue-paying.			Revenue-free.											
Whole villages.	Shares.	Patches.	Whole villages.	Shares.	Patches.		Irrigated.	Unirrigated.	Total.					
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16.	17	18	19	20	21.	22	23
Court of Wards on its own motion.)—(continued).											Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
...	4	...	...	...	...	583	57	412	469	715	2,960 4 4	2,160 2 7	60	
14	4	...	...	...	1	8,153	1,480	4,001	5,481	4,652	24,664 12 8	7,459 15 7	61	
3	6	...	...	2	...	4,320	701	2,751	3,452	10,846	15,724 1 8	5,844 4 6	62	
...	33	...	...	...	...	4,853	371	1,649	2,020	1,650	...	...	65	
...	4	...	...	...	...	467	94	209	303	216	2,063 0 1	790 14 8	67	
1	1	...	...	...	...	706	12	251	263	509	990 14 1	216 5 10	68	
1	...	...	...	...	...	377	38	114	152	142	1,164 11 1	53 12 5	70	
2	...	...	...	...	...	1,455	387	416	803	1,259	1,371 11 9	1,364 5 3	72	
...	56	...	...	...	...	5,795	2,009	1,971	3,980	6,089	22,519 5 4	6,838 6 0	74	
...	4	...	1	...	...	589	11	220	231	3,941	781 3 9	165 7 5	76	
...	1	...	...	...	...	931	...	344	344	587	617 3 2	22 13 11	82	
...	2	...	...	...	...	366	1	102	103	228	494 1 10	245 12 6	83	(a) Estate released since the close of the year.
2	1	...	1	1	...	4,775	61	2,525	2,586	1,323	9,111 9 10	4,181 7 5	90	
1	5	...	...	...	...	1,495	9	808	817	659	2,550 9 11	1,586 10 7	91	
...	2	...	...	...	1	503	...	123	123	101	139 15 9	47 2 9	94	
...	1	...	...	4	...	1,246	3	170	173	138	274 0 5	258 6 2	95	
1	1	...	...	...	1	3,057	15	854	869	648	1,212 5 0	486 3 0	97	
122	5	...	...	...	...	63,412	21,043	16,704	37,747	81,209	1,54,962 13 0	67,733 7 5	107	(b) Estate released since the close of the year.
29	10	...	...	...	...	16,155	9,830	5,243	15,073	19,710	59,199 8 3	17,588 0 2	110	
19	50	...	...	1	...	10,581	1,518	6,136	7,654	10,371	31,936 12 8	10,493 13 1	112	
541	961	...	5	54	6	385,364	90,423	154,390	244,813	627,687	19,58,763 14 2	11,70,737 11 7		
Act XIX of 1873.—*Idiots.*														
...	22	...	...		...	535	200	172	372	2,311	2,707 10 8	1,057 5 7	73	
...	22	...	...		...	535	200	172	372	2,311	2,707 10 8	1,057 5 7		
Act XIX of 1873.—*Lunatics.*														
...	1	...	...	...	...	26	...	26	26	(c) 19,194	208 11 6	166 5 6	6	(c) Population of the entire village of Karana.
1	4	...	...	...	...	491	157	129	286	(d) ...	248 13 5	96 8 3	23	(d) Not known.
...	7	...	...	...	...	534	196	234	430	1,768	2,957 8 10	1,732 13 10	36	
56	156	...	...	...	...	39,479	10,176	19,954	30,130	73,777	2,33,068 6 1	1,75,989 1 9	104	
57	189	...	...	...	...	40,530	10,529	20,343	30,872	94,734	2,36,483 7 10	1,77,984 13 4		
otherwise rendered incapable by physical defects or infirmities from their estates.														
...	8	...	...	...	...	402	156	206	362	25	1,395 3 0	379 0 3	46	
...	8	...	...	...	...	402	156	206	362	25	1,395 3 0	379 0 3		

STATEMENT No. I.—*Estates in charge or under the superintendence*

Serial number of estate.	District.	Name of estate.	Superintendence when assumed.	Proprietor.	Date of birth of minor.	Age of minor on the 30th September 1891.	
						Years.	Months.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
				Under clause (g), section 194, Act XIX of 1873.—Persons declared managing			
4	Saháranpur ... Muzaffarnagar ... Meerut ...	Sambalbera ...	15th January 1870 ...	Wazir Husain ...	...	...	...
				Musammat Haidari Begam ...	...	...	...
				Ditto Tarif-un-nisa ...	...	...	...
				Ditto Afzal-un-nisa ...	...	...	...
5	Muzaffarnagar ...	Bidauli ...	4th May 1880 ...	Muhammad Abbas Khan ...	...	...	...
17	Aligarh ...	Dadon ...	1st August 1891 ...	Ditto Hadiyar Khan ...	...	...	...
24	Mainpuri ... Farukhabad ...	Arjunpur ...	27th August 1877 ...	Kunwar Chattar Singh ...	...	...	...
				Musammat Dan Kuar ...	...	...	...
				Kunwar Himanchal Singh ...	...	...	...
26	Mainpuri ...	Musammat Jasoda Kuar.	1st April 189 ...	Musammat Jasoda Kuar ...	...	...	...
37	Bareilly ...	Ballia ...	8th December 1883 ...	Dwarka Prasad	...	...	...
				Lakshmi Narain	...	...	...
				Hanuman Sahai	...	...	...
				Chatar Behari Lal	...	...	...
41	Bijnor ...	Kiratpur ...	15th April 1879 ...	Musammat Kudsia Begam ...	...	...	...
				Ditto Nafisa Begam ...	...	...	...
				Tawakkul Husain ...	...	...	...
43	Ditto ...	Sahanpur ...	1st January 1881 ...	Dal Chand	...	...	...
44	Ditto ...	Kazi Imdad Ali ...	28th April 1881 ...	Musammat Mukhtar Begam ...	...	...	...
48	Budaun ...	Sheikhupur ...	13th July 1886 ...	Ditto Zakat Fatima Begam	...	...	...
55	Sháhjahánpur ... Pilibhit, ...	Nahal ...	27th February 1875 ...	Rani Takan	...	...	...
				*Dal Singh	...	...	...
				Kharag Singh	...	...	...
57	Sháhjahánpur ...	Mohanpur ...	March 1882 ...	Musammat Sobran Kuar ...	...	...	...
				Ditto Ajab Kuar ...	...	...	...
				Ditto Khuman Kuar ...	...	...	...
				Ditto Khusal Kuar ...	...	...	...
				Ditto Indal Kuar ...	...	...	...
65	Ditto., Pilibhit	Ghungchai ...	1st August 1891 ...	Rawat Sheo Ratan Singh ...	...	...	...
66	Cawnpore ...	Rawatpur(a) ...	24th June 1873 ...	Bakar Husain	...	...	...
77	Bánda ...	Karhai ...	5th June 1880 ...	Mehdi Husain	...	...	...
				Ali Husain	...	...	...
78	Ditto ...	Bahuri ...	5th April 1881 ...	Ganesh Singh	...	...	...
				Satrjit Singh	...	...	...
79	Ditto ...	Sultan Husain and Musammat Shams-un-nisa.	1st May 1881 ...	Sultan Husain	...	...	...
				Musammat Shams-un-nisa ...	...	...	...
80	Ditto ...	Diya Chakwa ...	4th January 1882 ...	Jhabbu Khan	...	...	...
				Rustam Khan	...	...	...
				Pahalwan Khan	...	...	...
				Mangal Khan	...	...	...
81	Ditto ...	Singhpur ...	1st July 1882 ...	Bhim Singh	...	...	...
				Arjun Singh	...	...	...
82	Ditto ...	Baghel ...	27th November 1884 ...	Ram Prasad Singh ...	...	...	...
				Pargan Singh ...	...	...	...
				Darshan Singh ...	...	...	...
87	Allahabad ...	Sháhpur ...	1st August 1882 ...	Raghuraj Singh (b) ...	...	...	...
88	Ditto ...	Bara ...	7th May 1886 ...	Rája Banspat Singh ...	...	...	...
89	Jhánsi ...	Chirgaon ...	11th July 1873 ...	Musammat Dip Kuar ...	...	...	...
96	Lalitpur ...	Lalitpur ...	25th June 1887 ...	Ditto Nand Rani ...	...	...	...
98	Mirzapur ...	Gopalpur, No. I ...	24th September 1875 ...	Bindeshwari Prasad Singh ...	...	...	...
103	Gházipur ...	Karim-ud-dinpur ...	5th July 1876 ...	Ganesh Sonár ...	...	...	...
108	Gorakhpur ...	Chaumukha ...	29th August 1879 ...	Sheo Dayal Chaube ...	...	...	...
				Srinath Chaube ...	...	...	...
114	Azamgarh ... Jaunpur ... Benares ...	Sarái Mír ...	1st March 1880 ...	Muhammad Taqi ...	...	...	...
				Total ...	...	...	...

of the Court of Wards during the revenue year 1890-91—(continued).

Number of villages.						Total area.	Cultivated area.			Population.	Total annual income available for expenditure as in column 18 of statement IV.	Excess of total available income over expenditure chargeable to profits as in column 27 of statement IV.	Serial number of estate.	Remarks.
Revenue-paying.			Revenue-free.											
Whole villages.	Shares.	Patches.	Whole villages.	Shares.	Patches.		Irrigated.	Unirrigated.	Total.					
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
by the Local Government on their own application to be disqualified from their estates.											Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
Vide (b), Minors.						...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4	
Ditto						...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	
54	6	...	...	...	...	39,108	25,955	13,153	39,108	12,683	3,51,702 2 10	3,37,760 8 3	17	
5	12	...	...	...	...	11,471	821	5,680	6,501	6,716	18,636 0 5	1,921 7 8	24	
1	4	...	...	...	...	2,404	476	1,091	1,567	2,098	7,603 6 2	1,309 6 11	26	
8	...	...	...	...	...	2,300	216	1,661	1,877	3,678	8,095 5 5	3,020 0 0	37	
Vide (b), Minors.						...	...	...	...	...	...	...	41	
107	1 12 1	...	...	...	...	33,920	55	21,481	21,536	38,146	1,19,142 9 9	50,807 8 11	43	
Vide (b), Minors.			...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	44	
Ditto			...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	48	
Ditto			...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	55	
Ditto			...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	57	
Ditto			...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	65	
27	3	...	...	...	...	16,853	4,035	4,030	8,065	16,139	58,903 12 9	8,959 11 10	66	(a) Released 15th June 1891.
1	2	...	...	...	...	3,707	41	2,046	2,087	1,486	7,053 2 4	3,070 4 7	77	
...	2	...	...	...	...	1,480	...	509	509	974	1,278 12 2	411 15 7	78	
3	...	...	...	...	...	2,362	...	958	958	924	3,235 15 0	712 3 4	79	
...	9	...	...	...	...	3,953	14	1,670	1,684	4,558	5,670 3 8	1,040 1 5	80	
1	3	...	...	1	...	6,174	...	2,951	2,951	3,844	7,626 14 8	2,815 6 8	81	
Vide (b), Minors.			...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	82	
17	44	...	...	...	...	5,776	112	3,368	3,480	3,265	15,353 0 9	4,006 4 1	87	(b) Disappeared in March 1887. No trace has yet been discovered.
171	33	...	...	...	...	105,484	455	44,093	44,548	14,293	1,28,080 7 6	17,377 2 8	88	
...	6	...	...	...	...	1,245	9	593	602	810	4,301 6 0	2,567 4 5	89	
...	7	...	...	1	...	3,049	90	1,147	1,237	2,893	2,581 13 8	1,442 7 9	96	
...	5	...	...	...	...	5,940	2	1,673	1,675	1,696	1,893 10 1	1,164 3 1	98	
...	1	...	...	...	...	2,217	312	1,560	1,872	3,973	1,357 2 10	356 14 4	103	
Vide (b), Minors.					...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	108	
18	36	...	...	3	...	8,552	2,065	2,147	4,812	17,408	34,209 2 0	8,296 8 1	114	
411	185	...	...	5	...	255,995	35,258	109,811	145,069	135,584	7,76,775 0 0	4,47,039 6 8		

STATEMENT No. I.—*Estates in charge or under the superintendence*

Serial number of estate.	District.	Name of estate.	Superintendence when assumed.	Proprietor.	Date of birth of minor.	Age of minor on the 30th September 1891.	
						Years.	Months.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
					Estate managed under		
18	Muttra ...	Parshadi Lal ...	1st September 1889 ...	Parshadi Lal ...	...	...	...
				Total ...	...	...	...
					Encumbered estate managed by, but not		
99	Mirzapur ...	Sangaband ...	23rd December 1888 ...	Sheo Balak ...	...	...	...
				Total ...	...	...	...
				GRAND TOTAL ...	...	...	...

of the Court of Wards during the revenue year 1890-91—(concluded).

Number of villages.						Total area.	Cultivated area.			Population.	Total annual income available for expenditure as in column 18 of statement IV.	Excess of total available income over expenditure chargeable to profits as in column 27 of statement IV.	Serial number of estate.	Remarks.
Revenue-paying.			Revenue-free.											
Whole villages.	Shares.	Patches.	Whole villages.	Shares.	Patches.		Irrigated.	Unirrigated.	Total.					
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
section 11, *Act XXXV of* 1858.											Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
...	7	...	...	2	...	768	464	249	713	929	3,335 10 4	1,945 7 11	18	
...	7	...	...	2	...	768	464	249	713	929	3,335 10 4	1,945 7 11		
under the jurisdiction of, the Court of Wards.														
1	...	...	...	...	...	3,567	7	1,032	1,039	728	582 14 0	251 1 9	99	
1	...	...	...	...	...	3,567	7	1,032	1,039	728	582 14 0	251 1 9		
1,145	1,356	...	5	61	6	729,465	143,960	298,005	441,965	890,618	30,46,730 10 11	18,17,347 1 10		

STATEMENT No. II.—*Assets and liabilities of the estates on the 30th September 1891,*

Division.	District.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Assets. Amount held in Government securities.	Debts secured by hypothecation or mortgage of property.	Debts not secured by hypothecation or mortgage of property.	Takávi advances.	Recoverable arrears of rents, &c.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs a. p.	Rs. a. p.
MEERUT.	Saháranpur ...	1	Raghunath Das ...	...	...	...	...	...
		2	Kunjpura ...	...	...	...	...	7,650 13 2
		3	Landhaura* ...	...	...	...	...	560 5 0
	Muzaffarnagar,	4	Samhalhera ...	6,000 0 0	...	199 5 0	...	1,933 8 5
		5	Bidhuli ...	3,000 0 0	...	176 3 2	...	596 14 3
		6	Karana ...	...	...	178 0 0	...	10 0 0
		7	Bhandura ...	42,000 0 0	18,934 15 10	2,108 4 11	...	4,742 2 1
		8	Karam Ali Khan ...	19,500 0 0	36,938 2 4	92 11 9	...	326 6 5
		9	Daurala ...	1,000 0 0	...	...	...	...
	Meerut ...	10	Hápur ...	10,000 0 0	...	...	...	...
		11	Ram Saran Das ...	22,000 0 0	17,282 12 0	2,266 5 5	107 0 0	500 13 6
		12	Kithauli ...	500 0 0	...	...	...	...
		13	Murari Lal ...	...	146 0 0	4,590 8 0	...	196 7 6
	Bulandshahr ...	14	Belaun ...	10,000 0 0	...	...	...	38 10 11
	Aligarh ...	15	Mohreni ...	6,500 0 0	0 1 0	403 4 8	...	746 13 6
		16	Lachman Singh ...	...	...	...	...	...
		17	Dadon* ...	...	...	...	...	...
			Total ...	1,20,500 0 0	73,301 15 2	10,014 10 6	107 0 0	17,302 14 9
AGRA.	Muttra ...	18	Parshadi Lal ...	...	...	747 15 7	...	950 4 10
	Agra ...	19	Parna ...	5,000 0 0	45 0 0	35 14 0	400 0 0	...
	Farukhabad ...	20	Abdul Majid Khan ...	4,000 0 0	...	658 12 4	...	1 10 6
		21	Guthena ...	...	...	...	...	0 3 2
		22	Muhammad Raza Khan ...	...	...	...	...	62 7 0
		23	Bhagwat Dial * ...	...	...	41 6 10	...	64 7 1
	Mainpuri ...	24	Arjunpur ...	...	974 2 9	3,593 0 1	...	7,543 12 2
		25	Sujrai Karauli ...	41,500 0 0	13,431 1 6	4,171 14 6	645 8 2	3,392 0 0
		26	Musammat Jasoda Kuar ...	...	...	767 4 3	...	1,641 7 0
	Etáwah ...	27	Chakkarnagar ...	...	...	...	...	...
		28	Harchandpur ...	...	...	1,219 12 9	1,523 6 0	3,301 1 8
		29	Roshangpur ...	...	125 6 0	1,807 8 5	737 14 11	3,122 0 6
	Etah ...	30	Nagla Kisi ...	3,000 0 0	390 5 9	218 2 3	...	14 6 11
		31	Mati-ullah Khan ...	...	2,475 8 0	...	...	54 14 6
		32	Arthara ...	...	...	...	...	2 0 0
		33	Nagla Amin ...	...	28 12 3	168 15 1	...	270 3 2
		34	Chet Singh ...	...	...	84 15 4	...	1,000 7 2
		35	Bhairon Prasad and Jwala Prasad* ...	...	24,585 4 4	13,256 0 4	...	643 12 6
			Total ...	53,500 0 0	42,055 8 7	26,771 9 9	3,306 13 1	22,065 2 2
ROHILKHAND.	Barcilly ...	36	Durga Prasad ...	...	...	...	...	3 1 3
		37	Ballia ...	...	...	490 14 2	163 7 5	651 4 0
		38	Begam Mashuk Mahal	1,20,900 0 0	60,605 0 6	7,228 3 5	1,121 0 0	1,353 1 8
		39	Srigopal ...	4,000 0 0	...	80 9 3	...	135 11 0
		40	Madhopur ...	50,000 0 0	16,243 12 0	...	447 0 0	3,112 11 11
		41	Kiratpur ...	5,000 0 0	...	169 13 0	377 0 3	333 13 8
		42	Malakpur Dehri ...	6,000 0 0	...	117 0 3	333 15 6	213 13 8
		43	Sahanpur ...	65,000 0 0	514 12 9	6,388 8 0	537 10 7	1,875 5 10
	Bijnor ...	44	Kazi Imdad Ali ...	1,500 0 0	...	...	...	6 4 0
		45	Parbin Singh ...	...	...	...	...	71 3 4
		46	Pedi ...	...	...	...	...	63 7 6
		47	Musammat Anandi ...	...	...	229 2 4	...	373 3 3
	Budaun ...	48	Sheikhupur ...	2,000 0 0	...	...	...	...
		49	Budh Prakash ...	19,600 0 0	...	813 3 1	...	150 12 2
		50	Mubarak Husain ...	...	133 5 4	...	...	...
	Moradabad ...	51	Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal.	...	12,716 6 0	8,957 12 0	25 0 0	261 9 3
		52	Anand Sarup and Jagdish Sarup.	...	...	469 13 5	...	175 0 0
		53	Rampur ...	...	...	...	...	610 15 0
		54	Narainpur ...	1,000 0 0	3,596 7 3	48 5 3	50 0 0	1,181 11 0
		55	Nahal ...	...	...	906 4 8	357 2 9	3,305 1 3
		56	Fakhr-ud-din Khan ...	...	...	117 11 5	...	492 5 1
		57	Mohanpur ...	...	...	84 12 6	...	704 11 8
		58	Azizganj ...	...	103 8 0	3,395 15 6	130 3 9	8,225 5 8
	Shahjahánpur	59	Dhukri ...	...	...	...	...	24 1 3
		60	Bamrauli ...	...	...	1,396 11 1	...	887 7 11
		61	Bhatpura Rasúlpura ...	...	1,171 6 0	1,996 10 3	91 11 9	5,111 1 0
		62	Khameria ...	5,000 0 0	5,783 6 7	9,338 4 4	121 8 0	3,170 4 4
		63	Zafar Ali Khan ...	...	...	...	...	65 3 4
		64	Khiriya Rasúlpur ...	...	...	171 3 11	...	404 5 3
	Pilibhít ...	65	Ghungchai* ...	...	...	...	...	...
			Total ...	2,80,000 0 0	1,00,868 0 5	42,600 13 10	3,755 12 0	32,962 15 3

*New

exclusive of the value of landed property, stock and houses.

Cash balance in hand at the close of the year.	Total.	Liabilities.				Balance.		Serial number of estate.
		Government loans.	Court of Wards' loans.	Other debts.	Total.	Surplus.	Deficit.	
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
37 3 10	37 3 10	...	...	1,827 15 6	1,827 15 6	...	1,790 11 8	1
20,346 1 6	27,996 14 8	...	...	...	...	27,996 14 8	...	2
1,473 10 3	2,033 15 3	...	...	...	...	2,033 15 3	...	3
4,092 14 7	12,225 12 0	...	...	2,919 5 9	2,919 5 9	9,306 6 3	...	4
447 9 0	4,220 10 5	...	...	...	...	4,220 10 5	...	5
44 9 0	232 9 0	...	...	...	...	232 9 0	...	6
28 13 0	67,814 3 10	...	...	...	...	67,814 3 10	...	7
4,213 10 6	61,070 15 0	...	...	...	...	61,070 15 0	...	8
88 4 3	1,088 4 3	...	...	...	...	1,088 4 3	...	9
823 5 5	10,823 5 5	...	...	...	...	10,823 5 5	...	10
10,117 14 4	52,274 13 3	...	...	192 8 3	192 8 3	52,082 5 0	...	11
275 5 7	775 5 7	...	...	...	...	775 5 7	...	12
161 15 7	5,094 15 1	...	...	2,293 7 9	2,293 7 9	2,801 7 4	...	13
...	10,038 10 11	...	...	...	...	10,038 10 11	...	14
2,403 15 3	10,054 2 0	...	...	...	...	10,054 2 0	...	15
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16
25,766 12 3	25,766 12 3	...	...	4,31,704 3 9	4,31,704 3 9	...	4,05,937 7 6	17
70,322 0 4	2,91,548 8 9	...	...	4,38,937 9 0	4,38,937 9 0	2,60,339 2 11	4,07,728 3 2	
737 5 11	2,435 10 4	...	...	1,170 0 11	1,170 0 11	1,265 9 5	...	18
3,123 7 0	8,604 5 0	...	...	...	...	8,604 5 0	...	19
503 2 5	5,163 9 3	...	...	...	...	5,163 9 3	...	20
486 7 1	486 10 3	...	...	...	...	486 10 3	...	21
428 13 0	491 4 0	...	...	...	...	491 4 0	...	22
96 8 3	202 6 2	...	...	3,296 3 0	3,296 3 0	...	3,093 12 10	23
656 10 0	12,767 9 0	21,000 0 0	...	...	21,000 0 0	...	8,232 7 0	24
11,573 4 5	74,713 12 7	...	...	...	...	74,713 12 7	...	25
434 7 5	2,843 2 8	...	13,431 1 6	825 0 0	14,256 1 6	...	11,412 14 10	26
127 11 11	127 11 11	...	...	46,500 0 0	46,500 0 0	...	46,372 4 1	27
272 7 3	6,316 11 8	...	32,950 0 0	28,102 9 9	61,052 9 9	...	54,735 14 1	28
429 9 10	6,223 7 8	...	...	...	...	6,222 7 8	...	29
422 13 11	4,045 12 10	...	...	...	...	4,045 12 10	...	30
1,227 7 11	3,757 14 5	...	...	...	...	3,757 14 5	...	31
189 14 9	191 14 9	...	708 0 0	...	708 0 0	...	516 1 3	32
247 5 8	715 4 2	...	800 0 0	...	800 0 0	...	84 11 10	33
59 1 8	1,144 8 2	...	...	10,396 6 11	10,396 6 11	...	9,251 14 9	34
4,949 7 6	43,434 8 8	...	...	4,622 1 9	4,622 1 9	38,812 6 11	...	35
25,966 1 11	1,73,665 3 6	21,000 0 0	47,889 1 6	94,912 6 4	1,63,801 7 10	1,43,563 12 4	1,33,700 0 8	
1,312 13 10	1,315 15 1	...	...	...	...	1,315 15 1	...	36
...	1,305 9 7	...	7,143 4 8	3,999 0 0	11,142 4 8	...	9,836 11 1	37
2,50,893 9 10	4,42,100 15 5	...	...	...	...	4,42,100 15 5	...	38
976 14 4	5,193 2 7	...	...	...	...	5,193 2 7	...	39
1,62,681 5 6	2,32,484 13 5	...	...	...	...	2,32,484 13 5	...	40
10,576 6 9	16,457 1 8	...	...	7,670 9 9	7,670 9 9	8,786 7 11	...	41
1,523 5 7	8,188 3 0	...	...	...	...	8,188 3 0	...	42
9,485 14 4	83,802 3 6	...	...	...	...	83,802 3 6	...	43
14 11 4	1,520 15 4	...	...	...	...	1,520 15 4	...	44
215 15 10	287 3 2	...	514 12 9	...	514 12 9	...	227 9 7	45
29 7 1	92 14 7	...	7,737 3 5	...	7,737 3 5	...	7,644 4 10	46
482 0 5	1,084 6 0	...	...	67 5 6	67 5 6	1,017 0 6	...	47
631 11 2	2,631 11 2	...	...	5,000 0 0	5,000 0 0	...	2,368 4 10	48
1,234 13 6	21,798 12 9	...	...	...	...	21,798 12 9	...	49
313 15 7	447 4 11	...	...	5,570 7 5	5,570 7 5	...	5,123 2 6	50
...	21,960 11 3	...	...	...	...	21,960 11 3	...	51
324 0 11	968 14 4	...	...	...	...	968 14 4	...	52
47 13 9	658 12 9	...	...	43,396 2 6	43,396 2 6	...	42,737 5 9	53
4,112 14 1	9,989 5 7	...	...	...	...	9,989 5 7	...	54
8,792 13 7	13,361 6 3	...	...	...	...	13,361 6 3	...	55
334 10 8	944 11 2	...	...	2,916 12 4	2,916 12 4	...	1,972 1 2	56
381 6 7	1,170 14 9	...	7,195 3 8	...	7,195 3 8	...	6,024 4 11	57
1,162 12 3	13,017 13 2	...	...	21,654 6 1	21,654 6 1	...	8,636 8 11	58
3 5 10	27 7 1	...	142 11 8	...	142 11 8	...	115 4 7	59
102 1 0	2,386 4 0	...	1,441 14 0	592 1 7	2,033 15 7	352 4 5	...	60
1,648 1 6	10,018 14 6	...	...	14,525 14 2	14,525 14 2	...	4,506 15 8	61
956 9 1	24,570 0 4	...	...	...	...	24,570 0 4	...	62
87 9 2	152 12 6	...	1,500 0 0	980 15 8	2,480 15 8	...	2,328 3 2	63
298 0 2	873 9 4	...	...	2,305 1 1	2,305 1 1	...	1,431 7 9	64
...	...	...	...	7,167 11 6	7,167 11 6	...	7,167 11 6	65
4,58,625 3 8	9,18,812 13 2	...	25,075 2 2	1,15,846 7 7	1,41,521 9 9	8,77,411 3 8	1,00,120 0 3	

estate.

STATEMENT No. II.—*Assets and liabilities of the estates on the 30th September* 1891,

Division.	District.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Assets. Amount held in Government securities.	Debts secured by hypothecation or mortgage of property.	Debts not secured by hypothecation or mortgage of property.	Takávi advances.	Recoverable arrears of rents, &c.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
ALLAHABAD.	Cawnpore ...	66	Rawatpur ...	...	11,072 8 1	3,491 6 10	138 0 0	13,973 0 11
		67	Ratanpur ...	...	...	...	...	148 7 1
		68	Darwapur ...	...	...	...	...	111 10 5
		69	Sakarwan ...	...	...	62 5 3	...	706 1 10
		70	Banajakha ...	...	1,495 3 4	...	...	8 0 8
		71	Misri Lal ...	...	...	96 6 11	...	1,155 2 5
		72	Janki Prasad* ...	...	...	...	...	...
	Fatehpur ...	73	Patti Shah ...	2,000 0 0	...	...	...	...
		74	Arampur Bushi ...	...	...	7 7 2	75 0 0	1,357 12 11
		75	Wahid-un-nisa ...	...	150 0 0	40 9 7	...	17 14 10
		76	Bhamba ...	...	...	...	...	128 14 5
	Bánda ...	77	Karhui ...	...	...	...	141 0 0	203 9 11
		78	Bahuri ...	...	...	...	...	21 13 2
		79	Sultan Husain and Musammat Shams-un-nisa.	...	...	171 7 6	...	427 2 5
		80	Diya Chakwa ...	...	...	...	49 7 5	1,340 11 4
		81	Singhpur ...	...	...	...	34 7 3	362 0 9
		82	Baghel ...	...	...	...	...	49 8 8
		83	Garauti* ...	...	...	...	...	94 10 0
	Allahabad ...	84	Partab Chand ...	1,21,800 0 0	2,86,022 12 4	7,813 18 2	50 0 0	7,290 11 8
		85	Sarái Pitha ...	...	71 5 4	380 3 11	...	7,548 2 5
		86	Gambhira ...	...	...	429 4 6	...	677 6 11
		87	Sháhpur ...	...	67 6 11	93 9 9	...	10 12 4
		88	Bara ...	...	3,670 1 4	10,596 10 6	642 0 0	8,046 10 5
	Jhánsi ...	89	Chirgaon ...	4,300 0 0	157 15 10	...	...	13 10 5
		90	Kukurgaon ...	4,200 0 0	...	40 2 3	441 3 7	121 5 11
		91	Beora ...	700 0 0	...	...	...	126 13 6
	Jalaun ...	92	Dhagwan Khurd, No. I	7,800 0 0	1,000 9 9	120 8 0	51 4 10	390 9 6
		93	Dhagwan Khurd, No. II	500 0 0	1,000 9 9	120 8 0	51 4 10	107 5 11
		94	Mailwar Andela ...	...	...	...	...	31 10 10
		95	Manikju and Dulaju.	...	...	...	...	0 12 0
	Lalitpur ...	96	Lalitpur ...	...	...	...	...	68 3 11
		97	Pahalwan Singh ...	...	...	...	...	1 0 0
			Total ...	1,41,300 0 0	3,04,708 8 8	23,464 7 4	1,673 11 11	44,541 13 6
BENARES.	Mirzapur ...	98	Gopalpur, No. I ...	...	...	...	...	...
		99	Sangaband ...	...	...	...	...	...
	Jaunpur ...	100	Pathana ...	1,000 0 0	7,581 8 0	...	...	344 12 9
		101	Batuk Prasad ...	...	852 6 0	1,780 7 1	...	2,352 10 6
		102	Hardial Lohár ...	800 0 0	...	...	...	...
	Gházipur ...	103	Karim-ud-dinpur ...	a 1,600 0 0	...	...	...	61 5 10
		104	Anapur ...	...	...	37,507 6 9	130 0 0	11,883 8 5
		105	Lakshmi Narain ...	...	...	3,648 15 3	...	62 3 4
		106	Kodai ...	...	...	...	...	...
			Total ...	3,400 0 0	8,433 14 0	42,936 13 1	130 0 0	14,704 8 10
GORAKHPUR.	Gorakhpur ...	107	Majhauli ...	...	...	12,096 8 6	...	44,622 3 1
		108	Chaumukha ...	5,100 0 0	...	...	...	573 13 4
		109	Bansgaon ...	32,000 0 0	...	79 8 2	17 0 0	2,148 15 9
		110	Dumri ...	b	18,295 6 8	23 4 0	254 12 9	5,581 15 6
		111	Narharpur ...	...	...	163 5 1	...	2,455 10 10
		112	Brijnandan Das ...	...	...	66,062 10 3	...	3,007 12 4
	Basti ...	113	Fida Husain ...	2,500 0 0	...	...	65 4 0	192 4 2
	Azamgarh ...	114	Sarái Mír ...	...	...	436 2 7	...	4,049 10 5
			Total ...	39,600 0 0	18,295 6 8	78,861 6 7	337 0 9	62,632 5 5
			GRAND TOTAL ...	6,38,300 0 0	5,47,063 5 6	2,24,649 13 1	9,310 5 9	1,94,209 11 11

* New

(*a*) Of this Rs. 600 are invested

(*b*) The Rs. 78,000 invested in Government securities at the close of the previous year, have been

exclusive of the value of landed property, stock and houses—(continued).

		Liabilities.				Balance.		
Cash balance in hand at the close of the year.	Total.	Government loans.	Court of Wards' loans.	Other debts.	Total.	Surplus.	Deficit.	Serial number of estate.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
						28,674 15 10		6
...	28,674 15 10	...	...	...	...		182 5 8	7
24 4 10	172 11 11	...	...	355 1 7	355 1 7	...	786 7 8	8
45 9 7	157 4 0	...	943 11 8	...	943 11 8	...		9
96 1 8	864 8 9	...	...	...	...	864 8 9	...	10
53 12 5	1,557 0 5	...	...	...	...	1,557 0 5	...	11
187 2 9	1,438 12 1	...	...	1,558 0 5	1,558 0 5	..	119 4 4	12
1,364 5 3	1,364 5 3	...	...	...	...	1,364 5 3	...	13
1,158 5 7	3,158 5 7	...	...	...	...	3,158 5 7	...	14
4,918 6 0	6,358 10 1	...	...	6,636 9 6	6,636 9 6	...	277 15 5	15
159 3 4	367 11 9	...	...	260 0 0	260 0 0	107 11 9	...	16
60 5 5	189 3 10	...	...	...	...	189 3 10	...	17
1,506 12 5	1,851 6 4	...	...	637 3 9	637 3 9	1,214 2 7	...	18
48 9 7	70 6 9	..	1,415 7 1	...	1,415 7 1	...	1,345 0 4	19
232 3 4	830 13 3	...	4,230 15 1	...	4,230 15 1	...	3,400 1 10	79
366 11 11	1,756 14 8	...	4,806 1 3	...	4,806 1 3	...	3,049 2 7	80
1,337 8 11	1,734 0 11	...	..	18,096 1 7	18,096 1 7	...	16,362 0 8	81
22 13 11	72 6 7	...	1,625 2 6	...	1,625 2 6	...	1,552 11 11	82
245 12 6	340 6 6	...	...	...	...	340 6 6	...	83
1,75,398 14 1	5,98,376 3 3	...	...	...	...	5,98,376 3 3	...	84
...	7,999 11 8	...	...	...	...	7,999 11 8	...	85
4,593 9 11	5,700 5 4	...	...	...	...	5,700 5 4	...	86
473 15 8	645 12 8	...	...	23,149 5 10	23,149 5 10	...	22,503 9 2	87
2,998 0 5	25,953 6 8	...	2,34,602 14 2	...	2,34,602 14 2	...	2,08,649 7 6	88
57 4 5	4,528 14 8	...	...	...	...	4,528 14 8	...	89
166 7 5	4,969 3 2	...	...	...	...	4,969 3 2	...	90
401 10 7	1,228 8 1	...	...	...	...	1,228 8 1	...	91
643 4 4	10,006 4 5	...	...	...	...	10,006 4 5	...	92
462 10 7	2,242 7 1	...	...	...	...	2,242 7 1	...	93
7 2 9	38 13 7	...	...	...	...	38 13 7	...	94
162 2 8	162 14 8	...	...	16 12 6	16 12 6	146 2 2	...	95
389 12 9	458 0 8	...	...	335 15 9	335 15 9	122 0 11	...	96
296 3 0	297 3 0	...	...	585 3 6	585 3 6	...	288 0 6	97
1,97,879 4 0	7,13,567 13 5	...	2,47,624 3 9	51,630 6 5	2,99,254 10 2	6,72,829 6 10	2,58,516 3 7	
				800 0 0	800 0 0	...	522 12 8	98
277 3 4	277 3 4	...	...		2,530 1 10	...	2,530 1 10	99
...	...	2,530 1 10	...	...	...	10,578 1 11	...	100
1,651 13 2	10,578 1 11	...	...	1,987 10 2	1,987 10 2	3,542 1 0	...	101
544 3 7	5,529 11 2	...	...	...	...	858 5 5	...	102
58 5 5	858 5 5	...	...	...	...	1,802 4 2	...	103
140 14 4	1,802 4 2	...	...	300 2 0	34,920 4 8	28,729 2 2	...	104
14,128 7 8	63,649 6 10	...	34,620 2 8	2,416 10 5	2,416 10 5	1,928 5 6	...	105
633 13 4	4,044 15 11	...	...	...	...	49 9 6	...	106
49 9 6	49 9 6	...	...					
17,484 6 4	87,089 10 3	2,530 1 10	34,620 2 8	5,504 6 7	42,654 11 1	47,487 13 8	3,052 14 6	
						74,944 12 9	...	107
18,226 1 2	74,944 12 9	...	...	...	...	8,567 8 5	...	108
2,893 11 1	8,567 8 5	...	...	...	...	44,831 5 9	...	109
10,585 13 10	44,831 5 9	...	...	...	...	35,512 13 0	...	110
11,357 6 1	35,512 13 0	...	...	...	...	2,391 3 6	...	111
1,472 3 7	4,091 3 6	...	...	1,700 0 0	1,700 0 0	25,365 15 5	...	112
913 9 4	69,988 15 11	...	...	44,618 0 6	44,618 0 6	3,495 6 5	...	113
737 14 3	3,495 6 5	...	...	...	...	...	73,272 12 10	114
2,995 12 0	7,481 9 0	...	...	80,754 5 10	80,754 5 10	...		
49,182 7 4	2,48,908 10 9	...	...	1,27,072 6 4	1,27,072 6 4	1,95,109 1 3	73,272 12 10	
8,19,459 7 7	24,33,592 11 10	23,530 1 10	3,55,808 10 1	8,33,903 10 8	12,13,242 6 2	21,96,740 8 8	9,76,330 3 6	

estate.
in railway shares.
transferred to the Panjáb Court of Wards, in which province the headquarters of this estate lie.

STATEMENT No. III.—*Showing the rental demand, collections*

Division.	District.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Full current rental of the year.	Demand at the commencement of the year.		
					Current.	Arrears.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p
MEERUT.	Saháranpur ...	1	Raghunath Das	1,195 2 9	1,195 2 9	...	1,195 2 9
		2	Kunjpura	7,470 15 9	7,470 15 9	7,469 1 3	14,940 1 6
		3	Landhaura*	5,064 7 10	5,064 7 10	...	5,064 7 10
	Muzaffarnagar ...	4	Sambalhera	19,305 11 4	*a* 19,221 14 10	*b* 2,263 6 8	21,487 5 6
		5	Bidauli	7,555 15 5	*c* 7,549 12 6	*d* 971 3 4	8,520 15 10
		6	Karana	119 0 0	119 0 0	...	119 0 0
		7	Bhandura	37,401 12 8	*e* 36,834 8 8	*f* 3,631 0 3	40,465 8 11
	Meerut ...	8	Karam Ali Khan	30,574 5 0	30,574 5 0	*g* 211 2 11	30,785 7 11
		9	Daurala	1,156 6 3	1,156 6 3	...	1,156 6 3
		10	Hápur	4,705 12 5	4,705 12 5	242 1 7	4,947 14 0
		11	Ram Saran Das	20,381 9 0	*h* 20,211 2 0	*i* 826 2 0	21,037 4 0
		12	Kithauli	840 4 6	840 4 6	...	840 4 6
		13	Murari Lal	7,362 2 7	7,362 2 7	118 6 11	7,480 9 6
	Bulandshahr ...	14	Belaun	1,930 9 0	1,930 9 0	45 14 8	1,976 7 8
	Aligarh ...	15	Mohreni	9,444 3 6	9,444 3 6	*j* 473 3 0	9,917 6 6
		16	Lachman Singh	...	...	...	...
		17	Dadon*	1,500 0 0	1,500 0 0	...	1,500 0 0
			Total ...	1,56,008 6 0	1,55,180 11 7	16,253 10 7	1,71,434 6 2
AGRA.	Muttra ...	18	Parshadi Lal	2,018 4 9	2,018 4 9	*k* 527 15 9	2,546 4 6
	Agra ...	19	Parna	11,804 4 9	11,804 4 9	...	11,804 4 9
	Farukhabad ...	20	Abdul Majid Khan ...	190 15 10	*l* 185 0 0	*m* 10 0 4	195 0 4
		21	Guthena	607 15 6	*n* 607 5 1	*o* 7 4 6	614 9 7
		22	Muhammad Raza Khan ...	436 12 8	*p* 422 15 5	*q* 191 7 4	614 6 9
		23	Bhagwat Dial*	302 12 3	302 12 3	273 14 11	576 11 2
	Mainpuri ...	24	Arjunpur	15,156 4 5	*r* 15,050 10 6	*s* 6,072 10 3	21,123 4 9
		25	Sujrai Karauli	31,188 3 9	31,188 3 9	*t* 3,026 4 0	34,214 7 9
		26	Musammat Jasoda Kuar ...	6,058 13 4	*u* 5,975 10 9	*v* 1,202 6 9	7,178 1 6
	Etáwah ...	27	Chakkarnagar	30,720 5 2	30,720 5 2	50 0 0	30,770 5 2
		28	Harchandpur	32,688 4 7	*w* 32,528 11 11	*x* 1,498 8 6	34,027 4 5
		29	Roshangpur	12,625 8 7	*y* 12,578 10 1	*z* 1,714 15 0	14,293 9 1
	Etah ...	30	Nagla Kisi	854 0 9	854 0 9	*aa* 51 11 9	905 12 6
		31	Mati-ullah Khan	370 8 8	370 8 8	*bb* 203 14 5	574 7 1
		32	Arthara	821 1 0	821 1 0	*cc* 24 3 0	845 4 0
		33	Nagla Amín	1,406 2 2	*dd* 1,359 2 2	*ee* 218 1 5	1,577 3 7
		34	Chet Singh	2,373 10 6	*ff* 2,357 10 6	*gg* 1,391 3 7	3,748 14 1
		35	Bhairon Prasad and Jwala Prasad.*	11,834 12 5	*hh* 11,740 1 6	971 6 5	12,711 7 11
			Total ...	1,61,458 13 1	1,60,885 7 0	17,435 15 11	1,78,321 6 11

* New

(*a*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 83-12-6.
(*b*) Rs. 91-3-2 remitted.
(*c*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 6-2-11.
(*d*) Rs. 129-7-6 remitted.
(*e*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 567-4-0.
(*f*) Ditto „ 614-15-2, and Rs. 833-1-1 remitted.
(*g*) Rs. 203-14-5 remitted.
(*h*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 170-7-0.
(*i*) Ditto „ 85-10-6, and Rs. 476-3-3 remitted.
(*j*) Ditto „ 72-8-0, and Rs. 6-14-8 remitted.
(*k*) Ditto „ 606-8-1, and Rs. 947-14-7 since found due and added.
(*l*) Rs. 5-15-10 realized in advance.
(*m*) Rs. 8-6-2 remitted.
(*n*) Rs. 0-10-5 realized in advance.
(*o*) Rs. 2-8-6 remitted.
(*p*) Rs. 13-13-3 realized in advance.
(*q*) Rs. 68-7-9 remitted.

and balances of the several estates, for the revenue year 1890-91.

Collections.			Balance.			Percentage of collections to demand.			Advance collections made in year under report on account of the following year.	Serial number of estate.
Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.		
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.				Rs. a. p	
1,195 2 9	...	1,195 2 9	...	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	1
6,177 12 5	1,111 7 5	7,289 3 10	1,293 3 4	6,357 9 10	7,650 13 2	83·	15·	49·	...	2
4,504 2 10	...	4,504 2 10	560 5 0	...	560 5 0	89·	...	89·	...	3
17,937 8 3	1,812 14 8	19,750 6 11	1,284 6 7	452 8 0	1,736 14 7	93·	80·	92·	...	4
6,905 2 9	832 8 9	7,737 11 6	644 9 9	138 10 7	783 4 4	91·	86·	91·	...	5
119 0 0	...	119 0 0	...	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	6
33,350 11 1	2,250 0 4	35,600 11 5	3,483 13 7	1,380 15 11	4,864 13 6	91·	62·	88	...	7
30,171 3 5	175 14 9	30,347 2 2	403 1 7	35 4 2	438 5 9	99·	83·	98·	...	8
1,156 6 3	...	1,156 6 3	...	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	9
4,705 12 5	242 1 7	4,947 14 0	...	...	...	100·	100·	100	...	10
19,754 15 9	607 5 9	20,362 5 6	456 2 8	218 12 3	674 14 6	98·	73·	97·	...	11
840 4 6	...	840 4 6	...	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	12
7,349 10 7	118 6 11	7,468 1 6	12 8 0	...	12 8 0	99·	100·	99·	...	13
1,911 12 8	45 14 8	1,957 11 4	18 12 4	...	18 12 4	99·	100·	99·	...	14
8,988 1 1	336 2 4	9,324 3 5	456 2 5	137 0 8	593 3 1	95·	71·	94·	...	15
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16
1,500 0 0	....	1,500 0 0	...	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	17
1,46,567 10 9	7,532 13 2	1,54,100 7 11	8,613 0 10	8,720 13 5	17,333 14 3	94·	46·	90·	...	
1,658 7 4	39 7 8	1,697 15 0	359 13 5	488 8 1	848 5 6	82·	7·	67·	...	18
11,804 4 9	...	11,804 4 9	...	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	19
184 3 11	10 0 4	194 4 3	0 12 1	...	0 12 1	99·	100·	99·	...	20
599 7 6	6 10 8	606 2 2	7 13 7	0 9 10	8 7 5	99·	86·	99·	0 10 11	21
400 12 6	26 10 7	427 7 1	22 2 11	164 12 9	186 15 8	95·	14·	70·	11 13 9	22
231 6 0	17 7 5	248 13 5	71 6 3	256 7 6	327 13 9	76·	6·	43·	...	23
11,622 15 9	1,090 13 9	12,713 13 6	3,427 10 9	4,981 12 6	8,409 7 3	77·	18·	60·	...	24
28,028 9 5	617 4 4	28,645 13 9	3,159 10 4	2,408 15 8	5,568 10 0	90·	20·	84·	2 15 10	25
4,760 0 10	595 8 7	5,355 9 5	1,215 9 11	606 14 2	1,822 8 1	80·	49·	75·	...	26
30,720 5 2	50 0 0	30,770 5 2	...	...	...	100·	100·	100·	...	27
30,149 0 2	706 11 6	30,855 11 8	2,379 11 9	791 13 0	3,171 8 9	93·	47·	91·	15 4 11	28
10,397 7 1	549 10 11	10,947 2 0	2,181 3 0	1,165 4 1	3,346 7 1	83·	32·	77·	14 0 3	29
853 4 0	45 2 3	898 6 3	0 12 9	6 9 6	7 6 3	99·	87·	99·	0 2 1	30
317 6 2	18 8 1	335 14 3	53 2 6	185 6 4	238 8 10	86·	9·	59·	...	31
819 1 0	7 9 3	826 10 3	2 0 0	16 9 9	18 9 9	99·	33·	98·	...	32
1,283 6 2	20 15 6	1,304 5 8	75 12 0	197 1 11	272 13 11	94·	10·	83·	...	33
1,421 10 3	191 0 1	1,612 10 4	936 0 3	1,200 3 6	2,136 3 9	60·	14·	43·	...	34
11,299 15 9	415 3 0	11,715 2 9	440 1 9	556 3 5	996 5 2	96·	43·	92·	1 13 4	35
1,46,551 11 9	4,408 11 11	1,50,960 7 8	14,333 11 3	13,027 4 0	27,360 15 3	91·	25·	85·	46 13 1	

estate.

(*r*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 105-9-11.
(*s*) Ditto „ 248-10-11, and Rs. 213-15-2 remitted.
(*t*) Rs. 2,356 remitted.
(*u*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 83-2-7.
(*v*) Ditto „ 493-4-0, and Rs. 449-7-3 remitted.
(*w*) Ditto „ 159-8-8.
(*x*) Ditto „ 207-12-7, and Rs. 736-1-2 remitted.
(*y*) Ditto „ 41-3-0, and Rs. 5-11-6 realized in advance.
(*z*) Ditto „ 244-9-6, and Rs. 1,167-9-2 remitted.
(*aa*) Rs. 5 remitted.
(*bb*) Rs. 143-8-0 remitted.
(*cc*) Rs. 7 remitted.
(*dd*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 47.
(*ee*) Ditto „ 51-1-0, and Rs. 60-11-9 remitted.
(*ff*) Ditto „ 16.
(*gg*) Ditto „ 49, and Rs 1,321-10-10 remitted.
(*hh*) Rs. 94-10-11 realized before estate came under management.

STATEMENT No. III.—*Showing the rental demand, collections*

Division.	District.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Full current rental of the year.	Demand at the commencement of the year.		
					Current.	Arrears.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
ROHILKHAND.	Bareilly ...	36	Durga Prasad	1,746 0 3	1,746 0 3	28 2 3	1,774 2 6
		37	Ballia	8,177 2 6	*a* 8,140 7 3	*b* 594 0 10	8,734 8 1
		38	Begam Mashúk Mahal ...	29,085 8 2	*c* 28,964 7 0	*d* 1,550 0 11	30,514 7 11
		39	Srigopal	3,330 1 6	3,330 1 6	*e* 241 6 11	3,571 8 5
		40	Madhopur	71,676 8 2	71,676 8 2	*f* 3,791 5 7	75,467 13 9
	Bijnor ...	41	Kiratpur	8,614 12 6	*g* 8,500 14 11	340 10 10	8,841 9 9
		42	Malakpur Dehri	8,893 4 9	8,893 4 9	249 14 8	9,143 3 5
		43	Sahanpur	1,05,496 1 8	*h* 1,02,264 8 10	*i* 2,383 2 0	1,04,647 10 10
		44	Kazi Imdad Ali	597 0 6	597 0 6	...	597 0 6
		45	Parbin Singh	2,363 14 6	2,363 14 6	9 11 6	2,373 10 0
		46	Pedi	1,262 10 11	1,262 10 11	43 3 6	1,305 14 5
		47	Musammat Anandi ...	1,417 13 8	1,417 13 8	...	1,417 13 8
	Budaun ...	48	Sheikhupur	2,681 10 1	2,681 10 1	...	2,681 10 1
	Moradabad ...	49	Budh Prakash	5,544 6 0	*j* 5,543 2 0	*k* 344 14 6	5,888 0 6
		50	Mubarak Hussain	429 6 0	429 6 0	...	429 6 0
		51	Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal	1,400 0 0	1,400 0 0	*l* 174 5 6	1,574 5 6
		52	Anand Sarup and Jagdish Sarup	566 0 7	566 0 7	*m* 103 3 5	669 4 0
		53	Rámpur	3,525 0 0	3,525 0 0	*n* 1,196 2 6	4,721 2 6
	Sháhjahánpur ...	54	Narainpur	4,657 1 0	*o* 4,605 14 6	1,085 12 3	5,691 10 9
		55	Nahal	26,598 13 9	*p* 26,330 7 8	*q* 3,003 11 0	29,334 2 8
		56	Fakhr-ud-din Khan ...	2,578 10 5	2,578 10 5	*r* 269 5 2	2,847 15 7
		57	Mohanpur	7,592 6 2	*s* 7,560 11 5	*t* 739 12 11	8,300 8 4
		58	Azizganj	29,126 7 2	*u* 28,924 3 8	*v* 6,561 7 7	35,485 11 3
		59	Dhukri	114 0 9	*w* 111 8 6	13 6 0	124 14 6
		60	Bamrauli	649 3 9	*x* 648 12 3	1,039 14 2	1,688 10 11
		61	Bhatpura Rasúlpura ...	20,940 5 0	*y* 20,858 6 10	*z* 4,951 9 11	25,810 0 9
		62	Khameria	10,814 8 11	*aa* 10,732 0 1	*bb* 2,897 10 8	13,629 10 9
		63	Zafar Ali Khan	606 0 2	*cc* 591 8 5	514 13 3	1,106 5 8
		64	Khiriya Rasúlpur	1,191 0 3	*dd* 1,155 13 1	762 11 3	1,918 8 4
	Pilibhít ...	65	Ghungchai*	...	...	...	...
			Total ...	3,61,625 15 1	3,57,401 0 3	32,890 7 1	3,90,291 7 4

* New

(*a*) Rs. 36-11-3 realized in advance.

(*b*) Rs. 33-12-9 remitted.

(*c*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 21-14-6, and Rs. 49-2-8 realized in advance.

(*d*) Rs. 217-5-10 realized in advance.

(*e*) Rs. 33-2-0 remitted.

(*f*) Rs. 12,775-15-6 remitted, and Rs. 1,582-0-2 since found due and added.

(*g*) Rs. 113-13-7 realized in advance.

(*h*) Rs. 3,231-8-10 realized in advance.

(*i*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 509-8-10, and Rs. 494-2-1 remitted.

(*j*) Re. 1-4-0 realized in advance.

(*k*) Rs. 215-2-8 remitted.

(*l*) Rs. 8 remitted.

(*m*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 367-12-1.

(*n*) Rs. 247-10-6 remitted.

(*o*) Rs. 51-2-6 realized in advance.

and balances of the several estates, for the revenue year 1890-91—(continued).

Collections.			Balance.			Percentage of collections to demand.			Advance collections made in year under report on account of the following year.	Serial number of estate.
Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.		
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.				Rs. a. p.	
1,744 7 0	26 15 3	1,771 6 3	1 9 3	1 3 0	2 12 3	99·	96·	99·	...	36
7,321 8 0	230 2 1	7,551 10 1	818 15 8	363 14 9	1,182 14 0	90·	39·	86·	91 5 0	37
27,734 10 5	1,171 6 7	28,906 1 0	1,229 12 7	378 10 4	1,608 6 11	96·	76·	95·	86 10 9	38
3,193 0 0	238 2 5	3,431 2 5	137 1 6	3 4 6	140 6 0	96·	99·	96·	...	39
69,745 2 4	2,149 2 7	71,894 4 11	1,931 5 10	1,642 3 0	3,573 8 10	97·	57·	94·	...	40
8,377 5 1	94 6 8	8,471 11 9	123 9 10	246 4 2	369 14 0	98·	27·	96·	1 8 10	41
8,854 10 5	25 9 0	8,880 3 5	38 10 4	224 5 8	263 0 0	99·	10·	97·	...	42
1,01,000 8 10	1,083 7 9	1,02,084 0 7	664 0 0	1,299 10 3	1,963 10 3	99·	45·	98·	2,107 8 5	43
597 0 6	...	597 0 6	...	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	44
2,294 10 8	7 12 0	2,302 6 8	69 3 10	1 15 6	71 3 4	97·	80·	97·	...	45
1,205 3 5	20 3 7	1,225 7 0	57 7 6	22 15 11	80 7 5	95·	46·	93·	...	46
1,059 1 8	...	1,059 1 8	358 12 0	...	358 12 0	75·	...	75·	1 7 1	47
2,681 10 1	...	2,681 10 1	...	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	48
5,453 8 8	81 3 8	5,534 12 4	89 9 4	263 10 10	353 4 2	98·	23·	94·	...	49
429 6 0	...	429 6 0	...	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	50
1,248 14 0	89 12 0	1,338 10 0	151 2 0	84 9 6	235 11 6	89·	52·	85·	...	51
391 0 7	101 5 0	492 5 7	175 0 0	1 14 5	176 14 5	69·	98·	74·	...	52
3,377 8 0	157 4 3	3,534 12 3	147 8 0	1,038 14 3	1,186 6 3	96·	13·	75·	...	53
4,203 3 9	321 14 9	4,525 2 6	402 10 9	763 13 6	1,166 8 3	91·	30·	79·	59 2 3	54
24,797 8 2	1,112 13 3	25,910 5 5	1,532 15 6	1,890 13 9	3,423 13 3	94·	37·	88·	119 2 9	55
2,266 10 2	113 1 3	2,379 11 5	312 0 3	156 3 11	468 4 2	88·	42·	84·	24 12 6	56
7,161 7 2	264 4 8	7,425 11 10	399 4 3	475 8 3	874 12 6	95·	36·	89·	40 13 3	57
25,518 7 11	1,753 14 11	27,272 6 10	3,405 11 9	4,807 8 8	8,213 4 5	88·	27·	77·	185 8 10	58
98 12 6	2 0 9	100 13 3	12 12 0	11 5 3	24 1 3	89·	15·	81·	3 2 9	59
545 12 9	159 5 1	705 1 10	103 0 0	880 9 1	983 9 1	84·	11·	39·	9 1 3	60
18,763 2 6	2,060 13 9	20,824 0 3	2,095 4 4	2,890 12 2	4,986 0 6	90·	42·	81·	78 3 4	61
9,663 9 5	783 10 6	10,447 3 11	1,068 6 8	2,114 0 2	3,182 6 10	90·	27·	77·	46 2 6	62
504 0 8	60 0 8	564 1 4	87 7 9	454 12 7	542 4 4	85·	12·	51·	3 2 3	63
947 1 7	86 3 0	1,033 4 7	208 11 6	676 8 3	885 3 9	82·	11·	54·	4 3 6	64
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	65
3,41,779 0 3	12,194 15 5	3,53,973 15 8	15,622 0 0	20,695 7 8	36,317 7 8	96·	37·	91·	2,861 15 3	

estate.

(*p*) Rs. 268-6-1 realized in advance.

(*q*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 298-3-3, and Rs. 158-14-0 remitted.

(*r*) Rs. 35-14-6 remitted.

(*s*) Rs. 31-10-9 realized in advance.

(*t*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 33-8-3.

(*u*) Rs. 202-3-6 realized in advance.

(*v*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 360-13-9, and Rs. 123-2-6 remitted.

(*w*) Rs. 2-8-3 realized in advance.

(*x*) Rs. 0-7-0 realized in advance.

(*y*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 6-1-3, and Rs. 75-12-11 realized in advance.

(*z*) Ditto ,, 145-4-0, and Rs. 9-14-0 remitted.

(*aa*) Ditto ,, 21-11-7, and Rs. 60-13-3 realized in advance.

(*bb*) Ditto ,, 265-7-1, and Rs. 34-8-6 remitted.

(*cc*) Rs. 14-7-9 realized in advance.

(*dd*) Rs. 35-3-2 realized in advance.

STATEMENT No. III.—*Showing the rental demand, collections*

Division.	District.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Full current rental of the year.	Demand at the commencement of the year.		
					Current.	Arrears.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
ALLAHABAD.	Cawnpore ...	66	Rawatpur	55,170 4 3	55,170 4 3	*a* 4,073 9 5	59,243 13 8
		67	Ratanpur	1,491 15 8	1,491 15 8	*b* 72 11 10	1,564 11 6
		68	Darwapur	827 11 9	827 11 9	*c* 123 1 10	950 13 7
		69	Sakarwan	5,461 15 4	5,461 15 4	*d* 476 8 11	5,938 8 3
		70	Banajakha	790 10 3	790 10 3	*e* 20 10 6	811 4 9
		71	Misri Lal	5,387 2 1	*f* 5,381 7 2	*g* 1,690 15 6	7,072 6 8
		72	Janki Prasad*	...	...	...	...
	Fatehpur ...	73	Patti Shah	2,025 5 4	2,025 5 4	...	2,025 5 4
		74	Arampur Bushi... ...	18,684 5 7	18,684 5 7	*h* 1,947 6 6	20,631 12 1
		75	Wahid-un-nisa	902 4 7	902 4 7	*i* 125 10 2	1,027 14 9
	Bánda ...	76	Bhamba	877 0 4	877 0 4	*j* 184 4 1	1,061 4 5
		77	Kurhai	6,490 0 1	*k* 6,365 0 1	*l* 687 5 7	7,052 5 8
		78	Bahuri	1,200 1 10	1,200 1 10	*m* 18 2 7	1,218 4 5
		79	Sultan Husain and Musammat Shams-un-nisa.	3,187 12 0	*n* 3,187 10 0	*o* 1,173 4 11	4,360 14 11
		80	Diya Cankwa	5,772 4 7	5,772 4 7	*p* 1,867 1 5	7,639 6 0
		81	Singhpur	7,118 14, 10	*q* 7,110 12 4	*r* 1,635 9 9	8,746 6 1
		82	Baghel	632 5 2	632 5 2	*s* 91 15 6	724 4 8
		83	Garauti*	369 11 3	369 11 3	606 4 9	976 0 0
	Allahabad ...	84	Partab Chand	1,56,090 14 6	*t* 1,52,610 8 5	*u* 5,225 4 7	1,57,835 13 0
		85	Sarái Pitha	6,714 0 0	6,714 0 0	778 12 0	7,492 12 0
		86	Gambhira	26,428 11 0	*v* 26,109 10 2	*w* 325 7 4	26,435 1 6
		87	Shahpur	14,599 14 11	*x* 14,429 3 0	*y* 85 10 9	14,514 13 9
		88	Bara	1,26,264 12 2	*z* 1,25,232 13 4	*aa* 9,765 1 1	1,34,997 14 5
	Jhánsi	89	Chirgaon	1,819 11 0	1,819 11 0	121 15 0	1,941 10 0
		90	Kukurgaon	7,788 15 3	*bb* 7,756 3 3	*cc* 813 1 8	8,569 4 11
	Jalaun ...	91	Beona	2,244 1 9	2,244 1 9	...	2,244 1 9
		92	Dhagwan Khurd No. I ..	4,366 15 0	4,366 15 0	246 12 0	4,613 11 0
		93	Ditto No. II ...	2,162 0 0	2,162 0 0	217 10 3	2,379 10 3
	Lalitpur ...	94	Mailwar Andela ...	104 1 8	104 1 8	42 6 6	146 8 2
		95	Manikju and Dulaju ...	160 8 11	160 8 11	...	160 8 11
		96	Lalitpur	1,466 13 7	1,466 13 7	*dd* 52 3 11	1,519 1 6
		97	Pahalwan Singh. ...	1,057 3 11	1,057 3 11	...	1,057 3 11
			Total ...	4,67,658 8 7	4,62,484 11 6	32,469 0 4	4,94,953 11 10
BENARES.	Mirzapur ...	98	Gopálpur, No. I ...	1,573 3 2	1,573 3 2	...	1,573 3 2
		99	Sangaband	571 14 6	571 14 6	*ee* 10 15 6	582 14 0
	Jaunpur ...	100	Pathana	862 15 6	862 15 6	46 7 9	909 7 3
		101	Batuk Prasad	7,704 12 7	*ff* 7,670 12 7	*gg* 2,337 4 3	10,008 0 10
		102	Hardial Lohár	3 0 0	3 0 0	...	3 0 0
		103	Karim-ud-dinpur ...	1,208 10 5	1,208 10 5	5 0 2	1,213 10 7
	Gházipur ...	104	Arapur	81,506 9 10	*hh* 80,475 3 10	*ii* 9,976 1 3	90,451 5 1
		105	Lakshmi Narain ...	2,448 8 3	*jj* 2,441 10 9	*kk* 277 9 1	2,719 3 10
		106	Kodai	116 5 5	116 5 5	...	116 5 5
			Total ...	96,055 15 8	94,928 12 2	12,653 6 0	1,07,577 2 2

* New

(*a*) Rs. 1,841-4-10 remitted.

(*b*) Rs. 25-15-4 remitted.

(*c*) Rs. 20-14-0 remitted.

(*d*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 24-10-6, and Rs. 363-11-7 remitted.

(*e*) Rs. 51-15-10 remitted.

(*f*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 5-10-11.

(*g*) Rs. 872-5-3 remitted.

(*h*) Rs. 8-2-2 remitted.

(*i*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 37-2-10, and Rs. 27-7-3 since found due and added.

(*j*) Rs. 203-6-1 remitted.

(*k*) Rs. 125 realized in advance.

(*l*) Rs. 189-15-9 remitted.

(*m*) Rs. 1-9-8 remitted.

(*n*) Rs. 0-2-0 realized in advance.

(*o*) Rs. 212-14-9 remitted.

(*p*) Rs. 879-13-5 remitted.

(*q*) Rs. 8-2-6 realized in advance.

(*r*) Rs. 621-1-3 remitted.

(*s*) Rs. 90-3-5 remitted.

and balances of the several estates, for the revenue year 1890-91—(continued).

Collections.			Balance.			Percentage of collections to demand.			Advance collections made in year under report on account of the following year.	Serial number of estate.
Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.		
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.				Rs. a. p.	
45,140 6 2	1,625 5 10	46,765 12 0	10,029 14 1	2,448 3 7	12,478 1 8	82·	40·	79·	...	66
1,407 4 11	22 11 0	1,429 15 11	84 10 9	50 0 10	134 11 7	94·	31·	91·	...	67
791 6 2	47 13 0	839 8 2	36 5 7	75 4 10	111 10 5	96·	39·	88·	...	68
5,126 13 6	98 8 11	5,225 6 5	335 1 10	378 0 0	713 1 10	94·	21·	88·	...	69
790 10 3	20 10 6	811 4 9	...	...	...	100·	100·	100·	...	70
5,216 2 5	13 8 0	5,229 10 5	165 4 9	1,677 7 6	1,842 12 3	97·	1·	74·	...	71
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	72
2,025 5 4	...	2,025 5 4	...	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	73
17,968 0 6	937 5 3	18,905 5 9	716 5 1	1,010 1 3	1,726 6 4	96·	48·	91·	...	74
866 14 3	31 9 8	898 7 11	35 6 4	94 0 6	129 6 10	96·	26·	87·	0 2 4	75
632 8 2	111 15 10	744 8 0	244 8 2	72 4 3	316 12 5	72·	61·	70·	0 6 3	76
5,947 10 2	307 2 11	6,254 13 1	417 5 11	380 2 8	797 8 7	93·	45·	89·	...	77
1,175 10 4	18 1 3	1,193 11 7	24 7 6	0 1 4	24 8 10	98·	100·	98·	...	78
2,398 0 5	413 1 7	2,811 2 0	789 9 7	760 3 4	1,549 12 11	75·	35·	64·	...	79
4,460 8 7	557 4 0	5,017 12 7	1,311 12 0	1,309 13 5	2,621 9 5	77·	30·	66·	...	80
6,334 0 8	817 9 7	7,151 10 3	776 11 8	818 0 2	1,594 11 10	89·	50·	82·	7 9 9	81
513 15 3	44 2 6	558 1 9	118 5 11	47 13 0	166 2 11	81·	48·	77·	...	82
267 0 3	225 2 2	492 2 5	102 11 0	381 2 7	483 13 7	72·	37·	50·	...	83
1,46,270 11 10	2,087 12 3	1,48,358 8 1	6,339 12 7	3,137 8 4	9,477 4 11	96·	40·	94·	...	84
...	...	...	6,714 0 0	778 12 0	7,492 12 0	...	...	...	...	85
25,359 10 9	214 10 5	25,604 5 2	749 15 5	80 12 11	830 12 4	97·	75·	97·	...	86
14,400 8 0	61 9 4	14,462 1 4	28 11 0	24 1 5	52 12 5	99·	72·	99·	...	87
1,15,149 13 0	2,773 0 9	1,17,922 13 9	10,083 0 4	6,992 0 4	17,075 0 8	92·	28·	87·	8 13 0	88
1,806 0 7	46 1 4	1,852 1 11	13 10 5	75 13 8	89 8 1	99·	38·	95·	...	89
7,646 12 7	220 11 5	7,867 8 0	109 6 8	592 6 3	701 12 11	99·	27·	92·	36 0 0	90
2,117 4 3	...	2,117 4 3	126 13 6	...	126 13 6	94·	...	94·	...	91
4,064 10 5	135 4 11	4,199 15 4	302 4 7	111 7 1	413 11 8	93·	55·	91·	...	92
2,100 7 6	97 15 6	2,207 7 0	52 8 6	119 10 9	172 3 3	98·	45·	93·	...	93
96 13 4	18 0 0	114 13 4	7 4 4	24 6 6	31 10 10	93·	43·	79·	...	94
159 12 11	...	159 12 11	0 12 0	...	0 12 0	99·	...	99·	...	95
1,434 13 7	16 0 0	1,450 13 7	32 0 0	36 3 11	68 3 11	98·	31·	95·	...	96
1,056 3 11	...	1,056 3 11	1 0 0	...	1 0 0	99·	...	99·	...	97
4,22,735 0 0	10,993 1 11	4,33,728 1 11	39,749 11 6	21,475 14 5	61,225 9 11	91·	34·	88·	52 15 4	
1,573 3 2	...	1,573 3 2	...	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	98
571 14 6	10 15 6	582 14 0	...	...	...	100·	100·	100·	...	99
560 0 0	4 10 6	564 10 6	302 15 6	41 13 3	344 12 9	65·	11·	62·	...	100
6,175 6 4	1,347 6 10	7,522 13 2	1,495 6 3	989 13 5	2,485 3 8	80·	58·	75·	...	101
3 0 0	...	3 0 0	...	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	102
1,151 1 11	2 8 4	1,153 10 3	57 8 6	2 7 10	60 0 4	95·	50·	95·	...	103
72,690 11 7	1,724 1 3	74,414 12 10	7,784 8 3	8,252 0 0	16,036 8 3	90·	17·	82·	...	104
2,297 6 6	6 15 11	2,304 6 5	144 4 3	270 9 2	414 13 5	94·	2·	85·	...	105
116 5 5	...	116 5 5	...	...	...	100·	...	100·	...	106
85,139 1 5	3,096 10 4	88,235 11 9	9,784 10 9	9,556 11 8	19,341 6 5	90·	24·	82·	...	

estate.

(*f*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 3,480-6-1.

(*u*) Ditto „ 689-8-9, and Rs. 170-6-1 remitted.

(*v*) Ditto „ 319-0-10.

(*w*) Ditto „ 98-5-3, and Rs. 24-2-2 remitted.

(*x*) Ditto „ 170-11-11.

(*y*) Ditto „ 17-3-1, and Rs. 197-8-6 remitted.

(*z*) Ditto „ 1,031-14-10.

(*aa*) Ditto „ 542-2-8, and Rs. 1,552-2-3 remitted.

(*bb*) Rs. 32-12-0 realized in advance.

(*cc*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 25-6-3, and Rs. 151-9-9 remitted.

(*dd*) Rs. 250-2-8 remitted.

(*ee*) Rs. 31-8-0 remitted.

(*ff*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 34.

(*gg*) Rs. 39-7-4 remitted, and Re. 1-12-6 since found due and added.

(*hh*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 1,091-6-0.

(*ii*) Rs. 480-1-7 remitted, decrees obtained for Rs. 1,461-3-11, and Rs. 365-1-5 added account of arrears in newly purchased villages.

(*jj*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 6-13-6.

(*kk*) Rs. 43-10-6 remitted.

STATEMENT No. III.—*Showing the rental demand, collections*

Division.	District.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Full current rental of the year.	Demand at the commencement of the year.		
					Current.	Arrears.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
GORAKHPUR.	Gorakhpur ...	107	Majhauli	1,38,121 2 8	*a* 1,36,654 2 0	*b* 20,460 1 0	1,57,114 3 0
		108	Chaumukha	13,038 11 11	13,038 11 11	*j* 612 13 2	13,651 9 1
		109	Bánsgaon	23,649 13 0	*c* 23,611 0 0	*d* 1,845 0 10	25,456 0 10
		110	Dumri	43,754 4 2	*e* 43,237 13 11	*f* 2,831 11 1	46,069 9 0
		111	Narharpur	6,158 14 9	6,158 14 9	*g* 1,229 5 0	7,388 3 9
		112	Brijnandan Das	23,643 10 11	*h* 23,582 3 2	*i* 2,965 14 5	26,548 1 7
	Basti ...	113	Fida Husain	1,876 13 1	1,876 13 1	*k* 35 5 6	1,912 2 7
	Azamgarh ...	114	Sarai Mir	34,175 1 0	*l* 33,835 12 1	*m* 2,762 15 2	36,598 11 3
			Total ...	2,84,418 7 6	2,81,995 6 11	32,743 2 2	3,14,738 9 1
			GRAND TOTAL ...	15,27,226 1 11	15,12,871 1 5	1,44,445 10 1	16,57,316 11 6

(*a*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 1,467-0-8.
(*b*) ditto „ 11,664-7-8, and Rs. 1,118-5-4 remitted.
(*c*) ditto „ 38-13-0
(*d*) ditto „ 67-10-9, and Rs. 300-13-7 remitted.
(*e*) ditto „ 516-6-3.
(*f*) ditto „ 285-5-8, and Rs. 276-4-0 remitted.
(*g*) Rs. 86-2-7 remitted.

and balances of the several estates, for the revenue year 1890-91—(concluded).

Collections.			Balance.			Percentage of collections to demand.			Advance collections made in year under report on account of the following year.	Serial number of estate.
Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.	Current.	Arrears.	Total.		
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.				Rs. a. p.	
1,00,389 14 9	10,460 11 8	1,10,850 10 5	36,264 3 3	9,999 5 4	46,263 8 7	73·	51·	71·	...	107
12,534 0 8	435 9 1	12,969 9 9	504 11 3	177 4 1	681 15 4	96·	71·	95·	...	108
21,801 13 10	1,164 14 3	22,966 12 1	1,809 2 2	680 2 7	2,489 4 9	92·	63·	90·	...	109
38,704 15 0	1,441 9 8	40,146 8 8	4,532 14 11	1,390 1 5	5,923 0 4	90·	51·	87·	...	110
4,115 3 5	606 15 10	4,722 3 3	2,043 11 4	622 5 2	2,666 0 6	67·	49·	64·	...	111
21,148 10 6	1,512 5 8	22,661 0 2	2,433 8 8	1,453 8 9	3,887 1 5	90·	51·	85·	...	112
1,696 9 5	26 11 7	1,723 5 0	180 3 8	8 9 11	188 13 7	90·	77·	90·	...	113
31,097 12 11	1,500 6 4	32,598 3 3	2,737 15 2	1,262 8 10	4,000 8 0	92·	54·	89·	...	114
2,31,489 0 6	17,149 4 1	2,48,638 4 7	50,506 6 5	15,593 14 1	66,100 4 6	82·	52·	79·	...	
13,74,261 8 8	55,375 8 10	14,29,637 1 6	1,38,609 8 9	89,070 1 3	2,27,679 10 0	91·	38·	86·	2,961 11 8	

(*h*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 61-7-9
(*i*) Ditto „ 208-0-6, and Rs 127-10-9 remitted.
(*j*) Rs. 88-0-1 remitted.
(*k*) Rs. 5-9-5 remitted.
(*l*) Decrees obtained for Rs. 339-4-11.
(*m*) Ditto ditto „ 20-12-0, and Rs. 278-2-6 remitted.

STATEMENT No. IV.—*Showing the excess of total available income over expenditure*

Division.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Income available: Cash balance at the close of last year.	Receipts from State loans or loans from Court of Wards' estates.	Receipts from loans other than those mentioned in column 5.	Debts recovered.	Takávi advances recovered.	Rental of revenue-paying land including collections of arrears and advance collections made on account of following year.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
MEERUT.	1	Raghunath Das ...	290 11 9	...	...	...	...	1,195 2 9
	2	Kunjpura ...	17,142 6 6	...	...	300 0 0	...	7,289 3 10
	3	Landhaura * ...	...	...	...	...	...	4,504 2 10
	4	Sambalhera ...	1,069 13 10	...	...	...	...	17,537 8 10
	5	Bidauli	4,227 15 5	...	...	26 5 5	...	7,502 6 3
	6	Karana	47 11 6	...	...	20 0 0	...	119 0 0
	7	Bhandura	4,710 4 11	...	...	494 0 3	...	35,558 3 5
	8	Karam Ali Khan ...	3,958 3 5	...	...	5,082 1 0	...	30,208 8 5
	9	Daurala	294 12 2	...	...	...	...	1,156 6 3
	10	Hápur	884 13 11	...	...	...	...	4,947 14 0
	11	Ram Saran Das ...	6,349 8 2	...	...	847 8 6	112 0 0	20,340 12 6
	12	Kithauli ...	593 6 7	...	...	...	...	840 4 6
	13	Murari Lal ...	281 0 6	...	...	1,565 7 9	...	7,285 4 9
	14	Belaun	230 15 1	...	...	...	...	1,057 11 4
	15	Mohreni ...	2,154 7 10	...	...	...	...	9,324 3 5
	16	Lachman Singh ...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	17	Dadon*	202 2 10	...	3,50,000 0 0	...	...	1,500 0 0
		Total ...	42,418 6 5	...	3,50,000 0 0	8,335 6 11	112 0 0	1,51,356 13 1
AGRA.	18	Parshadi Lal ...	490 10 11	...	...	211 0 6	...	1,697 13 0
	19	Parna	5,857 2 5	...	...	35 12 0	600 0 0	11,804 4 9
	20	Abdul Majid Khan ...	2,502 6 9	...	...	135 10 8	...	98 7 6
	21	Guthena	230 15 10	...	...	...	...	606 13 1
	22	Muhammad Raza Khan...	145 4 10	...	...	...	...	439 4 10
	23	Bhagwat Dial * ...	...	...	...	...	...	248 13 5
	24	Arjunpur ...	909 6 4	...	...	197 0 5	...	12,715 13 6
	25	Sujrai Karauli ...	8,049 14 9	...	...	363 10 2	688 11 6	28,648 13 7
	26	Musammat Jasoda Kuar	529 2 0	...	...	71 11 10	...	5,355 9 5
	27	Chakkarnagar ...	2,373 3 6	...	...	...	...	30,770 5 2
	28	Harchandpur ...	500 8 6	...	...	410 12 0	2,270 5 7	30,871 0 7
	29	Roshangpur ...	491 7 9	...	...	45 2 4	3,158 9 3	10,961 2 3
	30	Nagla Kisi ...	378 10 5	...	...	73 10 3	...	898 8 4
	31	Mati-ullah Khan ...	1,054 3 6	...	...	218 14 2	...	335 14 3
	32	Arthara	148 3 9	...	...	...	...	826 10 3
	33	Nagla Amín ...	363 8 10	...	...	14 0 0	...	1,304 5 8
	34	Chet Singh ...	285 8 0	...	...	...	...	1,612 10 4
	35	Bhairon Prasad and Jwala Prasad.*	...	...	...	1,279 13 3	...	11,717 0 1
		Total ...	24,310 6 1	...	...	3,057 1 7	6,717 10 4	1,50,911 8 0
ROHILKHAND.	36	Durga Prasad ...	50 7 2	...	...	...	...	1,771 6 3
	37	Ballia	100 3 4	...	...	142 6 3	86 0 0	7,642 15 1
	38	Begam Mashúk Mahal ...	45,561 14 9	...	...	2,33,308 12 10	1,019 0 0	28,391 3 3
	39	Srigopal	2,102 1 1	...	...	19 2 0	...	3,481 2 5
	40	Madhopur	2,14,195 15 6	...	...	225 0 0	324 8 0	71,200 8 2
	41	Kiratpur	3,935 15 11	...	...	1,643 2 11	...	7,678 4 7
	42	Malakpur Dehri ...	1,121 15 11	...	...	6 3 8	20 0 0	8,880 3 5
	43	Sahanpur	7,394 4 6	...	...	2,036 14 11	37 0 0	1,04,791 9 0
	44	Kazi Imdad Ali ...	127 0 2	...	...	...	...	597 0 6
	45	Parbin Singh ...	661 7 8	500 0 0	...	...	...	2,302 6 8
	46	Pedi	98 13 5	...	...	...	...	1,225 7 0
	47	Musammat Anandi ...	...	...	...	...	...	1,060 8 9
	48	Sheikhupur ...	970 6 4	...	...	...	...	† 2,681 10 1
	49	Budh Prakash ...	2,734 11 11	...	...	25 10 0	...	5,534 12 4
	50	Mubarak Husain ...	322 9 8	...	...	...	...	†429 6 0
	51	Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal.	597 15 2	...	...	52 8 0	...	1,316 1 0
	52	Anand Sarup and Jagdish Sarup.	92 2 11	...	...	...	...	239 13 7
	53	Rámpur	253 14 6	...	3,000 0 0	...	...	3,534 12 3
	54	Narainpur	2,772 11 11	...	...	509 8 9	1 0 0	4,584 4 9
	55	Nahal	3,323 11 3	...	...	29 11 0	1 5 3	26,029 8 2
	56	Fakhr-ud-din Khan ...	103 6 7	...	...	103 4 0	...	2,404 7 11
	57	Mohanpur	165 0 4	...	...	...	...	7,466 9 1
	58	Azizganj	244 2 3	...	...	445 6 3	85 9 3	27,103 14 11
	59	Dhukri	3 12 8	...	...	...	...	104 0 0
	60	Bamrauli	...	1,750 0 0	...	...	...	714 3 1
	61	Bhatpura Rasúlpura ...	328 12 0	...	...	212 7 9	6 0 0	20,892 3 7
	62	Khameria	1,882 15 4	...	...	2,638 2 8	128 8 0	10,463 15 5
	63	Zafar Ali Khan ...	100 8 8	1,500 0 0	...	...	...	567 3 7
	64	Khiriya Rasúlpur ...	12 0 10	...	...	2 0 0	...	1,037 8 1
	65	Ghungchai* ...	...	...	...	...	...	...
		Total ...	2,89,259 1 9	3,750 0 0	3,000 0 0	2,41,400 5 0	1,708 14 6	3,54,077 0 11

*New

† Includes the figures of revenue-free villages also

chargeable to profits for each estate during the revenue year 1890-91.

for expenditure.

Rental of revenue-free land including collections of arrears and advanced collections made on account of following year.	Rent of houses.	Occupier's rate.	Patwári cess.	Interest on Government securities.	Sale proceeds of land and houses.	Sale proceeds of Government and other securities.	Miscellaneous income including interest on loans.	Total.	Serial number of estate.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
...	...	...	12 6 1	...	...	...	4 2 0	1,502 6 7	1
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	109 7 1	24,841 1 5	2
...	9 5 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	4,513 7 10	3
2,212 14 1	48 4 0	4,228 11 9	121 12 5	239 6 6	...	...	263 1 7	25,721 9 0	4
235 5 3	80 8 6	952 8 1	39 0 3	59 13 7	...	...	430 9 9	13,554 8 6	5
...	22 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	208 11 6	6
42 8 0	23 0 0	10,130 3 3	322 0 5	1,367 5 4	...	...	704 11 2	53,352 4 9	7
48 9 9	10 14 0	2,268 0 1	287 11 10	422 9 6	...	...	2,053 12 8	44,430 6 8	8
...	...	...	15 3 4	20 0 0	...	...	...	1,486 5 9	9
...	0 6 0	847 8 6	48 9 6	512 2 2	...	6,300 10 6	29 8 9	13,551 9 4	10
21 9 0	199 8 0	2,337 2 8	205 2 7	854 14 2	...	...	1,523 7 5	32,791 9 0	11
...	...	...	8 6 9	...	...	...	...	1,442 1 10	12
182 12 9	1,420 14 6	390 8 9	74 10 2	...	...	...	1,349 5 6	12,550 0 8	13
...	33 0 0	492 8 9	20 1 6	399 1 8	...	...	15 6 10	3,148 13 2	14
...	0 4 6	2,077 1 4	125 2 7	259 5 8	...	...	1,020 9 3	14,961 2 7	15
...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	16
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,51,702 2 10	17
2,743 10 10	1,848 0 6	23,724 5 2	1,280 3 5	4,134 10 7	..	6,300 10 6	7,504 2 0	5,99,758 5 5	
...	764 4 1	...	29 11 6	...	...	...	142 0 4	3,335 10 4	18
...	...	...	121 0 5	49 14 0	...	...	9 9 6	18,477 11 1	19
95 12 9	...	...	4 11 11	79 12 10	...	...	...	2,916 14 5	20
...	...	...	6 5 0	...	...	...	...	844 1 11	21
...	...	...	4 8 4	...	...	...	102 4 9	691 6 9	22
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	248 13 5	23
...	...	3,662 9 4	144 15 10	...	...	...	1,058 3 0	18,686 0 5	24
...	354 15 0	3,249 3 4	326 6 10	1,612 10 0	20 8 7	...	2,727 14 3	46,042 12 0	25
...	66 0 0	1,364 14 1	12 11 2	...	...	...	203 5 8	7,603 6 2	26
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	803 12 0	33,947 4 8	27
...	30 0 0	6,023 6 9	396 13 10	...	3,088 0 0	...	2,981 11 8	46,572 10 11	28
...	90 0 0	2,737 1 3	171 14 1	...	...	...	2,923 7 9	20,578 12 8	29
...	...	86 3 9	9 13 6	...	...	...	12 7 7	1,459 5 10	30
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	61 1 10	1,670 1 9	31
...	...	...	4 0 9	...	...	...	57 10 3	1,036 9 0	32
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,681 14 6	33
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,898 2 4	34
...	283 15 4	994 0 11	111 10 6	...	...	...	152 8 3	14,539 0 4	35
95 12 9	1,589 2 5	18,117 7 5	1,344 11 8	1,742 4 10	3,108 8 7	...	11,236 0 10	2,22,230 10 6	
...	9 2 9	0 10 3	2 15 0	40 0 0	...	1,082 15 5	...	2,957 8 10	36
...	18 0 0	...	68 13 0	...	...	...	36 15 9	8,095 5 5	37
601 8 6	3,308 9 10	6 1 9	216 6 5	3,108 10 8	...	...	19,308 0 3	3,34,830 4 3	38
...	169 8 0	86 2 0	17 7 9	207 6 7	...	...	4 7 3	6,037 5 1	39
693 12 9	112 9 6	1,337 12 10	297 3 3	485 11 8	...	...	3,412 0 3	2,92,285 1 11	40
795 0 0	3 12 4	...	102 7 8	199 8 0	...	...	3,763 12 10	18,122 0 3	41
...	64 2 0	183 13 7	89 8 2	239 9 8	...	...	11 4 0	10,616 12 5	42
...	11 0 0	23 12 0	891 15 1	1,562 10 8	...	...	2,393 7 7	1,19,142 9 9	43
...	58 12 0	...	6 2 6	52 0 0	...	...	3 15 3	844 14 5	44
...	...	...	24 1 7	...	...	...	9 0 4	3,497 0 3	45
...	...	...	12 6 7	...	...	...	58 8 0	1,395 3 0	46
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	172 12 11	1,233 5 8	47
...	...	...	34 9 9	40 0 0	...	...	1 4 0	3,727 14 2	48
...	299 9 6	...	39 8 5	683 3 0	...	...	18 6 6	9,335 13 8	49
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	751 15 8	50
22 9 0	4 14 0	...	10 5 0	...	35 0 0	...	3 4 0	2,042 8 2	51
252 8 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	584 8 6	52
...	949 1 0	...	...	...	...	...	18,412 4 0	26,149 15 9	53
...	36 6 9	...	40 0 3	40 0 0	...	...	2,373 14 6	10,357 14 11	54
...	85 14 9	...	276 14 4	...	..	...	251 8 8	29,998 4 5	55
...	27 10 0	...	23 13 9	...	270 0 0	...	27 3 0	2,959 13 3	56
...	60 4 0	...	65 12 7	...	...	...	72 6 11	7,830 0 11	57
354 0 9	100 9 0	...	330 1 4	...	140 0 0	...	587 7 11	29,391 3 8	58
...	...	...	1 5 3	...	...	...	...	109 1 11	59
...	...	...	10 3 6	...	...	...	485 13 9	2,960 4 4	60
10 0 0	341 15 9	...	218 4 11	...	2,181 4 0	...	473 12 8	24,664 12 8	61
29 7 0	84 4 0	...	121 12 10	199 8 0	...	...	175 8 5	15,724 1 8	62
...	...	...	11 15 3	...	70 0 0	...	195 12 8	2,445 8 2	63
...	...	...	10 8 9	...	2,070 0 0	...	92 0 0	3,224 1 8	64
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	65
2,758 14 0	5,746 1 2	1,638 4 5	2,924 10 11	6,858 4 3	4,766 4 0	1,082 15 5	52,344 10 5	9,71,315 6 9	

estate.

No details of the amount are available as the estates are leased for lump sums.

STATEMENT No. IV.—*Showing the excess of total available income over expenditure*

Division.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Expenditure charge: Government revenue.	Cesses.	Owner's rate.	Occupier's rate.
			19	20	21	22
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
MEERUT.	1	Raghunath Das	517 4 9	62 1 4	...	...
	2	Kunjpura	3,682 3 2	...	...	...
	3	Landhaura*	2,423 0 0	189 15 0	...	...
	4	Sambalhera	8,285 6 7	1,184 9 4	318 6 0	4,404 13 11
	5	Bidauli	2,871 4 3	353 1 8	187 5 11	957 9 9
	6	Karana	32 3 11	3 13 11	...	...
	7	Bhandura	14,831 6 9	1,783 10 0	640 11 7	10,041 9 2
	8	Karam Ali Khan	10,282 7 9	1,235 0 6	54 2 7	2,268 0 1
	9	Daurala	457 8 0	55 0 0	...	...
	10	Hápur	1,322 0 10	159 6 9	97 15 8	847 8 6
	11	Ram Saran Das	6,652 13 11	816 14 3	90 9 3	2,337 2 8
	12	Kithauli	387 0 0	46 3 7	...	...
	13	Murari Lal	2,635 12 0	316 8 0	43 11 6	390 8 9
	14	Belaun	938 6 0	112 9 3	...	493 15 6
	15	Mohroni	3,662 0 9	439 1 0	65 12 10	1,995 3 3
	16	Lachman Singh	...	...	...	...
	17	Dadon*	1,631 14 10	...	1,278 0 6	5,813 15 3
		Total ...	60,612 12 9	6,757 14 7	2,785 11 10	29,550 6 10
AGRA.	18	Parshadi Lal	*a* 632 13 6	*d* 76 14 10	...	...
	19	Parna	6,000 0 0	720 0 0	...	...
	20	Abdul Majid Khan	54 9 7	11 12 6	...	...
	21	Guthena	237 10 0	28 8 3	...	...
	22	Muhammad Raza Khan ...	154 1 8	18 6 4	...	...
	23	Bhagwat Dial*	152 5 2	...	...	...
	24	Arjunpur	7,665 10 10	932 15 4	459 1 11	4,191 7 7
	25	Sujrai Karauli	13,343 0 8	1,640 9 6	176 0 5	3,411 10 2
	26	Musammat Jasoda Kuar ...	2,684 4 7	322 1 2	119 1 3	1,283 6 6
	27	Chakkarnagar	17,646 1 8	2,134 11 9	...	...
	28	Harchandpur	16,522 0 0	1,983 6 0	303 7 9	6,945 10 10
	29	Roshangpur	*f* 5,265 2 6	680 14 9	937 15 8	2,941 2 5
	30	Nagla Kisi	437 4 9	52 3 6	7 12 1	85 10 0
	31	Mati-ullah Khan	195 10 2	23 13 5	...	...
	32	Arthara	325 0 0	37 4 5	...	...
	33	Nagla Amín	440 11 0	52 14 0	...	...
	34	Chet Singh	705 8 10	129 13 6	...	...
	35	Bhairon Prasad and Jwala Prasad*	4,580 11 5	559 11 7	86 2 5	1,026 13 5
		Total ...	77,042 10 4	9,406 0 10	2,089 9 6	19,885 12 11
ROHILKHAND.	36	Durga Prasad	921 8 0	110 10 8	...	0 12 9
	37	Ballia	3,186 0 0	382 5 4	...	...
	38	Begam Mashúk Mahal ...	13,516 8 4	1,677 5 5	...	9 4 7
	39	Srigopal	1,870 0 0	224 6 6	9 2 5	104 12 3
	40	Madhopur	22,186 2 0	2,706 4 0	224 11 2	1,876 6 7
	41	Kitatpur	3,561 11 4	456 10 11	...	...
	42	Malakpur Dehri	3,969 11 4	480 6 6	16 13 4	183 13 7
	43	Sahanpur...	43,479 5 2	5,217 2 3	6 10 10	23 12 0
	44	Kazi Imdad Ali	192 6 4	23 1 9	...	...
	45	Parbin Singh	1,034 0 0	124 1 5	...	...
	46	Pedi	251 3 11	30 2 3	...	...
	47	Musammat Anandi	318 14 7	22 8 6	...	...
	48	Sheikhupur	775 8 5	106 9 3	...	...
	49	Budh Prakash	1,853 1 6	230 10 0	...	...
	50	Mubarak Hussain	...	29 1 0	...	...
	51	Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal...	892 6 8	92 4 6	...	...
	52	Anand Sarup and Jagdish Sarup...	...	...	...	...
	53	Rámpur	1,600 0 0	192 0 0	...	...
	54	Narainpur	2,378 8 0	285 6 8	...	...
	55	Nahal	12,229 0 0	1,467 7 7	...	...
	56	Fakhr-ud-din Khan	*h* 1,090 14 2	*i* 130 5 11	...	...
	57	Mohanpur	3,773 10 0	452 13 4	...	...
	58	Azizganj	14,096 15 10	1,630 2 4	...	...
	59	Dhukri	68 12 0	8 4 0	...	...
	60	Bamrauli...	364 12 6	42 15 3	...	...
	61	Bhatpura Rasúlpura ...	10,054 7 4	1,208 4 9	...	...
	62	Khameria	5,815 12 4	701 6 11	...	...
	63	Zafar Ali Khan	297 10 0	35 11 7	...	...
	64	Khiriya Rasúlpur	612 9 0	73 7 5	...	...
	65	Ghungchai*	...	...	...	...
		Total ...	1,50,391 6 9	18,141 15 6	257 5 9	2,198 13 9

* New

(*a*) Includes Rs. 204-1-1 cash made over to the guardian of the minors.
(*b*) Do. „ 903-7-2 cash balance made over to the proprietor.
(*c*) Do. „ 42-3-10 on account of arrears.
(*d*) Do. „ 8-10-6 ditto.
(*e*) Do. „ 2-14-11 ditto.

chargeable to profits for each estate during the revenue year 1890-91—(continued).

able to profits.

Patwári rate.	Cost of management.	Miscellaneous charges including law expenses, ordinary repairs, interest on debts and loans.	Total.	Excess of total available income over expenditure chargeable to profits.	Percentage of Government revenue to rental receipts in column 9.	Percentage of cost of management to total of receipts in columns 7 to 14 and column 17.	Serial number of estate.
23	24	25	26	27	28	29	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.			
20 11 2	37 0 0	295 14 9	933 0 0	569 6 7	43·	3·	1
...	398 0 0	414 12 9	4,494 15 11	20,346 1 6	50·	5·	2
76 6 0	100 0 0	*a* 250 8 7	3,039 13 7	1,473 10 3	54·	2·	3
361 8 5	1,549 5 0	1,115 11 2	17,219 12 5	8,501 12 7	47·	6·	4
117 7 0	564 3 8	549 3 3	5,600 3 6	7,954 5 0	38·	6·	5
1 4 7	0 12 0	4 3 7	42 6 0	166 5 6	27·	6·	6
594 4 4	2,577 13 3	7,936 12 8	38,415 3 9	14,937 1 0	42·	5·	7
411 3 6	1,801 6 0	2,337 9 3	18,389 12 11	26,040 9 9	34·	4·	8
18 4 0	40 3 0	85 2 6	656 1 6	830 4 3	40·	3·	9
52 14 0	260 12 0	868 2 5	3,108 12 2	10,442 13 2	27·	4·	10
266 1 10	1,281 1 6	1,154 7 3	12,599 2 8	20,192 6 4	33·	5·	11
15 6 9	21 0 0	97 1 11	566 12 3	875 5 7	46·	2·	12
105 6 9	602 10 0	3,374 3 10	7,468 12 10	5,081 3 10	36·	5·	13
37 9 0	144 0 6	*b* 946 4 11	2,672 13 2	476 0 0	48·	5·	14
146 8 0	627 14 10	1,952 2 0	8,888 10 8	6,072 7 11	39·	5·	15
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16
...	567 4 0	4,650 8 0	13,941 10 7	3,37,760 8 3	108·	38·	17
2,224 15 4	10,573 5 9	25,532 12 10	1,98,037 15 11	4,61,720 5 6	40·	5·	
(*e*)25 11 3	232 13 4	421 13 6	1,390 2 5	1,945 7 11	37·	8·	18
240 0 0	757 14 2	596 5 11	8,314 4 1	10,163 7 0	51·	6·	19
3 14 5	8 2 7	191 4 11	269 12 0	2,647 2 5	55·	2·	20
9 8 0	17 13 7	1 3 0	294 10 10	549 7 1	39·	3·	21
6 2 2	5 5 6	1 10 1	185 9 9	505 13 0	35·	1·	22
...	...	...	152 5 2	96 8 3	61·	...	23
311 2 6	1,447 13 3	1,756 5 4	16,764 8 9	1,921 7 8	60·	8·	24
547 0 6	3,878 12 7	4,438 15 9	27,436 1 7	18,606 10 5	47·	10·	25
107 5 5	609 1 6	1,168 10 10	6,293 15 3	1,309 6 11	50·	8·	26
711 14 5	763 4 5	5,063 8 6	26,319 8 9	7,627 11 11	57·	2·	27
710 4 6	2,398 8 7	6,419 5 10	35,282 11 6	11,289 15 5	53·	6·	28
290 7 7	1,462 6 9	4,821 1 2	16,399 2 10	4,179 9 10	48·	7·	29
17 4 0	176 3 3	19 2 4	795 7 11	663 13 11	49·	16·	30
7 15 3	31 6 11	39 12 1	298 9 10	1,371 7 11	58·	5·	31
12 6 8	61 11 4	71 5 8	507 12 1	528 12 11	39·	7·	32
17 10 0	181 15 10	139 14 0	833 0 10	848 13 8	34·	14·	33
43 4 6	277 3 1	503 2 9	1,659 0 8	239 1 8	44·	17·	34
220 2 6	789 11 7	1,471 6 5	8,784 11 4	5,804 5 0	39·	5·	35
3,282 1 8	13,100 4 3	27,125 0 1	1,51,931 7 7	70,299 2 11	51·	7·	
36 10 11	141 14 6	13 2 2	1,224 11 0	1,732 13 10	52·	8·	36
127 3 0	409 7 6	970 5 7	5,075 5 5	3,020 0 0	42·	5·	37
552 3 9	3,469 10 10	6,653 10 6	25,878 11 5	3,08,951 8 10	48·	1·	38
74 11 2	321 8 6	237 0 8	2,841 9 6	3,195 11 7	54·	8·	39
899 13 3	6,367 1 3	34,414 2 9	68,674 9 0	2,28,610 8 11	31·	4·	40
152 8 9	592 15 2	584 3 4	5,348 1 6	12,773 14 9	46·	6·	41
160 2 4	656 4 5	289 3 11	5,756 7 5	4,860 5 0	45·	7·	42
1,739 4 4	9,025 0 8	8,843 13 7	68,335 0 10	50,807 8 11	41·	8·	43
7 11 3	47 4 1	46 11 2	317 2 7	527 11 10	32·	6·	44
41 5 10	151 13 7	49 2 7	1,400 7 5	2,096 8 10	45·	7·	45
10 0 10	84 6 9	640 5 0	1,016 2 9	379 0 3	20·	6·	46
7 8 0	50 10 9	351 11 11	751 5 3	482 0 5	30·	4·	47
34 9 9	276 9 0	93 3 9	1,286 8 2	2,441 6 0	29·	10·	48
75 14 9	505 12 7	375 11 4	3,041 2 2	6,294 11 6	33·	8·	49
9 11 0	27 0 0	70 0 7	135 12 7	616 3 1	...	6·	50
33 5 9	237 5 8	*g* 430 9 7	1,686 0 2	356 8 0	67·	17·	51
...	15 12 0	64 11 7	80 7 7	504 0 11	...	3·	52
64 0 0	372 1 3	9,390 0 9	11,618 2 0	14,531 13 9	45·	2·	53
95 0 9	543 3 0	352 14 5	3,655 0 10	6,702 14 1	52·	7·	54
489 0 0	2,425 14 4	1,027 10 2	17,639 0 1	12,359 4 4	47·	9·	55
143 6 6	218 12 11	355 5 3	1,838 12 9	1,121 0 6	45·	8·	56
150 11 8	717 9 4	922 2 2	6,016 14 6	1,813 2 5	51·	9·	57
540 15 3	2,497 15 5	4,814 3 10	23,080 4 8	6,310 15 0	52·	8·	58
2 11 3	0 14 6	11 2 5	91 12 2	17 5 9	66·	1·	59
14 4 7	150 8 5	227 9 0	800 1 9	2,160 2 7	51·	12·	60
402 6 10	1,840 12 6	3,698 13 8	17,204 13 1	7,459 15 7	48·	8·	61
233 15 6	993 9 0	2,135 1 5	9,879 13 2	5,844 4 6	55·	7·	62
11 14 6	96 7 10	307 8 9	749 4 8	1,696 3 6	53·	12·	63
24 7 8	192 0 6	1,273 8 1	2,176 0 8	1,048 1 0	59·	16·	64
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	65
6,035 11 2	32,430 6 3	78,143 13 11	2,87,599 9 1	6,83,715 13 8	42·	5·	

estate.

(*f*) Includes Rs. 53 on account of arrears.
(*g*) Do. „ 202-1-10 cash balance made over to the proprietor.
(*h*) Do. „ 3-11-0 on account of arrears.
(*i*) Do. Re. 0-7-1 ditto.
(*j*) Do. „ 0-2-4 ditto.

STATEMENT No. IV.—*Showing the excess of total available income over expenditure*

Division.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Income available: Cash balance at close of last year.	Receipts from State loans or loans from Court of Wards' estates.	Receipts from loans other than those mentioned in column 5.	Debts recovered.	Takávi advances recovered.	Rental of revenue-paying land including collections of arrears and advance collections made on account of following year.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
ALLAHABAD.	66	Rawatpur ...	1,619 0 0	...	...	1,472 1 3	385 0 0	46,765 12 0
	67	Ratanpur ...	0 6 9	...	350 0 0	...	...	1,429 15 11
	68	Darwapur ...	0 9 5	...	...	...	...	839 3 2
	69	Sakarwan ...	66 11 5	...	...	...	...	5,225 6 5
	70	Banajakha ...	240 7 6	...	...	...	...	811 4 9
	71	Misri Lal ...	118 10 0	...	...	...	400 0 0	5,229 10 5
	72	Janki Parshad* ...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	73	Patti Shah ...	580 10 0	...	...	...	...	2,025 5 4
	74	Arampur Bushi ...	1,094 4 4	...	...	5 13 5	80 0 0	18,905 5 9
	75	Wahid-un-nisa ...	11 0 9	...	...	9 13 10	...	898 10 3
	76	Bhamba ...	13 3 6	...	...	...	...	563 13 9
	77	Karhai ...	730 11 3	...	...	...	...	6,254 13 1
	78	Bahuri ...	73 5 10	...	...	...	...	1,193 11 7
	79	Sultan Husain and Mussammat Shams-un-nisa.	62 1 10	...	...	24 11 7	...	2,811 2 0
	80	Diya Chakwa ...	441 1 0	...	...	...	33 2 11	5,017 12 7
	81	Singhpur ...	409 15 7	...	...	...	10 0 0	2,724 8 0
	82	Baghel ...	52 7 3	...	...	...	...	558 1 9
	83	Garauti* ...	...	...	...	...	...	402 2 5
	84	Partab Chand ...	15,908 14 2	...	...	1,19,206 4 7	...	1,48,358 8 1
	85	Sarai Pitha ...	5,588 13 9	...	...	...	...	...
	86	Gambhira ...	873 7 11	...	...	304 2 5	...	25,604 5 2
	87	Shahpur ...	383 1 2	...	...	239 5 1	...	14,462 1 4
	88	Bara ...	1,285 1 10	...	...	6,019 10 8	173 9 0	1,17,931 10 9
	89	Chírgaon ...	961 12 1	...	...	...	...	1,852 1 11
	90	Kukurgaon ...	490 15 10	...	...	3 0 0	517 8 0	5,720 9 3
	91	Beona ...	366 7 5	...	...	...	...	2,117 4 3
	92	Dhagwan Khurd, No. I...	1,542 0 5	...	...	282 8 0	58 0 0	4,025 6 4
	93	Ditto No. II...	194 6 3	...	...	282 8 0	50 0 0	2,023 1 0
	94	Mailwar Andela ...	24 5 8	...	...	...	...	104 3 4
	95	Manikju and Dulaju ...	111 10 11	...	...	...	...	22 13 0
	96	Lalitpur ...	1,115 6 8	...	...	...	...	1,450 13 7
	97	Pahalwan Singh ...	...	..	...	...	...	870 2 8
		Total ...	34,301 2 6	...	350 0 0	1,27,849 14 10	1,707 3 11	4,26,289 11 10
BENARES.	98	Gopálpur, No. I ...	244 7 3	...	...	...	...	1,573 3 2
	99	Sangaband ...	...	...	...	...	...	582 14 0
	100	Pathana ...	1,082 3 10	...	...	...	...	564 10 6
	101	Batuk Prasad ...	155 5 8	...	...	21 1 0	...	7,522 13 2
	102	Hardial Lohár ...	57 5 5	...	...	...	...	3 0 0
	103	Karim-ud-dinpur ...	97 11 9	...	...	...	...	1,153 10 3
	104	Anapur ...	39,997 9 5	33,000 0 0	...	1,650 13 1	10 0 0	74,414 12 10
	105	Lakshmi Narain ...	781 12 11	...	...	264 13 3	...	2,304 6 5
	106	Kodai ...	30 12 7	..	...	...	...	116 5 5
		Total ...	42,447 4 10	33,000 0 0	...	1,936 11 4	10 0 0	88,235 11 9
GORAKHPUR.	107	Majhauli ...	11,533 3 5	...	...	9,332 3 2	...	1,10,850 10 5
	108	Chaumukha ...	1,860 9 1	...	...	...	...	12,969 9 9
	109	Banagaon ...	6,971 1 8	...	...	68 11 6	140 0 0	22,936 12 1
	110	Dumri ...	10,061 6 3	...	...	2,061 4 4	186 0 0	40,146 8 8
	111	Narharpur ...	368 11 2	...	...	97 15 2	...	4,722 3 3
	112	Brijnandan Das ...	2,748 13 4	...	...	4,111 11 7	...	21,550 0 0
	113	Fida Husain ...	224 6 2	...	...	...	191 0 0	1,723 5 0
	114	Sarái Mír ...	847 0 3	...	...	289 14 0	...	32,539 12 2
		Total ...	35,215 3 4	...	...	15,961 11 9	526 0 0	2,47,468 13 4
		GRAND TOTAL ...	4,67,951 8 11	36,750 0 0	3,53,350 0 0	3,98,541 3 5	10,781 12 9	14,18,339 10 11

* New

chargeable to profits for each estate during the revenue year 1890-91—(continued).

for expenditure.

Rental of revenue free land including collections of arrears and advance collections made on account of following year.	Rent of houses.	Occupier's rate.	Patwári cess.	Interest on Government securities.	Sale proceeds of land and houses.	Sale proceeds of Government and other securities.	Miscellaneous income including interest on loans.	Total.	Serial number of estate.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
...	...	7,392 4 11	440 0 0	...	35 4 2	...	794 6 5	58,903 12 9	66
...	0 10 8	138 12 2	15 14 6	..	...	...	127 4 1	2,063 0 1	67
...	...	45 5 9	...	...	...	...	105 11 9	990 14 1	68
...	...	853 14 9	...	109 11 7	52 10 7	5,779 6 0	8 12 5	12,096 9 2	69
...	...	72 9 2	8 0 8	...	29 15 10	...	2 5 2	1,164 11 1	70
...	...	1,639 6 7	55 10 6	...	...	...	378 6 11	7,821 12 5	71
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,371 11 9	1,371 11 9	72
...	...	...	21 11 4	80 0 0	...	...	...	2,707 10 8	73
...	3 7 0	...	179 8 5	...	...	...	2,250 14 5	22,519 5 4	74
...	...	...	9 11 8	...	...	...	146 4 3	1,075 8 9	75
181 0 6	14 2 0	...	9 0 0	...	...	...	...	781 3 9	76
...	...	...	67 10 0	...	...	...	...	7,053 2 4	77
...	...	...	11 10 9	...	...	...	...	1,278 12 2	78
...	...	...	34 3 11	...	282 7 1	...	21 4 7	3,235 15 0	79
...	...	...	60 6 9	...	...	...	117 12 5	5,670 3 8	80
4,434 12 0	...	...	47 11 1	...	...	...	...	7,626 14 8	81
...	...	...	6 10 2	...	...	...	...	617 3 2	82
...	...	...	1 15 5	...	...	...	...	494 1 10	83
...	445 5 3	...	1,444 8 8	3,382 9 9	...	...	31,026 13 6	3,19,773 0 0	84
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,588 13 9	85
...	...	...	262 14 5	...	...	...	105 14 7	27,150 12 6	86
...	...	...	146 11 0	...	...	...	121 14 2	15,353 0 9	87
...	...	...	1,175 3 8	...	...	...	1,495 3 7	1,28,080 7 6	88
...	144 0 0	...	19 0 0	117 8 0	...	...	1,207 0 0	4,301 6 0	89
2,182 14 9	...	...	150 1 6	56 12 6	...	...	49 12 0	9,111 9 10	90
...	...	...	21 5 0	45 9 3	...	...	...	2,550 9 11	91
174 9 0	...	...	60 5 9	384 0 0	...	...	16 5 10	6,543 3 4	92
184 6 0	...	...	36 7 3	16 11 6	...	...	11 0 5	2,798 8 5	93
10 10 0	...	...	0 12 9	...	...	...	...	139 15 9	94
136 15 11	...	...	2 8 7	...	...	...	...	274 0 5	95
...	2 4 0	...	13 5 5	...	...	...	...	2,581 13 8	96
186 1 3	...	...	11 1 1	...	...	...	145 0 0	1,212 5 0	97
7,491 5 5	609 12 11	10,142 5 4	4,314 2 3	4,192 14 7	400 5 8	5,779 6 0	39,503 14 3	6,62,932 8 6	
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	75 15 8	1,893 10 1	98
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	582 14 0	99
...	...	...	...	23 7 1	...	...	...	1,670 5 5	100
...	...	...	99 6 4	...	...	...	590 12 6	8,389 6 8	101
...	...	...	...	32 0 0	...	...	...	92 5 5	102
...	...	...	17 12 0	64 0 0	...	...	24 0 10	1,357 2 10	103
...	244 9 3	...	732 1 4	3,133 7 7	...	73,044 11 5	6,840 5 2	2,33,068 6 1	104
...	177 4 9	...	24 0 7	...	...	...	569 4 5	4,121 10 4	105
...	...	...	1 3 4	...	...	...	1 5 6	149 10 10	106
...	421 14 0	...	874 7 7	3,252 14 8	...	73,044 11 5	8,101 12 1	2,51,325 7 8	
...	...	...	1,052 7 5	...	...	...	22,194 4 7	1,54,962 13 0	107
...	...	...	130 2 4	298 15 0	...	3,176 11 7	155 10 6	18,591 10 3	108
...	...	...	224 8 11	1,015 12 0	...	...	887 9 4	32,283 7 6	109
...	673 0 6	...	408 15 6	2,380 12 8	...	...	2,672 8 4	59,199 8 3	110
...	...	...	48 11 1	...	...	...	415 6 7	5,652 15 3	111
1,111 0 2	634 6 1	...	241 6 5	...	...	...	1,539 7 1	31,936 12 8	112
...	...	...	10 1 8	162 6 3	...	...	21 1 1	2,332 4 2	113
58 7 1	...	...	309 1 9	...	...	...	164 14 9	34,209 2 0	114
1,169 7 3	1,307 6 7	...	2,425 7 1	3,866 13 11	...	3,176 11 7	28,050 14 3	3,39,168 9 1	
14,259 2 3	11,522 5 7	53,622 6 4	13,163 10 11	24,047 14 10	8,275 2 3	89,384 6 11	1,46,741 5 10	30,46,730 10 11	

estate.

STATEMENT No. IV.—*Showing the excess of total available income over expenditure*

Division.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Expenditure charge			
			Government revenue.	Cesses.	Owner's rate.	Occupier's rate.
			19	20	21	22
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
ALLAHABAD.	66	Rawatpur	25,905 3 10	3,108 5 5	331 7 1	6,566 4 10
	67	Ratanpur	789 2 4	94 9 7	43 12 0	188 12 2
	68	Darwapur	575 0 0	69 0 0	...	45 5 9
	69	Sakarwan	2,820 14 4	338 13 2	47 9 0	853 14 9
	70	Banajakha	400 0 0	48 0 0	7 7 8	66 14 8
	71	Misri Lal	2,429 8 6	291 8 2	8 1 6	1,643 6 7
	72	Janki Prasad *	7 6 6	...	...	...
	73	Patti Shah	872 4 7	104 7 5	...	...
	74	Arampur Bushi	9,242 15 8	1,109 2 9	...	...
	75	Wahid-un-nisa	495 14 8	59 7 9	...	...
	76	Bhamba	458 8 7	53 0 3	...	...
	77	Karhai	2,854 2 7	342 8 0	...	...
	78	Bahuri	530 0 0	63 9 8	...	...
	79	Sultan Husain and Musammat Shams-un-nisa.	1,515 0 0	181 12 9	...	...
	80	Diya Chakwa	3,164 6 2	380 0 8	...	...
	81	Singhpur	3,000 5 2	359 11 7	...	...
	82	Baghel	438 9 3	52 10 3	...	...
	83	Garauti *	202 5 0	18 0 0	...	...
	84	Partab Chand	81,935 1 2	9,829 8 2	...	...
	85	Sarai Pitha	3,846 14 0	588 0 2	...	...
	86	Gambhira	13,099 14 6	1,571 12 3	...	...
	87	Shahpur	7,223 12 5	866 8 10	...	...
	88	Bara	69,126 0 2	8,277 11 8	...	...
	89	Chirgaon	1,099 6 2	131 15 4	...	...
	90	Kukurgaon	2,850 5 0	488 15 0	...	...
	91	Beona	465 0 0	86 6 0	...	...
	92	Dhagwan Khurd, No. I ...	2,123 0 0	254 12 0	...	...
	93	Ditto No II ...	1,025 0 0	123 0 0	...	...
	94	Mailwar Andela	63 3 8	7 14 4	...	...
	95	Manikju and Dulaju ...	3 8 0	7 12 9	...	...
	96	Lalitpur	689 5 6	82 3 0	...	...
	97	Pahalwan Singh	414 6 0	64 11 3	...	...
		Total ...	2,39,666 7 9	29,055 14 2	438 5 3	9,314 10 9
BENARES.	98	Gopálpur, No. I ...	412 11 0	41 15 4	...	...
	99	Sangaband ...	a 192 14 0	...	...	...
	100	Pathana ...	...	...	...	...
	101	Batuk Prasad ...	2,744 13 5	261 3 2	...	...
	102	Hardial Lohár ...	...	...	...	...
	103	Karim-ud-dinpur ...	758 4 0	70 14 4	...	...
	104	Anapur ...	36,446 7 5	4,897 13 9	...	...
	105	Lakshmi Narain ...	583 2 9	151 8 0	...	...
	106	Kodai ...	...	...	...	...
		Total ...	41,138 4 7	5,423 6 7	...	...
GORAKHPUR.	107	Majhauli ...	52,284 5 5	7,826 3 4	...	...
	108	Chaumukha ...	5,401 2 6	648 1 10	...	...
	109	Bansgaon ...	9,211 13 6	1,105 7 3	...	...
	110	Dumri ...	17,929 15 0	2,141 4 2	...	...
	111	Narharpur ...	2,275 4 6	271 9 0	...	...
	112	Brijnandan Das ...	8,379 12 4	1,070 4 6	...	...
	113	Fida Husain ...	587 7 3	69 8 7	...	...
	114	Sarái Mír ...	14,158 14 3	1,778 12 11	...	...
		Total ...	1,10,228 10 9	14,911 3 7	...	...
		GRAND TOTAL ...	6,79,080 4 11	83,696 7 3	5,571 0 4	60,949 12 3

(a) Rent payable to Dudhi estate.

* New

chargeable to profits for each estate during the revenue year 1890-91—(concluded).

Patwári rate.	Cost of management.	Miscellaneous charges including law expenses, ordinary repairs, interest on debts and loans.	Total.	Excess of total available income over expenditure chargeable to profits.	Percentage of Government revenue to rental receipts in column 9.	Percentage of cost of management to total of receipts in columns 7 to 14 and column 17.	Serial number of estate.
23	24	25	26	27	28	29	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.			
1,036 5 8	6,003 12 0	6,992 10 1	49,944 0 11	8,959 11 10	55·	10·	66
31 8 4	109 2 0	65 3 0	1,272 1 5	790 14 8	55	6·	67
23 0 0	43 15 0	18 3 6	774 8 3	216 5 10	68·	4·	68
113 0 0	611 13 0	191 7 3	4,977 7 6	7,119 1 8	54·	10·	69
16 0 0	102 9 10	469 14 6	1,110 14 8	53 12 5	49·	11·	70
97 3 0	638 15 7	910 12 3	6,019 7 7	1,802 4 10	46·	8·	71
...	...	...	7 6 6	1,364 5 3	...	...	72
34 6 4	84 12 0	4 6 9	1,050 5 1	1,657 5 7	43·	1·	73
369 9 11	2,147 13 9	2,811 5 3	15,680 15 4	6,838 6 0	49·	10·	74
19 12 0	72 8 0	12 11 0	660 5 5	415 3 4	55·	7·	75
17 10 5	61 6 0	25 3 1	615 12 4	165 7 5	81·	8·	76
114 2 10	455 0 0	217 0 4	3,982 13 9	3,070 4 7	46·	7·	77
21 3 2	84 10 0	167 5 9	866 12 7	411 15 7	44·	7·	78
60 9 7	229 0 0	537 5 4	2,523 11 8	712 3 4	54·	8·	79
126 9 6	393 6 0	565 11 11	4,630 2 3	1,040 1 5	63·	7·	80
120 11 4	521 2 0	809 9 11	4,811 8 0	2,815 6 8	110·	7·	81
17 8 9	32 5 0	53 4 0	594 5 3	22 13 11	78·	6·	82
6 0 0	19 7 0	2 9 4	248 5 4	245 12 6	41·	4·	83
3,278 15 10	14,197 3 6	10,668 0 4	1,19,903 13 0	1,99,869 3 0	55·	5·	84
196 0 3	627 14 8	*b* 330 0 8	5,588 13 9	...	...	...	85
524 1 7	1,650 4 9	580 4 7	17,426 5 8	9,724 6 10	51·	6·	86
289 0 6	1,148 6 3	1,819 0 8	11,346 12 8	4,006 4 1	50·	8·	87
2,759 9 8	9,959 9 0	20,580 6 9	1,10,703 5 3	17,377 2 3	58·	8·	88
44 6 8	189 0 0	269 5 5	1,734 1 7	2,567 4 5	59·	6·	89
168 0 0	795 8 0	632 6 5	4,930 2 5	4,181 7 5	50·	9·	90
28 13 0	281 8 0	102 4 4	963 15 4	1,586 10 7	22·	13·	91
84 14 8	340 0 0	307 4 4	3,109 15 0	3,433 4 4	53·	7·	92
41 0 0	202 0 0	54 13 10	1,445 13 10	1,352 10 7	51·	8·	93
2 11 0	0 12 0	18 4 0	92 13 0	47 2 9	60·	1·	94
1 8 0	2 9 6	0 4 0	15 10 8	258 6 2	17·	2·	95
29 13 3	105 12 0	232 4 8	1,139 6 5	1,442 7 3	47·	7·	96
21 5 4	78 8 0	147 3 5	726 2 0	486 3 0	48·	6·	97
9,690 8 7	41,140 8 10	49,591 10 8	3,78,898 2 0	2,84,034 1 6	56·	7·	
35 1 0	131 1 8	108 10 0	729 7 0	1,164 3 1	26·	8·	98
...	...	138 14 3	331 12 3	251 1 9	33·	...	99
...	10 4 0	8 4 3	18 8 3	1,651 13 2	...	2·	100
116 3 1	479 1 6	535 2 7	4,136 7 9	4,252 14 11	36·	6·	101
...	1 0 0	*c* 3 0 0	4 0 0	88 5 5	...	3·	102
34 4 0	106 2 1	30 12 1	1,000 4 6	356 14 4	66·	8·	103
1,640 0 6	6,526 14 1	7,568 0 7	57,079 4 4	1,75,989 1 9	49·	7·	104
52 5 6	239 13 11	675 4 5	1,702 2 7	2,419 7 9	25·	7·	105
...	3 11 9	52 5 7	56 1 4	93 9 6	...	3·	106
1,877 14 1	7,498 1 0	9,120 5 9	65,058 0 0	1,86,267 7 8	47·	7·	
2,156 14 6	11,409 3 11	13,552 10 5	87,229 5 7	67,733 7 5	47·	8·	107
215 15 11	1,164 2 4	5,276 10 3	12,706 0 10	5,885 9 5	42·	8·	108
368 6 6	2,118 0 6	1,448 3 6	14,251 15 3	18,031 8 3	40·	8·	109
713 13 8	3,787 12 10	17,038 10 5	41,611 8 1	17,588 0 2	44·	8·	110
90 9 9	638 3 11	305 0 6	3,580 11 8	2,072 3 7	48·	12·	111
362 9 11	2,306 6 10	9,323 14 0	21,442 15 7	10,493 13 1	39·	8·	112
23 10 9	316 9 0	126 0 0	1,123 3 7	1,209 0 7	34·	15·	113
607 14 9	2,242 7 3	7,124 8 9	25,912 9 11	8,296 8 1	43·	7·	114
4,539 15 9	23,982 14 7	54,195 9 10	2,07,858 6 6	1,31,310 2 7	45·	8·	
27,651 2 7	1,28,725 8 8	2,43,709 5 1	12,20,383 9 1	18,17,347 1 10	48·	6·	

able to profits.

(*b*) Includes Rs. 222-12-0 cash balance made over to proprietors.

estate. (*c*) „ Rs. 1-8-0 rent payable to the zamíndár.

STATEMENT No. V.—*Personal or other expenditure not chargeable to profits*

Division.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Net profits or income during the year as shown in column 27 of statement No. IV.	Personal expenses. Ordinary.	Personal expenses. Extraordinary.	Personal expenses. Total.	Percentage to net profits or income.	Educational charges.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.
MEERUT.	1	Raghunath Das	569 6 7	...	...	...	...	57 13 6
	2	Kunjpura	20,846 1 6	...	...	...	...	...
	3	Landhaura*	1,473 10 3	...	...	...	...	...
	4	Sambalhera	8,501 12 7	3,450 0 0	150 0 0	3,600 0 0	42·	542 6 0
	5	Bidauli	7,954 5 0	2,466 8 0	450 0 0	2,916 8 0	37·	862 4 0
	6	Karana	166 5 6	121 12 6	...	121 12 6	73·	...
	7	Bhandura	14,937 1 0	3,320 12 9	...	3,320 12 9	22·	...
	8	Karam Ali Khan	26,040 9 9	3,180 0 0	4,700 0 0	7,880 0 0	30·	1,123 7 0
	9	Daurala	830 4 3	192 0 0	50 0 0	242 0 0	29·	...
	10	Hápur	10,442 13 2	661 6 0	1,000 0 0	1,661 6 0	16·	159 4 4
	11	Ram Saran Das	20,192 6 4	1,800 0 0	...	1,800 0 0	9·	3,006 6 0
	12	Kithauli	875 5 7	96 0 0	...	96 0 0	11·	4 0 0
	13	Murari Lal	5,081 3 10	720 0 0	...	720 0 0	14·	120 0 0
	14	Belaun	476 0 0	260 0 0	216 0 0	476 0 0	100·	...
	15	Mohreni	6,072 7 11	1,061 10 8	...	1,061 10 8	17·	386 14 0
	16	Lachman Singh	...	...	...	...	...	...
	17	Dadon*	3,37,760 8 3	1,600 0 0	...	1,600 0 0	·5	200 0 0
		Total ...	4,61,720 5 6	18,930 1 11	6,566 0 0	25,496 1 11	5·	6,462 6 10
AGRA.	18	Parshadi Lal	1,945 7 11	1,130 8 0	...	1,130 8 0	58·	...
	19	Parna	10,163 7 0	1,440 0 0	...	1,440 0 0	14·	...
	20	Abdul Majid Khan	2,647 2 5	120 0 0	...	120 0 0	5·	24 0 0
	21	Guthena	549 7 1	45 0 0	...	45 0 0	8·	18 0 0
	22	Muhammad Raza Khan ...	505 13 0	55 0 0	...	55 0 0	11·	22 0 0
	23	Bhagwat Dial*	96 8 3	...	...	...	...	...
	24	Arjunpur	1,921 7 8	...	500 0 0	500 0 0	26·	...
	25	Sujrai Karauli	18,606 10 5	3,735 0 0	1,236 0 0	4,971 0 0	27·	1,019 7 6
	26	Musammat Jasoda Kuar ...	1,399 6 11	720 0 0	115 0 0	835 0 0	64·	...
	27	Chakkarnagar	7,627 11 11	3,000 0 0	...	3,000 0 0	39·	...
	28	Harchandpur	11,289 15 5	1,770 0 0	250 0 0	2,020 0 0	18·	328 0 0
	29	Roshangpur	4,179 9 10	964 0 0	270 0 0	1,234 0 0	30·	117 0 0
	30	Nagla Kisi	663 13 11	240 0 0	...	240 0 0	36·	1 0 0
	31	Mati-ullah Khan	1,371 7 11	144 0 0	...	144 0 0	10·	...
	32	Arthara	528 12 11	120 0 0	...	120 0 0	23·	...
	33	Nagla Amín	848 13 8	300 0 0	...	300 0 0	35·	1 8 0
	34	Chet Singh	239 1 8	180 0 0	...	180 0 0	75·	...
	35	Bhairon Prasad and Jwala Prasad*	5,804 5 0	854 13 6	...	854 13 6	15·	...
		Total	70,299 2 11	14,818 5 6	2,371 0 0	17,189 5 6	24·	1,530 15 6
ROHILKHAND.	36	Durga Prasad	1,732 13 10	420 0 0	...	420 0 0	24·	...
	37	Ballia	3,020 0 0	1,020 0 0	...	1,020 0 0	33·	...
	38	Begam Mashúk Mahal ...	3,08,951 8 10	13,380 11 11	419 1 6	13,799 13 5	4·	1,791 6 9
	39	Srigopal	3,195 11 7	609 12 0	99 1 3	708 13 3	22·	10 0 0
	40	Madhopur	2,23,610 8 11	6,769 6 5	1,164 0 0	7,933 6 5	3·	1,585 4 0
	41	Kiratpur	12,773 14 9	1,330 0 0	...	1,330 0 0	10·	541 8 0
	42	Malakpur Dehri	4,860 5 0	1,962 3 1	100 0 0	2,062 3 1	42·	246 11 4
	43	Sahanpur	50,807 8 11	7,823 0 3	500 0 0	8,323 0 3	16·	406 9 10
	44	Kazi Imdad Ali	527 11 10	70 0 0	...	70 0 0	13·	243 0 6
	45	Parbin Singh	2,096 8 10	30 0 0	...	30 0 0	1·	150 9 0
	46	Podi	379 0 3	12 8 8	...	12 8 8	3·	...
	47	Musammat Anandi	482 0 5	...	...	...	...	...
	48	Sheikhupur	2,441 6 0	600 0 0	100 0 0	700 0 0	28·	109 10 10
	49	Budh Prakash	6,294 11 6	456 0 0	100 0 0	556 0 0	9·	503 14 0
	50	Mubarak Husain	616 3 1	108 0 0	...	108 0 0	17·	44 3 6
	51	Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal ...	356 8 0	175 0 0	...	175 0 0	49·	181 8 0
	52	Anand Sarup and Jagdish Sarup ...	504 0 11	180 0 0	...	180 0 0	36·	...
	53	Rámpur	14,531 13 9	6,559 0 0	350 0 0	6,909 0 0	47·	...
	54	Narainpur	6,702 14 1	590 0 0	200 0 0	790 0 0	12·	...
	55	Nahal	12,359 4 4	1,092 0 0	2,100 0 0	3,192 0 0	26·	...
	56	Fakhr-ud-din Khan	1,121 0 6	360 0 0	130 0 0	490 0 0	44·	111 12 0
	57	Mohanpur	1,813 2 5	480 0 0	950 0 0	1,430 0 0	79·	...
	58	Azizganj	6,310 15 0	1,657 0 0	290 0 0	1,947 0 0	31·	365 2 8
	59	Dhukri	17 5 9	...	...	...	...	...
	60	Bamrauli	2,160 2 7	...	...	...	...	...
	61	Bhatpura Rasúlpura	7,459 15 7	1,200 0 0	100 0 0	1,300 0 0	17·	96 2 9
	62	Khameria	5,844 4 6	1,642 11 11	950 0 0	2,592 11 11	44·	175 15 6
	63	Zafar Ali Khan	1,696 3 6	...	...	...	...	...
	64	Khiriya Rasúlpur	1,048 1 0	219 14 2	...	219 14 2	21·	3 0 6
	65	Ghungchai*	...	...	...	...	...	...
		Total ...	6,83,715 13 8	48,747 4 5	7,552 2 9	56,299 7 2	8·	6,566 7 2

* New.

in Statement No. IV incurred during the revenue year 1890-91.

Miscellaneous disbursements.							Total personal expenditure and miscellaneous disbursements.	Total expenditure of estate during the year.	Serial number of estate.
Works of improvement.	Takávi advances.	Repayment of principal of loans made by Court of Wards or Government.	Repayment of principal of other debts.	Purchase of Government securities.	Purchase of landed property and houses.	Loans granted on mortgage or otherwise.			
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
...	...	...	474 5 3	...	...	...	532 2 9	1,465 2 9	1
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4,494 15 11	2
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,039 13 7	3
266 8 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	4,408 14 0	21,628 10 5	4
728 0 0	...	...	...	3,000 0 0	...	...	7,506 12 0	13,106 15 6	5
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	121 12 6	164 2 6	6
4,140 11 0	...	...	...	7,000 0 0	446 12 3	...	14,908 4 0	53,323 7 9	7
3,823 8 3	...	...	...	9,000 0 0	...	...	21,826 15 3	40,216 12 2	8
...	...	...	...	500 0 0	...	...	742 0 0	1,398 1 6	9
298 13 5	...	...	...	...	7,500 0 0	...	9,619 7 9	12,728 3 11	10
718 2 0	50 0 0	...	...	...	4,500 0 0	...	10,074 8 0	22,673 10 8	11
...	...	...	...	500 0 0	...	...	600 0 0	1,166 12 3	12
400 0 0	...	...	3,679 4 3	...	...	...	4,919 4 3	12,388 1 1	13
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	476 0 0	3,148 13 2	14
2,220 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,668 8 8	12,557 3 4	15
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16
...	...	...	3,10,193 12 0	...	...	...	3,11,993 12 0	3,25,935 6 7	17
12,595 10 8	50 0 0	...	3,14,347 5 6	20,000 0 0	12,446 12 3	...	3,91,398 5 2	5,29,436 5 1	
...	...	...	77 10 0	...	...	...	1,208 2 0	2,598 4 5	18
...	600 0 0	...	...	5,000 0 0	...	...	7,040 0 0	15,354 4 1	19
...	...	...	...	2,000 0 0	...	...	2,144 0 0	2,413 12 0	20
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	63 0 0	357 10 10	21
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	77 0 0	262 9 9	22
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	152 5 2	23
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	...	764 13 8	...	...	...	...	1,264 13 8	18,029 6 5	24
503 14 6	539 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	7,033 6 0	34,469 7 7	25
...	...	39 15 6	...	...	...	...	874 15 6	7,168 14 9	26
...	...	...	4,500 0 0	...	...	...	7,500 0 0	33,819 8 9	27
398 10 0	1,035 0 0	2,050 0 0	4,285 14 2	...	...	...	11,017 8 2	46,300 3 8	28
...	2,399 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	3,750 0 0	20,149 2 10	29
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	241 0 0	1,036 7 11	30
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	144 0 0	442 9 10	31
...	...	218 14 2	...	...	...	...	338 14 2	846 10 3	32
...	...	300 0 0	...	...	...	...	601 8 0	1,434 8 10	33
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	180 0 0	1,839 0 8	34
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	854 13 6	9,589 8 10	35
902 8 6	5,473 0 0	3,373 11 4	8,863 8 2	7,000 0 0	...	...	44,333 1 0	1,96,264 8 7	
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	420 0 0	1,644 11 0	36
...	...	2,000 0 0	...	...	...	...	3,020 0 0	8,095 5 5	37
300 0 0	1,145 0 0	...	121 10 10	40,000 0 0	...	...	58,057 15 0	83,936 10 5	38
...	...	...	...	1,500 0 0	...	...	2,218 13 3	5,060 6 9	39
808 9 0	602 0 0	...	...	50,000 0 0	...	...	60,929 3 5	1,29,603 12 5	40
251 0 0	75 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	2,197 8 0	7,545 9 6	41
938 1 0	90 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	3,336 15 5	9,093 6 10	42
4,353 2 4	464 0 0	...	...	25,000 0 0	2,274 14 2	500 0 0	41,321 10 7	1,09,656 11 5	43
...	...	...	...	200 0 0	...	...	513 0 6	880 3 1	44
...	...	...	...	...	1,700 0 0	...	1,880 9 0	3,281 0 5	45
...	...	337 0 6	...	...	...	...	340 9 2	1,365 11 11	46
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	751 5 3	47
...	...	...	...	1,000 0 0	...	...	1,809 10 10	3,096 3 0	48
...	...	...	...	4,000 0 0	...	...	5,059 14 0	8,101 0 2	49
...	...	...	150 0 0	...	...	...	302 8 6	498 0 1	50
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	356 8 0	2,042 8 2	51
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	180 0 0	260 7 7	52
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	14,484 0 0	26,102 2 0	53
...	...	...	7,575 0 0	...	...	...	2,590 0 0	6,245 0 10	54
...	50 0 0	...	...	...	...	1,750 0 0	3,566 6 9	21,205 6 10	55
156 6 9	180 0 0	...	...	...	38 0 0	...	786 5 10	2,625 2 7	56
...	...	...	184 9 10	...	...	...	1,431 11 10	7,448 10 4	57
...	...	1 11 10	...	...	...	...	5,148 2 9	28,228 7 5	58
...	186 13 0	...	2,649 3 1	...	...	...	13 15 11	105 12 1	59
...	...	13 15 11	...	...	...	...	2,058 1 7	2,858 3 4	60
...	...	308 2 0	1,749 15 7	...	...	...	5,811 14 1	23,016 11 2	61
...	39 0 0	789 5 5	3,587 5 11	...	59 0 0	1,500 0 0	4,887 11 5	14,767 8 7	62
310 0 0	250 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	1,508 10 4	2,357 15 0	63
...	...	...	1,608 10 4	...	...	...	750 0 10	2,926 1 6	64
...	...	...	527 2 2	...	...	...	...	...	65
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
7,117 3 1	3,081 13 0	3,450 3 8	18,153 9 9	1,22,600 0 0	4,071 14 2	3,750 0 0	2,25,090 10 0	5,12,690 3 1	

estate.

STATEMENT No. V.—*Personal or other expenditure not chargeable to profits*

Division.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Net profits or income during the year as shown in column 27 of statement No. IV.	Personal expenses.			Percentage to net profits or income.	Educational charges.
				Ordinary.	Extra-ordinary.	Total.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.
ALLAHABAD.	66	Rawatpur	8,959 11 10	6,300 14 8	650 0 0	6,950 14 8	77·	159 2 8
	67	Ratanpur	790 14 8	288 0 0	52 8 3	340 8 3	43·	...
	68	Darwapur	216 5 10	108 8 0	...	108 8 0	50·	62 4 3
	69	Sakarwan	7,119 1 8	983 0 0	75 0 0	1,058 0 0	15·	185 0 0
	70	Banajakha	53 12 5	...	...	...	...	...
	71	Misri Lal	1,802 4 10	908 11 6	180 0 0	1,088 11 6	60·	60 0 0
	72	Janki Prasad *	1,364 5 3	...	...	...	...	...
	73	Patti Shah	1,657 5 7	499 0 0	...	499 0 0	30·	...
	74	Arámpur Bushi	6,838 6 0	1,440 0 0	...	1,440 0 0	21·	480 0 0
	75	Wahid un-nisa	415 3 4	256 0 0	...	256 0 0	61·	...
	76	Bhamba	165 7 5	96 0 0	...	96 0 0	58·	9 2 0
	77	Karhai	3,070 4 7	480 0 0	...	480 0 0	16·	...
	78	Bahuri	411 15 7	...		...	...	
	79	Sultan Husain and Musammat Shams-un-nisa.	712 3 4	480 0 0	...	480 0 0	67·	...
	80	Diya Chakwa	1,040 1 5	...	...	...	...	...
	81	Singhpur	2,815 6 8	580 0 0	...	580 0 0	21·	...
	82	Baghel	22 13 11	...	...	...	...	...
	83	Garauti *	245 12 6	...	...	...	...	...
	84	Partab Chand	1,99,869 3 0	13,267 0 9	3,672 6 4	16,939 7 1	8·	972 0 0
	85	Sarái Pitha	...	...	...	...	...	...
	86	Gambhira	9,724 6 10	996 0 0	...	996 0 0	10·	...
	87	Sháhpur	4,006 4 1	96 0 0	...	96 0 0	2·	...
	88	Bara	17,377 2 3	6,071 4 0	...	6,071 4 0	35·	...
	89	Chírgaon	2,567 4 5	1,200 0 0	...	1,200 0 0	47·	...
	90	Kukurgaon	4,181 7 5	940 0 0	...	940 0 0	22·	...
	91	Beona	1,586 10 7	985 0 0	200 0 0	1,185 0 0	75·	...
	92	Dhagwan Khurd, No. I ...	3,433 4 4	690 0 0	500 0 0	1,190 0 0	35·	...
	93	Ditto No. II ...	1,352 10 7	690 0 0	100 0 0	790 0 0	58·	...
	94	Mailwar Andela	47 2 9	40 0 0	...	40 0 0	85·	...
	95	Manikju and Dulaju... ...	258 6 2	60 0 0	...	60 0 0	23·	36 3 6
	96	Lalitpur	1,442 7 3	150 0 0	...	150 0 0	10·	...
	97	Pahalwan Singh	486 3 0	190 0 0	...	190 0 0	39·	...
		Total ...	2,84,034 1 6	37,795 6 11	5,429 14 7	43,225 5 6	15·	1,963 12 5
BENARES.	98	Gopálpur, No. I	1,164 3 1	228 0 0	...	228 0 0	20·	158 15 9
	99	Sangaband	251 1 9	...	...	...	...	...
	100	Pathana	1,651 13 2	...	...	...	...	...
	101	Batuk Prasad	4,252 14 11	380 11 6	20 0 0	400 11 6	9·	122 0 8
	102	Hardial Lohar	88 5 5	30 0 0	...	30 0 0	34·	...
	103	Karim-ud-dinpur	356 14 4	216 0 0	...	216 0 0	60·	...
	104	Anapur	1,75,989 1 9	9,721 10 1	...	9,721 10 1	5·	...
	105	Lakshmi Narain	2,419 7 9	300 0 0	...	300 0 0	12·	...
	106	Kodai	93 9 6	44 0 0	...	44 0 0	47·	...
		Total ...	1,86,267 7 8	10,020 5 7	20 0 0	10,040 5 7	6·	281 0 5
GORAKHPUR.	107	Majhauli	67,733 7 5	13,200 0 0	7,300 0 0	20,500 0 0	30·	134 5 4
	108	Chaumukha	5,885 9 5	2,147 12 10	...	2,147 12 10	36·	15 3 6
	109	Bansgaon	18,031 8 3	...	155 0 0	155 0 0	·9	917 13 11
	110	Dumri	17,588 0 2	...	...	...	...	...
	111	Narharpur	2,072 3 7	600 0 0	...	600 0 0	29·	...
	112	Brijnandan Das	10,493 13 1	1,391 3 9	300 0 0	1,691 3 9	16·	...
	113	Fida Husain	1,209 0 7	422 3 8	...	422 3 8	35·	48 14 8
	114	Sarái Mír	8,296 8 1	573 5 4	175 0 0	748 5 4	9·	...
		Total ...	1,31,310 2 7	18,334 9 7	7,930 0 0	26,264 9 7	20·	1,116 5 5
		GRAND TOTAL ...	18,17,347 1 10	1,49,546 1 11	29,869 1 4	1,79,415 3 3	10·	17,020 15 9

* New

in Statement No. IV incurred during the revenue year 1890-91—(continued).

Miscellaneous disbursements.							Total personal expenditure and miscellaneous disbursements.	Total expenditure of estate during the year.	Serial number of estate.
Works of improvement.	Takávi advances.	Repayment of principal of loans made by Court of Wards or Government.	Repayment of principal of other debts.	Purchase of Government securities.	Purchase of landed property and houses.	Loans granted on mortgage or otherwise.			
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
527 14 6	493 0 0	...	...	...	828 12 0	...	8,959 11 10	58,903 12 9	66
10 0 0	...	...	...	...	416 1 7	...	766 9 10	2,038 11 3	67
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	170 12 3	945 4 6	68
...	...	...	...	...	5,780 0 0	...	7,023 0 0	12,000 7 6	69
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,110 14 8	70
47 6 7	154 0 0	...	265 0 0	...	...	...	1,615 2 1	7,634 9 8	71
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7 6 6	72
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	499 0 0	1,549 5 1	73
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,920 0 0	17,600 15 4	74
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	256 0 0	916 5 5	75
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	105 2 0	720 14 4	76
...	...	...	1,083 8 2	...	...	...	1,563 8 2	5,546 5 11	77
...	...	363 6 0	...	...	...	...	363 6 0	1,230 2 7	78
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	480 0 0	3,003 11 8	79
...	...	673 5 6	...	...	...	...	673 5 6	5,303 7 9	80
...	...	...	897 13 9	...	...	...	1,477 13 9	6,289 5 9	81
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	594 5 3	82
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	248 5 4	83
5,869 5 0	...	...	...	...	689 8 10	...	24,470 4 11	1,44,374 1 11	84
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,588 13 9	85
...	...	4,126 13 9	...	...	7 15 2	...	5,130 12 11	22,557 2 7	86
...	...	...	3,436 4 5	...	...	...	3,532 4 5	14,879 1 1	87
973 10 3	446 11 0	500 0 0	1,383 10 7	...	5,003 14 0	...	14,379 1 10	1,26,082 7 1	88
10 0 0	...	...	...	1,300 0 0	...	...	2,510 0 0	4,244 1 7	89
75 0 0	500 0 0	...	...	2,500 0 0	...	...	4,015 0 0	8,945 2 5	90
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,185 0 0	2,148 15 4	91
100 0 0	...	...	...	1,500 0 0	...	...	2,790 0 0	5,899 15 0	92
100 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	890 0 0	2,335 13 10	93
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	40 0 0	132 13 0	94
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	96 8 6	111 13 9	95
...	...	402 10 6	500 0 0	...	...	...	1,052 10 6	2,192 0 11	96
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	190 0 0	916 2 0	97
7,713 4 4	1,593 11 0	6,066 3 9	7,566 4 11	5,300 0 0	12,726 3 7	...	86,154 13 6	4,65,052 15 6	
...	...	...	500 0 0	...	...	...	886 15 9	1,616 6 9	98
...	...	251 1 9	...	...	...	...	251 1 9	582 14 0	99
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	18 8 3	100
500 0 0	...	...	2,685 15 2	...	...	...	3,708 11 4	7,845 3 1	101
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	30 0 0	34 0 0	102
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	216 0 0	1,216 4 6	103
1,834 0 0	100 0 0	...	...	...	1,50,205 0 0	...	1,61,860 10 1	2,18,939 14 5	104
...	...	...	1,485 10 5	...	...	...	1,785 10 5	3,487 13 0	105
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	44 0 0	100 1 4	106
2,334 0 0	100 0 0	251 1 9	4,671 9 7	...	1,50,205 0 0	...	1,68,783 1 4	2,33,841 1 4	
1,499 5 9	...	27,373 11 2	...	...	...	...	40,507 6 3	1,36,786 11 10	107
228 14 0	...	...	...	600 0 0	...	...	2,991 14 4	15,697 15 2	108
206 12 6	166 0 0	...	...	6,000 0 0	...	...	7,445 10 5	21,697 9 8	109
3,733 10 1	247 0 0	...	...	...	...	2,250 0 0	6,230 10 1	47,842 2 2	110
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	600 0 0	4,180 11 8	111
...	...	...	7,889 0 0	...	...	...	9,580 3 9	31,023 3 4	112
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	471 2 4	1,594 5 11	113
305 0 0	...	...	4,247 6 9	...	...	...	5,300 12 1	31,213 6 0	114
5,973 10 4	413 0 0	27,373 11 2	12,136 6 9	6,600 0 0	...	2,250 0 0	82,127 11 3	2,89,986 1 9	
36,636 4 11	10,711 8 0	40,514 15 8	3,65,738 12 8	1,61,500 0 0	1,79,449 14 0	6,000 0 0	9,97,887 10 3	22,27,271 3 4	

estate.

STATEMENT No. VI.—*List of improvements undertaken and wholly or partially completed during the revenue year* 1890-91.

Division.	District.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Description of work undertaken.	Amount originally sanctioned for the work.	Expended up to the commencement of the year.	Expended during the year.	Balance required to complete the work.
					Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
MEERUT ...	Muzaffarnagar ...	4	Sambalhera ...	Repairs to three wells	400 0 0	...	266 8 0	105 12 0
		5	Bidauli	Construction of three new wells and repairs to a well	750 0 0	...	728 0 0	...
		7	Bhandura	Construction of two new wells...	1,000 0 0	...	1,450 5 0	...
				Repairs to wells	...	...	195 5 6	...
				Drainage works	750 0 0	...	585 8 0	...
				Repairs to roads	150 0 0	...	335 6 6	...
				Miscellaneous works	1,200 0 0	...	1,574 2 0	...
	Mecrut ...	8	Karam Ali Khan ...	Construction of three new wells	2,534 0 0	...	2,534 0 0	...
				Repairs to wells	1,050 0 0	...	1,011 3 3	...
				Repairs to a tank	12 0 0	...	12 0 0	...
				Repairs to indigo factory and vats	220 0 0	...	85 5 0	...
				Repairs to roads	100 0 0	...	100 0 0	...
				Arboriculture	500 0 0	...	81 0 0	...
		10	Hápur	Construction of a pakka well	2,100 0 0	1,800 0 0	298 13 5	...
		11	Ram Saran Das ...	Repairs to wells	570 0 0	...	579 2 0	...
				Repairs to a kachcha road	25 0 0	...	25 0 0	...
				Repairs to garden pillars	25 0 0	...	25 0 0	...
				Arboriculture	100 0 0	...	89 0 0	...
		13	Murari Lal ...	Repairs to three wells	150 0 0	...	150 0 0	...
				Sinking a cylinder of a well	250 0 0	...	250 0 0	...
	Aligarh ...	15	Mohreni	Construction of wells	4,950 0 0	1,917 6 11	2,200 0 0	812 9 1
				Repairs to wells	20 0 0	...	20 0 0	...
AGRA ...	Mainpuri ...	25	Sujrai Karauli ...	Construction of wells	250 0 0	...	212 15 3	...
				Repairs to wells	80 0 0	...	13 11 6	...
				Repairs to sugar-cane mills	50 0 0	...	53 3 9	...
				Maintenance of trees on road sides	250 0 0	...	144 0 0	...
				Miscellaneous	80 0 0	...	80 0 0	...
	Etáwah ...	28	Harchandpur ...	Extending Harchandpur drainage cut	1,000 0 0	459 14 7	328 10 0	211 7 5
				Construction of a pakka well	190 0 0	100 0 0	55 8 0	34 8 0
				Establishing boundary marks	100 0 0	55 12 0	14 8 0	...
	Bareilly ...	38	Begam Mashúk Mahal...	Repairs to sugar-cane mills	400 0 0	4,530 15 0	300 0 0	...
		40	Madhopur ...	Construction of kachcha wells and repairs to a pakka well ...	640 0 0	...	70 0 0	...
				Construction and repairs of guls and canal embankments ...	634 0 0	...	408 9 0	...
				Construction of houses for tenants	300 0 0	...	300 0 0	...
				Repairs to roads	30 0 0	...	30 0 0	...

Rohilkhand	Bijnor	41	Kiratpur	Construction of a pakka well				792	4	9	...		250	0	0	542	4	9
				Wooden frame for a well				1	0	0	...		1	0	0	...		
		42	Malakpur Dehri	Repairs to a well and construction of a wooden frame				150	0	0	...		138	1	0	...		
				Construction of shops at Nagina				1,531	8	6	...		800	0	0	731	8	6
		43	Sahanpur	Construction of nine wells				5,873	0	0	1,579	0	0	2,339	2	5	1,760	0	9
				Repairs to wells				550	0	0	...			220	11	0	...		
				Opening a gul from the Rawasan river				3,273	1	1	...			1,635	3	11	1,637	13	2
				Cleaning and cutting fire line in sál forest				300	0	0	...			152	1	0	...		
				Settling cultivators				50	0	0	...			6	0	0	...		
	Shábjahánpur	55	Nahal	Sanitation				500	0	0	...			156	6	9	...		
		62	Khameria	Construction of a well				700	0	0	...			310	0	0	390	0	0
	Cawnpore	66	Rawatpur	Repairs to wells				340	0	0	...			324	0	0	...		
				Repairs to sugar mills				50	0	0	...			50	0	0	...		
				New fruit trees				100	0	0	...			41	12	6	...		
				Experiments on *usar*				50	0	0	...			16	2	0	...		
				Miscellaneous				96	0	0	...			96	0	0	...		
		67	Ratanpur	Cleaning a water-course				10	0	0	...			10	0	0	...		
		71	Misri Lal	Repairs to a well				50	0	0	...			47	6	7	...		
Allahabad	Allahabad	84	Partab Chand	Construction of three wells				2,073	13	3	...			2,089	0	0	...		
				Repairs to wells, &c.				1,163	4	9	...			988	11	6	...		
				Construction of three tanks				2,208	3	9	...			2,172	7	0	...		
				Roofing of houses in the bazár of mauza Gobai				300	0	0	...			56	2	6	...		
				Pay of Overseer and his peon				800	0	0	...			563	0	0	...		
		88	Bara	Construction of and repairs to bandhs and construction of a platform to a well.				1,164	0	0	...			973	10	8	...		
	Jhánsi	89	Chírgaon	Construction of a wooden railing round a well				10	0	0	...			10	0	0	...		
	Jalaun	90	Kukurgaon	Repairs to a pakka well				75	0	0	...			75	0	0	...		
		92	Dhagwan Khurd, No. I	Repairs to a bandh				360	0	0	260	0	0	100	0	0	...		
		93	Ditto No. II	Ditto				302	0	0	200	0	0	100	0	0	...		
	Jaunpur	101	Batuk Prasad	Ditto				500	0	0	...			500	0	0	...		
Benares	Gházipur	104	Anapur	Construction of wells				701	0	0	...			869	14	9	...		
				Repairs to wells				398	0	0	...			261	13	2	...		
				Repairs to two bandhs				300	0	0	...			466	13	4	...		
				Repairs to a culvert				75	0	0	...			58	2	8	...		
				Deepening a tank				360	0	0	...			143	4	1	...		
				Pay of Overseer				...			...			34	0	0	...		
Gorakhpur	Gorakhpur	107	Majhauli	Repairs to wells				500	0	0	...			180	0	0	...		
				Repairs to sazawal's offices				100	0	0	...			108	11	0	...		
				Repairs to Majhauli roads				200	0	0	...			130	14	3	...		
				Miscellaneous improvements at Barhaj				1,000	0	0	...			851	4	6	...		
				Arboriculture				300	0	0	...			228	8	0	...		
		108	Chaumukha	Repairs to wells				100	0	0	...			30	14	0	...		
				Arboriculture				150	0	0	...			108	0	0	...		
				Supervision and miscellaneous works				100	0	0	...			90	0	0	...		

STATEMENT No. VI.—*List of improvements undertaken and wholly or partially completed during the revenue year* 1890-91.—(continued).

Division.	District.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Description of work undertaken.	Amount originally sanctioned for the work.	Expended up to the commencement of the year.	Expended during the year.	Balance required to complete the work.
					Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
GORAKHPUR—(*continued*).	Gorakhpur—(*continued*).	109	Bansgaon... ...	Repairs to wells	200 0 0	...	110 0 0	...
				Arboriculture	200 0 0	...	88 8 6	...
				Miscellaneous	100 0 0	...	8 4 0	...
		110	Dumri	Construction of three new wells	1,050 0 0	...	1,092 4 9	...
				Repairs to wells	500 0 0	...	108 8 0	...
				Repairs to embankments and roads	1,000 0 0	...	1,003 5 9	...
				Completion of Dumri-Pipraich road	200 0 0	...	142 1 6	...
				Sissu plantation	800 0 0	...	647 15 7	...
				Miscellaneous	800 0 0	...	739 6 6	...
	Azamgarh ...	114	Sarái Mír	Construction of bandhs	500 0 0	...	305 0 0	...
				Total ...	53,867 4 1	10,903 0 6	36,636 4 11	6,225 15 8

STATEMENT No. VII.—*Debts paid off from date of superintendence to close of the revenue year* 1890-91.

Division.	District.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Superintendence when assumed.	Debts due when superintendence was first assumed.	Incurred after superintendence was assumed.	Debts cleared off.		Total.	Balance.
							By incurring other debts or by sale of property.	From cash balance in hand or otherwise.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
					Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
MEERUT	Saháranpur	1	Raghunath Das	14th June 1886	3,836 8 0	...	...	2,008 8 6	2,008 8 6	1,827 15 6
	Muzaffarnagar	4	Sambalhera	15th Jan. 1870	1,16,853 9 11	24,534 0 9	1,08,393 15 0	30,567 13 11	1,38,961 12 11	2,425 13 9
		7	Bhandura	8th April 1888	567 6 11	...	...	567 6 11	567 6 11	...
		8	Karam Ali Khan	10th June 1872	15,786 11 6	32,575 0 0	...	48,361 11 6	48,361 11 6	...
		9	Daurala	16th Mar. 1880	3,396 0 0	3,400 0 0	3,400 0 0	3,396 0 0	6,796 0 0	...
	Meerut	10	Hápur	1st Sep. 1881*	1,873 11 6	...	...	1,873 11 6	1,873 11 6	...
		11	Ram Saran Das	11th May 1883	4,713 7 6	...	...	4,520 15 3	4,520 15 3	192 8 3
		13	Murari Lal	1st Oct. 1888	7,984 2 3	1,557 0 0	...	7,247 10 6	7,247 10 6	2,293 7 9
	Bulandshahr	14	Belaun	23rd May 1879	1,800 0 0	...	...	1,800 0 0	1,800 0 0	...
	Aligarh	15	Mohreni	2nd Oct. 1880	3,207 13 8	7 6 6	...	3,215 4 2	3,215 4 2	...
		17	Dadon*	1st Aug. 1891	4,07,117 2 9	3,50,000 0 0	3,10,193 12 0	a15,219 3 0	3,25,412 15 0	4,31,704 3 9
				Total	5,67,136 10 0	4,12,073 7 3	4,21,987 11 0	1,18,778 5 3	5,40,766 0 3	4,38,444 1 0
AGRA	Muttra	18	Parshadi Lal	1st Sep. 1889	1,271 10 11	...	...	101 10 0	101 10 0	1,170 0 11
	Agra	19	Parna	3rd Oct. 1874	27,664 2 6	26,000 0 0	26,000 0 0	27,664 2 6	53,664 2 6	...
	Farukhabad	20	Abdul Majid Khan	5th Dec. 1884	374 6 1	20 11 10	...	395 1 11	395 1 11	...
		21	Guthena	1st Feb. 1888	559 13 0	...	...	559 13 0	559 13 0	...
		23	Bhagwat Dial*	25th May 1891	3,236 3 0	...	...	...	...	3,236 3 0
	Mainpuri	24	Arjunpur	27th Aug. 1877	57,663 5 3	48,688 1 1	48,000 0 0	37,351 6 4	85,351 6 4	21,000 0 0
		25	Sujrai Karauli	18th Nov. 1879	4,130 1 0	10 0 0	...	4,140 1 0	4,140 1 0	..
		26	Musammat Jasoda Kuar.	1st April 1889	12,575 0 0	13,700 0 0	12,018 14 6	...	12,018 14 6	14,256 1 6
	Etáwah	27	Chakkarnagar	4th Nov. 1876	90,000 0 0	...	...	43,500 0 0	43,500 0 0	46,500 0 0
		28	Harchandpur	16th April 1888	b1,94,471 13 7	41,694 4 0	1,55,582 0 0	21,062 13 7	1,76,644 13 7	59,521 4 0
		29	Roshangpur	23rd Nov. 1888	2,851 4 0	...	...	2,851 4 0	2,851 4 0	...
	Etah	30	Nagla Kisi	1st Feb 1878	41 10 8	...	...	41 10 8	41 10 8	...
		32	Arthara	May 1885	1,441 11 0	1,500 0 0	1,500 0 0	733 11 0	2,233 11 0	708 0 0
		33	Nagla Amin	1st Oct. 1885	9,348 12 7	9,000 0 0	16,000 0 0	1,548 12 7	17,548 12 7	800 0 0
		34	Chet Singh	5th Sep. 1889	c9,664 10 5	...	...	...	...	9,664 10 5
		35	Bhairon Prasad and Jwala Prasad.*	15th Dec. 1890	4,622 1 9	...	...	...	...	4,622 1 9
				Total	4,19,916 9 9	1,40,613 0 11	2,59,100 14 6	1,39,950 6 7	3,99,051 5 1	1,61,478 5 7
ROHILKHAND	Bareilly	37	Ballia	8th Dec. 1883	35,558 8 10	15,000 0 0	30,325 0 0	9,734 8 10	40,059 8 10	10,499 0 0
		38	Begam Mashúk Mahal	9th Jan. 1884	...	153 5 1	...	153 5 1	153 5 1	...
	Bijnor	41	Kiratpur	15th April 1879	24,037 7 10	9,600 0 0	13,246 7 8	12,720 6 5	25,966 14 1	7,670 9 9
		42	Malakpur Debri	17th Oct. 1879	4,545 3 0	...	...	4,545 3 0	4,545 3 0	...
		43	Sahanpur	1st Jan. 1880	2,06,570 3 3	1,05,000 0 0	1,32,088 2 0	1,79,482 1 3	3,11,570 3 3	...
		44	Kazi Imdad Ali	28th April 1881	696 9 7	...	696 9 7	...	696 9 7	...
		45	Parbin Singh	19th Dec. 1883	8,132 5 9	8,100 0 0	12,662 4 0	3,070 1 9	15,732 5 9	500 0 0
		46	Pedi	1st Aug. 1888	8,813 8 0	12,066 12 6	9,836 0 0	3,307 1 1	13,143 1 1	7,737 3 5
		47	Musammat Anandi	20th Sep 1890	...	67 5 6	...	...	...	67 5 6

* New estate.
(*a*) Represents the amount remitted by creditors.
(*b*) Rs. 197-14-2 since found due and added.
(*c*) This is the correct amount.

STATEMENT No. VII.—*Debts paid off from date of superintendence to close of the revenue year* 1890-91—(continued).

Division.	District.	Serial number of estate.	Name of estate.	Superintendence when assumed.	Debts due when superintendence was first assumed.	Incurred after superintendence was assumed.	Debts cleared off.		Total.	Balance.
							By incurring other debts or by sale of property.	From cash balance in hand or otherwise.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
					Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
ROHILKHAND—(*continued*).	Budaun ...	48	Sheikhupur ...	13th July 1886 ...	6,826 0 0	...	...	1,826 0 0	1,826 0 0	5,000 0 0
		49	Budh Prakash ...	11th Nov. 1878 ...	8,472 7 7	5,409 4 3	5,000 0 0	8,881 11 10	13,881 11 10	...
	Moradabad ...	50	Mubarak Husain ...	8th Aug. 1881 ...	8,677 13 0	...	...	3,107 5 7	3,107 5 7	5,570 7 5
		51	Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal.	15th Feb. 1884 ...	2,488 8 0	93 11 5	...	2,582 3 5	2,582 3 5	...
		52	Anand Sarup and Jagdish Sarup.	1st April 1890 ...	4,705 9 9	...	2,550 0 0	2,155 9 9	4,705 9 9	...
		53	Rámpur	Ditto ...	72,954 8 0	3,000 0 0	...	33,338 5 6	33,338 5 6	42,616 2 6
	Sháhjahánpur ...	54	Narainpur	13th Feb. 1870 ...	44,000 0 0	8,128 7 6	...	52,128 7 6	52,128 7 6	...
		55	Nahal	27th Feb. 1875 ...	72,004 0 0	1,000 0 0	...	73,004 0 0	73,004 0 0	...
		56	Fakhr-ud-din Khan ...	25th Sep. 1878 ..	54,565 8 11	416 8 9	51,732 11 6	332 9 10	52,065 5 4	2,916 12 4
		57	Mohanpur	Mar. 1882 .	19,944 5 3	28,200 0 0	32,100 0 0	8,849 1 7	40,949 1 7	7,195 3 8
		58	Azizganj	6th April 1887 ...	42,071 9 0	40,000 0 0	40,000 0 0	20,434 0 3	60,434 0 3	21,637 8 9
		59	Dhukri	20th April 1887 ...	322 15 5	470 0 0	600 0 0	50 3 9	650 3 9	142 11 8
		60	Bamrauli	July 1888 ...	14,098 7 1	1,750 0 0	13,395 15 7	a733 7 11	14,129 7 6	1,718 15 7
		61	Bhatpura Rasulpura ...	Oct. 1888 .	28,564 9 9	21,427 13 5	23,481 4 0	12,033 2 8	35,514 6 8	14,478 0 6
		63	Zafar Ali Khan ...	1st Dec. 1888 ..	3,700 0 0	1,500 0 0	2,328 10 4	400 0 0	2,728 10 4	2,471 5 8
		64	Khiriya Rasúlpur ...	17th Dec. 1889 ...	4,456 4 10	3 2 4	b2,070 0 0	89 11 1	2,159 11 1	2,299 12 1
	Pilibhít ...	65	Gungchai* ...	1st Aug. 1891 ...	7,130 3 6	...	...	...	...	7,130 3 6
				Total ...	6,83,336 12 4	2,61,386 6 9	3,72,113 0 8	4,32,958 12 1	8,05,071 12 9	1,39,651 6 4
	Cawnpore ...	66	Rawatpur ...	24th June 1873 ...	6,741 0 5	1,169 6 3	...	7,910 6 8	7,910 6 8	...
		67	Ratanpur ...	16th Dec. 1881 ..	931 9 6	1,760 0 0	1,060 0 0	1,281 9 6	2,341 9 6	350 0 0
		68	Darwapur ...	9th May 1884 ..	2,606 12 9	2,500 0 0	3,985 13 7	360 0 11	4,345 14 6	760 14 3
		71	Misri Lal ...	7th Dec. 1888 ...	30,484 7 5	...	28,684 7 5	265 0 0	28,949 7 5	1,535 0 0
	Fatehpur ...	73	Patti Shah ...	18th April 1876 ...	8,442 1 4	5,500 0 0	5,500 0 0	8 442 1 4	13,942 1 4	...
		74	Arámpur Bushi ...	23rd April 1880 ...	63,242 2 3	51,378 4 0	51,378 4 0	57,844 11 3	1,09,222 15 3	5,397 7 0
		75	Wahid un-nisa ...	3rd Mar. 1890 ...	242 0 0	...	...	...	...	242 0 0
	Bánda ...	76	Bhamba ...	30th Jan. 1880 ...	602 0 9	...	...	602 0 9	602 0 9	...
		77	Karhai ...	5th June 1880 ...	12,000 0 0	4,000 0 0	4,000 0 0	11,363 0 8	15,363 0 8	636 15 4
		78	Bahuri ...	5th April 1881 ...	4,130 5 8	7,650 0 0	7,676 2 9	2,790 14 6	10,367 1 3	1,413 4 5
		79	Sultan Husain and Musammat Shams-un-nisa.	1st May 1881 ...	6,734 0 0	7,600 0 0	7,534 0 0	2,891 5 11	10,425 5 11	3,908 10 1
ALLAHABAD ...		80	Diya Chakwa ...	4th June 1882 ..	11,464 14 6	11,000 0 0	10,939 14 6	6,718 14 9	17,658 13 3	4,806 1 3
		81	Singhpur ...	1st July 1882 ...	21,581 9 0	22,000 0 0	20,545 6 2	5,585 15 4	26,131 5 6	17,450 3 6
		82	Baghel ...	27th Nov. 1884 ...	1,779 4 6	1,900 0 0	1,779 4 6	537 11 6	2,317 0 0	1,362 4 6

	Allahabad ...	84	Partab Chand ...	27th June 1872 ...	34,567 3 3	3,435 7 2	...	38,002 10 5	38,002 10 5	...	
		85	Sarai Pitha ...	18th Feb. 1875 ..	39,925 8 1	...	...	39,925 8 1	39,925 8 1	...	
		86	Gambhira ...	16th Mar. 1877 ..	68,723 6 4	...	...	68,723 6 4	68,723 6 4	...	
		87	Shahpur ..	1st Aug. 1882 ..	55,760 6 6	...	...	32,645 3 10	32,645 3 10	23,115 2 8	
		88	Bara ...	7th May 1886 ...	2,88,311 15 11	2,36,000 0 0	2,36,000 0 0	53,709 1 9	2,89,709 1 9	2,34,602 14 2	
	Jhánsi ...	89	Chirgaon ...	11th July 1873 ..	3,041 11 1	1,447 0 0	...	4,488 11 1	4,488 11 1	...	
	Jalaun ...	90	Kukurgaon ...	26th Nov. 1876 ..	12,000 0 0	14,412 1 6	12,000 0 0	14,412 1 6	26,412 1 6	...	
		91	Beona ...	21st July 1880 ..	2,872 10 6	3,000 0 0	2,872 10 6	3,000 0 0	5,872 10 6	...	
	Lalitpur ...	95	Manikju and Dulaju ...	9th Aug. 1886 ...	157 3 7	...	...	140 7 1	140 7 1	16 12 6	
		96	Lalitpur ...	25th June 1887 ...	1,806 0 10	600 0 0	...	2,086 2 2	2,086 2 2	319 14 8	
		97	Pahalwan Singh ...	1st June 1890 ...	585 3 6	...	...	...	...	585 3 6	
				Total ...	6,78,733 9 8	3,75,352 2 11	3,93,855 15 5	3,63,727 1 4	7,57,583 0 9	2,96,502 11 10	
BENARES ...	Mirzapur ...	98	Gopalpur, No. I ...	24th Sep. 1875 ..	18,323 0 0	10,111 13 5	10,111 13 5	17,523 0 0	27,634 13 5	800 0 0	
		99	Sangabsand ...	23rd Dec. 1888 ..	3,000 0 0	...	...	469 14 2	469 14 2	2,530 1 10	
	Jaunpur ...	100	Pathana ...	1st Nov. 1882 ...	6,242 11 5	4,666 10 8	9,417 10 8	1,491 11 5	10,909 6 1	...	
		101	Batuk Prasad ...	3rd Nov. 1885 ...	41,538 10 3	40,000 0 0	64,031 8 0	15,522 3 3	79,553 11 3	1,984 15 0	
	Gházipur ...	104	Anapur ...	16th Jan. 1882 ...	42,739 7 3	69,300 0 0	...	79,039 7 3	79,039 7 3	33,000 0 0	
		105	Lakshmi Narain ...	14th Aug. 1888 ...	5,329 15 9	...	...	2,913 5 4	2,913 5 4	2,416 10 5	
				Total ..	1,17,173 12 8	1,24,078 8 1	83,561 0 1	1,16,959 9 5	2,00,520 9 6	40,731 11 3	
GORAKHPUR ...	Gorakhpur ...	107	Majhauli ...	22nd Nov. 1871 ...	7,55,528 14 8	...	...	7,55,528 14 8	7,55,528 14 8	...	
		108	Chaumukha ...	29th Aug. 1879 ..	45,266 1 6	14,479 3 9	48,478 5 0	11,267 0 3	59,745 5 3	...	
		109	Bánsgaon ...	6th July 1880 ...	60,139 8 11	...	...	60,139 8 11	60,139 8 11	...	
		110	Dumri ...	May 1882 ...	930 7 0	1,465 9 9	...	2,396 0 9	2,396 0 9	...	
		111	Narharpur ...	5th Jan. 1885 ...	18,214 10 6	...	...	16,514 10 6	16,514 10 6	1,700 0 0	
		112	Brijnandan Das ...	24th Aug. 1889 ...	78,385 13 5	...	...	33,767 12 11	33,767 12 11	44,618 0 6	
	Basti ...	113	Fida Husain ...	16th July 1885 ...	472 4 11	17 0 0	...	489 4 11	489 4 11	...	
	Azamgarh ...	114	Sarái Mír ...	1st Mar. 1880 ...	1,27,503 13 10	1,25,054 10 0	1,25,054 10 0	46,753 8 0	1,71,808 2 0	80,750 5 10	
				Total ...	10,86,441 10 9	1,41,016 7 6	1,73,532 15 0	9,26,856 12 11	11,00,389 11 11	1,27,068 6 4	
				GRAND TOTAL ..	35,52,739 1 2	14,54,520 1 5	17,04,151 8 8	20,99,230 15 7	38,03,382 8 3	12,03,876 10 4	

* New estate.
(*a*) This sum represents the amount remitted by creditors.
(*b*) Includes Rs. 1,632-8-11 value of jewellery pawned to a creditor before the estate came under management.

STATEMENT No. VIII.—*Present circumstances of the loans made to*

Authority for granting loan.		Amount of loan sanctioned.	Rate of interest payable per annum.	How repayable.		To what estate advanced.		
Number.	Date.			Term of years.	Instalment fixed, if any.	Name of estate.	Serial number of estate.	Date of payment from Treasury.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
								COURT OF WARDS'
		Rs. a. p.						
B. O. No. 20 ...	9th Jan. 1889 ...	13,700 0 0	6	10	Rs. 685 half-yearly	Musammat Jasoda Kuar.	26	17th May 1889 ...
B. O. No. 6 ...	3rd Jan. 1890 ...	35,000 0 0	7	12	Rs. 2,250 ditto...	Harchandpur ...	28	3rd Feb. 1890 ...
B. O. No. 438 ...	14th April 1887 ...	1,500 0 0	7	...	...	Arthara ...	32	3rd Nov. 1887 ...
B. O. No. 1656N.	15th Sep. 1885 ...	9,000 0 0	7	...	...	Nagla Amin ...	33	26th Nov. 1885 ...
B. O. No. 130 ...	26th Jan. 1885 ...	15,000 0 0	7	8	...	Ballia ...	37	4th May 1885 ...
B. O. No. 1007...	11th Sep. 1890 ...	1,400 0 0	7	...	...	Parbin Singh ...	45	20th April 1891 ...
B. O. No. 454 ...	4th May 1889 ...	9,000 0 0	7	18	Rs. 450 half-yearly	Pedi ...	46	23rd and 25th Sep, 1889.
B. O. No. 993 ...	8th Nov. 1885 ...	9,200 0 0	7	12	...	Mohanpur ...	57	8th Jan. 1887 ...
B. O. No. 1038...	3rd Dec. 1887 ...	300 0 0	7	...	...	Dhukri ...	59	15th Dec. 1887 ...
B. O. No. 536 ...	30th May 1889 ...	170 0 0						27th Sep. 1889 ...
B. O. No. 1149...	4th Nov. 1890 ...	1,750 0 0	7	5	...	Bamrauli ...	60	2nd Jan. 1891 ...
B. O. No. 1092...	18th Oct. 1889 ...	1,300 0 0	7	...	...	Bhatpura Rasulpura	61	2nd and 27th Sep. 1889.
B. O. No. 1195...	15th Nov. 1890 ...	2,600 0 0	7	...	...	Zafar Ali Khan ...	63	30th Sep. 1891 ...
B. O. No. 553 ...	23rd April 1884 ...	2,500 0 0	7	...	Rs. 280 ...	Darwapur ...	68	30th July 1884 ...
B. O. No. 42 ...	14th Jan. 1885 ...	3,500 0 0	7	12	Rs. 225 half-yearly	Bahuri ...	78	6th April 1885 ...
B. O. No. 480 ...	2nd April 1881 ...	6,000 0 0	7	11	Rs. 400 ...	Sultan Husain and Musammat Shams-un-nisa.	79	11th and 22nd Aug 1881.
B. O. No. 1068...	20th Sep. 1884 ...	800 0 0						7th June 1884 ...
G. O. No. 16 ...	4th Jan. 1882 ...	11,000 0 0	7	14	Rs. 625 half-yearly	Diya Chakwa ...	80	20th March 1882 ...
B. O. No. 1298...	20th Nov. 1884 ...	1,900 0 0	7	9	Rs. 150 ditto ...	Baghel ...	82	5th May 1885 ...
G. O. No. 426A.	16th March 1877 ...	70,000 0 0	7½	11	...	Gambhira ...	86	23rd Oct. 1878 ...
G. O. No. 1850...	2nd Nov. 1885 ...	2,34,266 8 1	7	...	...	Bara ...	88	7th, 8th and 15th May, 7th Aug., 1st and 24th Sep., 1st Nov. and 20th Dec. 1886.
B. O. No. 369 ...	13th April 1889 ...	600 0 0	7	7	...	Lalitpur ...	96	1st May 1889 ...
B. O. No. 883 ...	23rd June 1891 ...	33,000 0 0	7	...	...	Anapur ...	104	...
								Total ...
								STATE
G. O. No. 1459A.	13th March 1876 ...	48,000 0 0	6	17	Rs. 2,271-7-4 half-yearly.	Arjunpur ...	24	Dec. 1876 and April 1878.
G. O. No. 4488...	16th July 1888 ...	3,0 0 0	6	...	*None* ...	Sangaband ...	99	29th Oct. 1888 ...
G. O. No. 1183A.	5th May 1874 ...	8,00,000 0 0	6	20	*None* ...	Majhauli ...	107	April 1870 and Dec. 1872.
								Total ...

encumbered estates by the Government and the Court of Wards.

Amount actually advanced.	Amount due at the close of the year 1890-91, including interest.	Amount repaid up to the close of the year under report.			Arrears due at the close of the year.		
		Principal.	Interest.	Total.	Principal.	Interest.	Total.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
LOANS.							
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
13,700 0 0	13,431 1 6	268 14 6	1,915 1 9	2,184 0 3	3,156 1 6	...	3,156 1 6
35,000 0 0	32,950 0 0	2,050 0 0	2,778 5 7	4,828 5 7	...	...	...
1,500 0 0	708 0 0	792 0 0	319 4 0	1,111 4 0	...	...	...
9,000 0 0	800 0 0	8,200 0 0	2,108 12 0	10,308 12 0	...	...	...
15,000 0 0	7,143 4 8	8,500 0 0	3,503 14 10	12,003 14 10	...	643 4 8	643 4 8
500 0 0	514 12 9	...	...	...	...	14 12 9	14 12 9
9,000 0 0	7,737 3 5	1,262 12 7	1,175 0 9	2,437 13 4	...	...	...
9,200 0 0	7,195 3 8	2,004 12 4	2,406 1 9	4,410 14 1	...	...	...
470 0 0	142 11 8	327 4 4	49 7 10	376 12 2	...	...	...
1,750 0 0	1,441 14 0	308 2 0	91 14 0	400 0 0	...	...	...
1,300 0 0	...	1,300 0 0	147 4 5	1,447 4 5	...	...	...
1,500 0 0	1,500 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...
2,500 0 0	943 11 8	1,739 1 9	470 14 3	2,210 0 0	220 14 3	182 13 5	403 11 8
3,500 0 0	1,415 7 1	2,086 11 7	1,238 4 5	3,325 0 0	...	2 2 8	2 2 8
6,800 0 0	4,230 15 1	2,891 5 11	3,308 10 1	6,200 0 0	...	322 5 0	322 5 0
11,000 0 0	4,806 1 3	6,193 14 9	5,291 1 3	11,485 0 0	...	...	...
1,900 0 0	1,625 2 6	537 11 6	442 4 6	980 0 0	580 0 0	262 14 0	842 14 0
68,723 6 4	...	68,723 6 4	44,384 11 10	1,13,108 2 2	...	...	...
2,36,000 0 0	2,34,602 14 2	1,397 1 10	88,536 1 3	89,933 3 1	...	...	...
600 0 0	...	600 0 0	62 13 4	662 13 4	...	...	...
33,000 0 0	34,620 2 8	...	...	...	...	1,620 2 8	1,620 2 8
4,61,943 6 4	3,55,808 10 1	1,09,183 3 5	1,58,229 15 10	2,67,413 3 3	3,956 15 9	3,048 7 2	7,005 6 11
Loans.							
48,000 0 0	21,000 0 0	27,000 0 0	29,987 8 0	56,987 8 0	17,859 8 5	...	17,859 8 5
3,000 0 0	2,530 1 10	469 14 2	414 11 4	884 9 6	...	...	...
7,55,528 14 8	...	7,55,528 14 8	5,74,543 9 2	13,30,072 7 10	...	...	...
8,06,528 14 8	23,530 1 10	7,82,998 12 10	6,04,945 12 6	13,87,944 9 4	17,859 8 5	...	17,859 8 5

STATEMENT No. IX.—*Showing details of notices of ejectment under section* 36, *Act* XII *of* 1881.

Division.	Name of estate.	Serial number of estate.	Number of notices issued under section 36.	Area of land in standard acres to which the notices referred.	Notices contested under section 39.				Result of notices of ejectment not contested.			
					Successfully.		Unsuccessfully.		Tenants relinquished their holdings.		Tenants permitted to remain in possession at enhanced rates.	
					Number.	Area.	Number.	Area.	Number.	Area.	Number.	Area.
				A. r. p.		A. r. p.		A. r. p.		A. r. p.		A. r. p.
MEERUT ...	Sambalhera ...	4	2	23 0 31	1	13 3 19	...	...	1	9 1 12	...	...
	Bhandura ...	7	14	303 3 12	...	...	...	...	9	133 1 37	5	170 1 15
	Karam Ali Khan ...	8	14	62 2 5	...	...	5	20 1 5	9	42 1 0	...	...
	Hápur ...	10	14	50 1 15	...	...	5	20 2 0	9	29 3 15	...	...
	Ram Saran Das ...	11	55	270 2 8	2	5 1 30	3	28 3 0	50	236 1 18	..	...
	Murari Lal ...	13	53	133 3 0	...	...	1	1 2 5	52	132 0 35	...	...
	Belaun ...	14	4	16 3 0	...	...	...	...	4	16 3 0	...	...
AGRA ...	Arjunpur ...	24	3	1 6 23	...	...	...	...	3	1 6 23	...	...
	Sujrai Karauli ...	25	7	89 3 20	4	65 2 35	...	...	3	24 0 25	...	...
	Roshangpur ...	29	10	22 0 23	...	...	...	...	...	...	10	22 0 23
	Bhairon Prasad and Jwala Prasad ...	35	14	138 0 23	...	...	...	...	10	76 1 35	4	61 2 28
ROHILKHAND ...	Madhopur ...	40	3	14 2 30	...	...	...	...	3	14 2 30	...	...
ALLAHABAD ...	Arampur Bushi ...	74	1	1 1 0	...	...	...	...	1	1 1 0	...	...
	Karhai ...	77	6	298 0 4	...	...	3	258 0 3	3	40 0 1	...	...
	Partab Chand ...	84	13	52 1 9	3	6 3 38	...	...	8	44 2 32	2	0 2 19
	Gambhira ...	86	1	0 0 4	...	...	...	...	1	0 0 4	...	...
	Shahpur ...	87	2	1 0 11	...	...	...	...	2	1 0 11	...	...
	Bara	88	124	1,117 0 1	6	201 0 21	9	79 1 4	23	79 0 11	86	757 2 5
BENARES ...	Anapur ...	104	2	5 0 24	...	...	...	...	2	5 0 24	...	...
GORAKHPUR ...	Majhauli ...	107	4	90 0 0	2	50 1 0	1	29 1 0	1	10 2 0	...	...
	Bánsgaon ...	109	1	11 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	11 0 0
	Dumri	110	3	4 3 15	...	...	...	...	3	4 3 15	...	...
	Narharpur ...	111	1	6 2 0	...	...	...	...	1	6 2 0	...	...
	Sarái Mír ...	114	7	22 2 16	...	...	5	12 0 0	...	...	2	10 2 16
	Total ...	...	358	2,738 0 34	18	343 1 23	32	449 2 17	198	911 1 8	110	1,033 3 26

STATEMENT No. X.—*Showing details of applications for enhancement of rent.*

Division.	Name of estate.	Serial number of estate.	Cultivated area.	Number of applications for enhancement decreed during the year.	Number of tenants concerned.	Area in acres.	Rent previously paid.	Rate per acre.	Rent decreed.	Rate per acre.
			A. r. p.			A. r. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
MEERUT ...	Sambalhera ...	4	164 2 32	62	62	164 2 32	707 2 3	4 5 0	966 0 3	5 14 3
	Bhandura ...	7	75 2 9	2	9	75 2 9	306 15 0	4 1 5	447 1 0	5 15 4
ALLAHABAD ...	Arampur Bushi ...	74	16 0 0	5	5	16 0 0	59 12 1	3 11 9	66 10 7	4 2 8
	Bara	88	269 0 0	17	17	269 0 0	919 7 3	3 6 8	1,190 6 3	4 6 9
GORAKHPUR ...	Sarái Mír ...	114	130 2 0	4	4	130 2 0	331 10 3	2 8 10	361 10 3	2 12 6
	Total ...	...	655 3 1	90	97	655 3 1	2,324 14 10	3 8 8	3,031 12 4	4 9 11

STATEMENT No. XI.—*Showing the estates from which arrears of the Government current demand were due at the close of the revenue year* 1890-91.

Division.	Name of estate.	Serial number of estate.	Government demand. a. Revenue.	Government demand. b. Local cesses.	Government demand. c. Patwári rate	Government demand. d. Takávi.	Paid. a. Revenue.	Paid. b. Local cesses.	Paid. c. Patwári rate.	Paid. d. Takávi.	Arrears. a. Revenue.	Arrears. b. Local cesses.	Arrears. c. Patwári rate	Arrears. d. Takávi.
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs.
MEERUT ...	Sambalhera ...	4	...	1,189 7 4	...	..	...	1,184 9 4	...	...	...	*a* 4 14 0	...	...
	Parshadi Lal ...	18	641 9 10	...	...	...	590 9 8	..	...	...	*b* 51 0 2	...	...	...
	Sujrai Karauli ...	25	13,676 0 8	...	...	...	13,343 0 8	...	...	...	*c* 333 0 0	...	...	...
	Chakkarnagar ...	27	17,796 1 8	...	...	...	17,646 1 8	...	...	...	*d* 150 0 0	...	...	...
AGRA ...	Roshangpur ...	29	5,632 2 6	...	...	...	5,212 2 6	...	...	...	*e* 420 0 0	...	...	...
	Nagla Kisi ...	30	...	...	17 7 10	...	...	...	17 4 0	...	...	...	0 3 10	...
	Mati ullah Khan ...	31	244 3 7	29 4 8	9 12 2	...	195 10 2	23 13 8	7 15 3	...	*e* 48 9 5	*e* 5 7 3	*e* 1 12 11	...
	Chet Singh ...	34	2,114 9 4	253 12 0	84 9 4	...	705 8 10	129 13 6	43 4 6	...	5 14 10	20 2 10	...	...
ROHILKHAND	Sahanpur ...	43	43,485 4 0	5,237 5 1	...	...	43,479 5 2	5,217 2 8	...	...	*e* 2,343 8 0	*e* 281 14 6	*e* 93 10 9	...
ALLAHABAD ...	Béra ...	88	71,469 8 2	8,559 10 2	2,853 4 6	...	69,126 0 2	8,277 11 8	2,759 9 8	...	...	...	...	*g* 500
BENARES ...	Ratnk Prasad ...	101	...	...	...	500	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Anapur ...	104	36,702 7 3	4,928 9 6	1,651 2 9	...	36,446 7 5	4,897 13 9	1,640 0 6	...	*h* 255 15 10	*h* 30 11 9	*h* 11 2 3	...
	Total ...		1,91,761 15 0	20,198 0 9	4,616 4 6	500	1,86,744 14 3	19,730 15 11	4,468 1 11	...	5,017 0 9	467 0 10	148 2 7	500

(*a*) Due to the lambardár who has not applied for payment.
(*b*) Paid since the close of the year.
(*c*) Held under suspension pending receipt of final sanction to remission.
(*d*) Suspended on account of agricultural calamities.
(*e*) Held under suspension.
(*f*) Rs. 1,032-9-1 are held under suspension and the balance could not be paid for want of assets.
(*g*) Did not fall due within the year.
(*h*) Recommended for remission in consequence of injury caused by hail.

STATEMENT No. XII.—*Showing the estates from which outstanding arrears of revenue were due at the close of the revenue year* 1890-91.

Division.	Name of estate.	Serial number of estate.	Government demand. a. Revenue.	Government demand. b. Local cesses.	Government demand. c. Patwári rate.	Government demand. d. Takávi.	Paid. a. Revenue.	Paid. b. Local cesses.	Paid. c. Patwári rate.	Paid. d. Takávi.	Arrears. a. Revenue.	Arrears. b. Local cesses.	Arrears. c. Patwári rate.	Arrears. d. Takávi.
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
MEERUT ...	Sambalhera ...	4	...	14 10 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	*a* 14 10 0	...	...
	Parshadi Lal ...	18	183 1 8	8 10 0	2 14 11	...	42 3 10	8 10 6	2 14 11	...	140 13 10	...	...	...
	Chakkarnagar ...	27	59 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	*b* 50 0 0	...	...	...
	Roshangpur ...	29	80 0 0	...	...	...	53 0 0	...	...	...	*b* 27 0 0	...	...	...
AGRA ...	Mati-ullah Khan ...	31	98 0 4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	*a* 98 0 4	...	...	...
	Chet Singh ...	34	3,578 11 10	252 14 5	...	...	...	...	...	...	*b* 3,578 11 10	*b* 252 14 5	...	...
	Fakr-ud-din Khan ...	56	3 11 0	0 7 1	0 2 4	...	3 11 0	0 7 1	0 2 4	...	...	...	...	...
ROHILKHAND ...	Dhukri ...	59	31 12 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	*b* 31 12 0	...	...	...
	Bhamba ...	76	*d* 205 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	205 0 0	...	...	...
ALLAHABAD ...	Total ...		4,230 4 10	276 10 0	3 1 3	...	98 14 10	9 1 7	3 1 3	...	4,131 6 0	267 8 5	...	...

(*a*) Due to the lambardár who has not applied for payment.
(*b*) Held under suspension.
(*c*) Held under suspension. Omitted to be shown in last year's report.
(*d*) The difference of Rs. 140 is due to the fact that the demand in one village has been reduced by this amount.

STATEMENT No. XIII.—*Receipts and Disbursements of Benevolent Trusts for the revenue year* 1890-91.

Division.	District.	Name of Trust.	Receipts.											Opening balance.	Grand Total.
			Cash rents.	Mill rents.	House rents.	Miscellaneous income.	Interest on Government securities.	Debts recovered.	Government contributions.	Other contributions.	Profits from inmates' labour.	Advances.	Total.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Meerut	Saháranpur	Roorkee College endowment.	...	...	...	0 0 3	430 14 0	...	...	...	...	...	430 14 3	548 11 5	979 9 8
	Meerut ...	Dispensary endowment of Har Sahai Mal and Ganga Ram.	...	...	...	...	162 12 5	...	...	...	...	...	162 12 5	...	162 12 5
Rohilkhand.	Bijnor ...	Sherkot Dispensary ...	...	...	...	13 10 6	324 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	337 10 6	350 5 9	688 0 3
	Moradabad.	Ráni Kishori Kuar ...	1,830 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	823 13 8	...	...	2,653 13 8	48 4 10	2,702 2 6
		General Strachey's endowment.	...	...	...	...	140 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	140 0 0	...	140 0 0
	Bareilly	Aonla Dispensary ...	2,229 15 11	...	...	13 7 0	320 0 0	...	...	4 0 0	...	...	2,567 6 11	298 7 0	2,865 13 11
		Ramna Gulzár Bágh ...	402 15 7	...	...	100 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	502 15 7	...	502 15 7
Allahabad.	Cawnpore	Pandit Amar Nath ...	4,483 15 10	...	...	625 6 9	...	...	...	...	...	20	5,129 6 7	3,738 4 5	8,867 11 0
	Allahabad	Gopálganj Bazár ...	...	...	64 0 0	1,267 14 4	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,331 14 4	74 7 3	1,406 5 7
Benares	Benares	Kála Singana Chetty's Chaultry.	...	...	...	9 12 9	1,087 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	1,096 12 9	135 4 10	1,232 1 7
		Venkat Acharya's Bequest.	...	...	...	57 0 0	518 11 2	...	...	...	...	...	575 11 2	*52 14 1½	628 9 3½
		Rája Kali Shankar Ghosal's Asylum.	...	...	...	241 3 5	3,224 0 0	...	2,328 9 0	1,250 0 0	150 1 9	...	7,193 14 2	181 1 11	7,375 0 1
		Munshi Sital Singh's Bequest.	...	...	...	5 6 9	1,188 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	1,193 6 9	170 15 2	1,364 5 11
		Rájghát Dharamsála ...	...	...	...	256 4 6	300 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	556 4 6	6,825 9 0	7,381 13 6
		Thomason Testimonial	...	...	...	...	51 14 0	...	...	...	...	...	51 14 0	3 14 5	55 12 5
		Mahárája of Vizianagram's endowment for maintenance of the Bhelupura Dispensary.	...	...	...	...	800 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	800 0 0	...	800 0 0
		Babu Guru Das Mittra's endowment for the Prince of Wales' Hospital.	...	...	...	...	200 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	200 0 0	...	200 0 0
Gorakhpur.	Gorakhpur.	Reade's Domangarh Sanitarium.	1 8 0	...	59 0 0	20 2 9	107 12 10	...	...	...	...	...	188 7 7	50 12 10	239 4 5
		Reade's Dharamsála ...	1 12 0	...	...	4 7 6	60 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	66 3 6	119 6 8	185 10 2
Kumaun	Garhwál ...	Sadabart ...	9,479 9 8	784 8 0	...	...	...	...	600 0 0	...	...	...	10,864 1 8	261 4 2	11,125 5 10
	Kumaun ...	Bhábar Cultivators' Trust.	...	...	...	...	800 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	800 0 0	9 1 7	809 1 7
		Total ...	18,429 13 0	784 8 0	123 0 0	2,614 12 6	9,715 0 5	...	2,928 9 0	2,077 13 8	150 1 9	20	36,843 10 4	12,868 13 4½	49,712 7 8½

* The sum of annas thirteen and pies six (0-13-6) has been lying in the Government Treasury, Benares, since 1880. This sum was withdrawn in 1890-91 and included in the opening balance.

STATEMENT No. XIII.—*Receipts and Disbursements of Benevolent Trusts for the revenue year* 1890-91—(continued).

Division.	District.	Name of Trust.	Disbursements.												Closing balance.
			(a)—For Trust purposes.								(b)—Other expenses.				
			Dispensary establishment.	Educational establishment.	Dharamsála establishment.	Allowances to relatives of donors and out-pensioners.	Dieting and clothing.	Scholarships, books and prizes.	Repairs of roads, bridges and buildings.	Medicines and contingencies.	Government revenue.	Cesses.	Miscellaneous charges.	Total.	
			17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
			Rs. a. p		Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p
MEERUT.	Saháranpur	Roorkee College endowment	...	...	...	...	...	354 13 0	...	...	...	...	...	354 13 0	624 12 8
	Meerut ...	Dispensary endowment of Har Sahai Mal and Ganga Ram.	162 12 5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	162 12 5	...
ROHILKHAND.	Bijnor ...	Sherkot Dispensary ...	272 2 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	272 2 0	415 14 3
	Moradabad.	Ráni Kishori Kuar ...	364 8 0	...	...	...	424 11 5	...	33 11 6	35 3 9	1,302 10 0	175 10 0	27 2 0	2,363 8 8	338 9 10
		General Strachey's endowment.	140 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	140 0 0	...
	Bareilly	Aonla Dispensary ...	779 3 7	...	...	...	...	...	...	216 1 7	969 1 6	155 0 8	15 9 0	2,135 0 4	730 13 7
		Ramna Gulzár Bágh ...	139 11 11	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	250 0 0	40 0 0	...	429 11 11	73 3 8
ALLAHABAD.	Cawnpore,	Pandit Amar Nath ...	...	...	...	405 0 0	...	...	200 0 0	...	2,267 11 8	355 9 1	2,726 5 3	5,954 10 0	2,913 1 0
	Allahabad	Gopálganj Bazár ...	300 0 0	...	60 0 0	...	...	...	277 0 0	...	17 8 0	2 1 6	428 8 8	1,085 2 2	321 3 5
BENARES.	Benares	Kála Singana Chetty's Chaultry	...	...	182 12 3	...	813 5 8	...	11 9 6	...	...	...	36 11 6	1,044 6 11	187 10 8
		Venkat Acharya's Bequest	...	...	...	...	...	505 4 10½	...	...	...	...	14 3 8	519 8 6½	109 0 9
		Rája Káli Shankar Ghosal's Asylum.	1,350 0 0	...	...	...	4,232 11 5	...	365 0 6	995 9 9	...	...	82 0 0	7,025 5 8	349 10 5
		Munshi Sital Singh's Bequest	...	...	60 0 0	400 0 0	527 0 0	200 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	1,187 0 0	177 5 11
		Rájghát Dharamsála ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7,381 13 6
		Thomason Testimonial ...	...	...	...	...	...	53 13 9	...	...	...	...	...	53 13 9	1 14 8
		Mahárája of Vizianagram's endowment for maintenance of the Bhelupura Dispensary.	800 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	800 0 0	...
		Babu Guru Das Mittra's endowment for the Prince of Wales' Hospital.	200 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	200 0 0	...
GORAKHPUR.	Gorakhpur.	Reade's Domangarh Sanitarium.	...	...	60 0 0	24 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	0 8 0	84 8 0	154 12 5
		Reade's Dharamsála ...	...	...	47 12 11	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	47 12 11	137 13 3
KUMAUN.	Garhwál ...	Sadabart ..	4,169 2 10	...	1,054 0 0	...	379 14 5	...	2,022 9 11	1,404 7 7	...	...	1,121 11 8	10,151 14 5	973 7 5
	Kumaun ...	Bhábar Cultivators' Trust...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	630 2 3	...	...	...	630 2 3	178 15 4
		Total ..	8,677 8 9	...	1,464 9 2	829 0 0	6,377 10 11	1,113 15 7½	2,909 15 5	3,281 8 11	4,806 15 2	728 5 3	4,452 11 9	34,642 4 11½	15,070 2 9

STATEMENT No. XIV.—*Recoveries of interest on Government securities belonging to Benevolent Trusts during the revenue year* 1890-91.

District.	Name of Trust.	Nature of securities.	Amount.	Rate of interest.	Demand.			Collection of interest.	Balance of interest.	Remarks.
					Arrears.	Current.	Total.			
			Rs.		Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p	
SAHÁRANPUR ...	Roorkee College endowment ...	Government Promissory Notes.	11,100	4 per cent.	...	430 14 0	430 14 0	430 14 0	...	
MEERUT ...	Dispensary endowment of Har Sahai Mal and Ganga Ram.	Ditto	*2,500	4½ per cent. up to 30th December 1890 and 4 per cent. for the rest of the year.	56 4 0	148 3 1	204 7 1	162 12 5	41 10 8	Interest from 1st of May 1891 has not yet been received from the Accountant-General's office.
BIJNOR ...	Sherkot Dispensary ...	Ditto	8,100	4 per cent.	...	324 0 0	324 0 0	324 0 0	...	
MORADABAD ...	Rání Kishori Kuar ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	General Strachey's endowment	Government Promissory Notes.	3,500	4 per cent.	...	140 0 0	140 0 0	140 0 0	...	
BAREILLY ...	Aonla Dispensary ...	Ditto	8,000	4 ,,	...	320 0 0	320 0 0	320 0 0	...	
	Ramna Gulzár Bágh ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
CAWNPORE ...	Pandit Amar Nath ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
ALLAHABAD ...	Gopálganj Bazár ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
BENARES ...	Kála Singara Chetty's Chaultry	Government Promissory Notes.	11,500	4 per cent.	...	1,087 0 0	1,087 0 0	1,087 0 0	...	
		Cash deposit, sicca rupees	10,000	6 ,,						
	Venkat Acharya's Bequest ...	Government Promissory Notes.	13,000	4 ,,	...	518 11 2	518 11 2	518 11 2	...	
	Rája Kali Shankar Ghosal's Asylum.	Ditto	15,600	4 ,,	200 0 0	3,024 0 0	3,224 0 0	3,224 0 0	...	
		Permanent payable order ...	48,000	5 ,,						
	Munshi Sital Singh's Bequest ...	Government Promissory Notes.	29,700	4 ,,	...	1,188 0 0	1,188 0 0	1,188 0 0	...	
	Rájghát Dharamsála ...	Ditto	7,500	4 ,,	...	300 0 0	300 0 0	300 0 0	...	
	Thomason Testimonial ...	Ditto	1,300	4 ,,	...	51 14 0	51 14 0	51 14 0	...	
	Mahárája of Vizianagram's endowment for maintenance of the Bhelupura Dispensary.	Ditto	20,000	4 ,,	...	800 0 0	800 0 0	800 0 0	...	
	Bábu Guru Das Mittra's endowment for the Prince of Wales' Hospital.	Ditto	5,000	4 ,,	...	200 0 0	200 0 0	200 0 0	...	
GORAKHPUR ...	Reade's Domangarh Sanitarium	Ditto	2,700	4 ,,	...	107 12 10	107 12 10	107 12 10	...	
	Reade's Dharamsála ...	Ditto	1,500	4 ,,	...	60 0 0	60 0 0	60 0 0	...	
GARHWÁL ...	Sadabart	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
KUMAUN ...	Buábar Cultivators' Trust ...	Government Promissory Notes.	20,000	4 per cent.	...	800 0 0	800 0 0	800 0 0	...	
		Total ...	2,19,000	...	256 4 0	9,500 7 1	9,756 11 1	9,715 0 5	41 10 8	

* The Government securities which carried interest at 4½ per cent. have been converted into the 4 per cent.

STATEMENT No. XV.—*Statement of assets and liabilities of Benevolent Trusts with their capitalized value of real property at the close of the revenue year* 1890-91.

Division.	District.	Name of Trust.	Assets.						Liabilities.			Surplus.	Deficit.	Remarks.
			Capitalised value of real property.	Government securities.	Cash in hand.	Recoverable arrears of rent.	Recoverable arrears of interest.	Total.	Surplus collections.	Advances.	Total.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.	Rs a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs.a.p.	
MEERUT ...	Saháranpur...	Roorkee College endowment.	...	11,100 0 0	624 12 8	...	...	11,724 12 8	...	...	...	11,724 12 8	...	
	Meerut ...	Dispensary endowment of Har Sahai Mal and Ganga Ram.	...	2,500 0 0	...	...	41 10 8	2,541 10 8	...	...	...	2,541 10 8	...	
ROHILKHAND ...	Bijnor ...	Sherkot Dispensary ...	...	8,100 0 0	415 14 3	...	...	8,515 14 3	...	...	...	8,515 14 3	...	
	Moradabad ...	Ráni Kishori Kuar ...	18,083 0 0	...	338 9 10	...	...	18,421 9 10	...	...	...	18,421 9 10	...	
		General Strachey's endowment.	...	3,500 0 0	...	...	...	3,500 0 0	...	...	...	3,500 0 0	...	
	Bareilly ...	Aonla Dispensary ...	19,083 5 4	8,000 0 0	730 13 7	0 0 1	...	27,814 3 0	...	...	...	27,814 3 0	...	
		Rámna Gulzár Bágh...	300 0 0	...	73 3 8	22 13 11	...	396 1 7	...	...	...	396 1 7	...	
ALLAHABAD ...	Cawnpore	Pandit Amar Nath ...	47,085 0 0	...	2,913 1 0	...	...	49,998 1 0	...	20 0 0	20 0 0	49,978 1 0	...	
	Allahabad ...	Gopálganj Bazár ...	35,802 0 0	...	321 3 5	35 0 0	...	36,158 3 5	...	...	...	36,158 3 5	...	
		Kala Singana Chetty's Chaultry.	...	21,500 0 0	187 10 8	...	...	21,687 10 8	...	...	...	21,687 10 8	...	
		Venkat Acharya's Bequest.	700 0 0	13,000 0 0	109 0 9	...	...	13,809 0 9	...	...	...	13,809 0 9	...	
		Rája Kali Shankar Ghosal's Asylum.	...	63,600 0 0	349 10 5	...	...	63,949 10 5	...	...	...	63,949 10 5	...	
		Munshi Sital Singh's Bequest.	...	29,700 0 0	177 5 11	...	...	29,877 5 11	...	...	...	29,877 5 11	...	
BENARES ...	Benares ...	Rájghát Dharamsála ...	4,000 0 0	7,500 0 0	7,381 13 6	...	...	18,881 13 6	...	...	...	18,881 13 6	...	
		Thomason Testimonial	...	1,300 0 0	1 14 8	...	...	1,301 14 8	...	...	...	1,301 14 8	...	
		Mahárája of Vizianagram's endowment for maintenance of the Bhelupura Dispensary.	...	20,000 0 0	...	...	...	20,000 0 0	...	...	...	20,000 0 0	...	
		Babu Guru Das Mittra's endowment for the Prince of Wales' Hospital.	...	5,000 0 0	...	...	...	5,000 0 0	...	...	...	5,000 0 0	...	
GORAKHPUR ...	Gorakhpur ...	Reade's Domangarh Sanitarium.	8,665 9 7	2,700 0 0	154 12 5	...	...	11,520 6 0	...	...	...	11,520 6 0	...	
		Reade's Dharamsála ...	4,032 3 2	1,500 0 0	137 13 3	...	...	5,670 0 5	...	...	...	5,670 0 5	...	
KUMAUN ...	Garhwál ...	Sadabart	*...	...	973 7 5	305 4 2	...	1,278 11 7	...	...	...	1,278 11 7	...	
	Kumaun ...	Bhábar Cultivators' Trust.	...	20,000 0 0	178 15 4	...	...	20,178 15 4	...	...	...	20,178 15 4	...	
		Total ...	1,37,751 2 1	2,19,000 0 0	15,070 2 9	363 2 2	41 10 8	3,72,226 1 8	...	20 0 0	20 0 0	3,72,206 1 8	...	

* The capitalized value of this trust cannot be ascertained with any approach to accuracy.

STATEMENT No. XVI.—*List of Educational Endowments for*

District.	Name of Trust or Endowment.	Name of donor and date of endowment.	Donor's wishes, if any.	Detail of property comprised in the endowment.	In whose name securities are invested.	Under whose authority receipts are expended.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
MEERUT.	Turnbull Memorial Fund.	Turnbull, Esq., 22nd September 1870.	Scholarships to be held by Ját scholars.	Government promissory notes for Rs. 5,800; Rs. 1,800 invested in 4 per cent. loan of 1865, and Rs. 4,000 in 4½ per cent. loan of 1879.	Secretary to Government and Accountant-General, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.	Director of Public Instruction, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.
ALIGARH.	Aligarh Zila School Boarding house.	Mr. G. H. Lawrence, 5th August 1870.	To be spent in the Aligarh Zila school boarding house.	Money invested in Government security, Rs. 7,500.	Collector of Aligarh	Collector ...
AGRA.	Agra College Endowment.	Mr. Robertson and Mr. Mansell, the teachers of the Agra College.	For scholarships ...	Government promissory notes for Rs. 15,000.	Accountant-General, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.	Principal, Agra College, under Committee's sanction.
	Ditto ...	Friends of Mr. Colvin...	For scholarships to boarders.	Government promissory notes for Rs. 5,000.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Ditto ...	Surplus money invested.	For scholarships ...	Government promissory notes for Rs 2,500.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Ditto ...	Rája Jai Kishen Das, Bahádur, C.S.I.	Ditto ...	Government promissory notes for Rs. 3,000.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Ditto ...	Mr. Hammond, 1855 ...	For a scholarship in Indian Revenue Law.	Government promissory notes for Rs. 2,000.	Secretary to Government and Accountant-General North-Western Provinces and Oudh.	Director of Public Instruction, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.
	Ditto ...	Late Pandit Ganga Dhar Shastri.	For the support of the College.	Company's paper amounting to sicca Rs. 1,78,400.	Accountant-General, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.	Interest allowed in shape of additional grant-in-aid by the Government.
	Ditto ...	Ditto ...	Ditto ...	Villages Chaumohan and Jhandaveri in the Muttra district and Iglas in the Aligarh district.	Collectors of Muttra and Aligarh.	Receipts realised and transmitted to the Agra College Committee by the Collectors.
	Ditto ...	Ráni Kishori Kunwar	For scholarships ...	Government promissory notes for Rs. 1,100.	Accountant-General, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.	Principal, Agra College, under Committee's sanction.
	Ditto ...	General subscribers to the Agra College.	For scholarships and for support of the College.	Government promissory notes and railway debentures aggregating Rs. 92,900.	President and Vice-President of the Board of Trustees, Agra College.	Ditto ...
	Thomason Testimonial Fund.	Hon'ble J. Thomason, formerly Lieutenant-Governor, North-Western Provinces, 15th April 1857.	To be spent in scholarships "for the three students who passed the best examination in subjects read in the village circuit schools" in addition to their stipends.	Government promissory notes, 4 per cent., for Rs. 3,000.	Secretary to Government, North-Western Provinces.	Inspector of Schools and Collector of Agra.

the revenue year 1890-91, *ending* 30*th September* 1891.

BENGAL SECRETARIAT LIBRARY.

Mode in which donor's wishes have been carried out.	Assets of the year under report.			Expenditure of the year under report.	Surplus, if any.	Remarks.
	Surplus of former years.	Income of the year.	Total.			
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Given to Ját boys in the Meerut Division.	33 15 8	331 10 5	365 10 1	239 2 0	126 8 1	
Establishment entertained for the Aligarh Zila school boarding house.	55 3 10	299 4 0	354 7 10	300 0 0	54 7 10	
Allowed as scholarships ...						
Ditto ...	924 11 9	1,031 0 6	1,955 12 3	999 0 0	956 12 3	
Ditto ...						
Ditto ...	...	119 11 2	119 11 2	119 11 2	...	
Scholarship of Rs. 10 per mensem awarded to the 1st student in the law class.	...	120 0 0	120 0 0	120 0 0	...	
Allowed as establishment's pay	...	7,611 11 0	7,611 11 0	7,611 11 0	...	
Ditto ...	...	15,294 0 3	15,294 0 3	15,294 0 3	...	
Allowed as scholarships ...	...	44 0 0	44 0 0	44 0 0	...	
Allowed as establishment's pay	...	3,680 14 9	3,680 14 9	3,680 14 9	...	
By giving scholarships at Re. 1 each to students who passed the 1st year examination.	306 13 6	119 11 2	426 8 8	276 11 11	149 12 9	

STATEMENT No. XVI.—*List of Educational Endowments for the*

District.	Name of Trust or Endowment.	Name of donor and date of endowment.	Donor's wishes, if any.	Detail of property comprised in the endowment.	In whose name securities are invested.	Under whose authority receipts are expended.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
ETÁWAH.	Hume's scholarship ...	A. O. Hume, Esq., 15th March 1868.	For scholarships ...	Government promissory notes for Rs. 7,200 @ 4 per cent.	Collector ...	Collector ...
	Tonk scholarship ...	Nawáb of Tonk, 5th February 1867.	Ditto ...	Government promissory notes for Rs. 1,000 @ 4 per cent.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Committee's scholarship.	Local Educational Committee, 21st March 1872.	Ditto ...	Government promissory notes for Rs. 2,500 @ 4 per cent.	Collector or Head Master. ...	Collector or Head Master.
	DeMello's scholarship	A. O. Hume, Esq., 1st February 1885.	Ditto ...	Government promissory notes for Rs. 1,200 @ 4 per cent. and Rs. 50 in Savings Bank.	Collector ...	Collector ...
BIJNOR.	Benevolent Trust, Sherkot.	Chaudhri Basant Singh and Chaudhri Umrao Singh of Sherkot, 20th February 1865.	For maintenance of a school.	In 4 per cent. Government promissory notes for Rs. 9,000.	Collector and Magistrate, Bijnor.	Under authority of Collector (*vide* G. O. No. 1008A., dated 15th April 1873).
MORADABAD.	High School Endowment.	I.—Widow of Mufti Muhammad Uman, 1854 or 1855. II.—Private subscription, 1861.	I.—Establishment of a school, one of the wishes of the donor. II.—The private subscriptions were given on the understanding that English should be taught and the school managed upon the system in force in Government schools.	Rs. 78,700 worth of Government promissory notes and 11 shops in the town of Moradabad.	Comptroller-General, Calcutta.	Trustees—Collector of Moradabad and Inspector of Schools.
BAREILLY.	Ex-student's scholarship.	Ex-students, Bareilly College, January 1879.	For scholarships to College students.	Government paper No. A000911 of 4 per cent. (reduced) of 1879 for Rs. 3,000.	Collector of the district for the time being.	Inspector of Schools, Rohilkhand Division.
	Templeton Exhibition	Mr. Templeton's friends and pupils, February 1875.	A gold medal for the best student in the B. A. examination.	Government paper No. 083782 of 4 per cent. loan of February 1842-43 for Rs. 1,000.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
CAWNPORE.	Duke of Edinburgh's scholarship.	Saiyid Imdad Ali, President of the Local Improvement Society, 2nd April 1870.	Rs. The distribute a scholarship @ Rs. 4 per mensem ... 48 Two medals to the Arabic and Sanskrit students @ Rs. 26 each ... 52 Scholarship or ornament to the girls in the female school ... 20 Total ... 120	Government promissory notes for Rs. 3,000.	Collector of Cawnpore.	Collector of Cawnpore.

revenue year 1890-91, *ending 30th September* 1891—(continued).

Mode in which donor's wishes have been carried out.	Assets of the year under report.			Expenditure of the year under report.	Surplus, if any.	Remarks.
	Surplus of former years.	Income of the year.	Total.			
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Scholarships	415 3 6	287 3 4	702 6 10	278 1 4	424 5 6	
Ditto ...	98 14 2	39 12 0	138 10 2	40 0 0	98 10 2	
Ditto ...	112 3 10	99 14 6	212 2 4	88 2 1	124 0 3	
Ditto ...	146 0 3	48 0 0	194 0 3	40 0 0	154 0 3	
A school has been started as desired.	517 10 8	*574 10 6	1,092 5 2	419 11 0	672 10 2	*Inclusive of tuition fees and interest on deposit in Savings Bank.
By aiding the Moradabad High School establishment.	321 8 4	3,623 12 0	3,945 4 4	3,382 9 11	562 10 5	
Assigned to a College student under sanction of Inspector of Schools, Rohilkhand Division.	160 5 7	84 12 10	245 2 5	195 0 0	50 2 5	
The amount of interest, viz., Rs. 120 per annum, is drawn by the Head Master of the Zila school to award scholarships and medals.	†...	119 11 2	119 11 2	111 13 7	7 13 7	†No balance is brought forward as it lapsed to Government under the orders of the Accountant-General.

STATEMENT No. XVI.—*List of Educational Endowments for the*

District.	Name of Trust or Endowment.	Name of donor and date of endowment.	Donor's wishes, if any.	Detail of property comprised in the endowment.	In whose name securities are invested.	Under whose authority receipts are expended.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
BÁNDA.	McConaghey Memorial Fund.	Native gentlemen of the Bánda district: date of purchase of promissory notes, 1st August 1881.	The interest to be made over to the Head Master, Zila school, to be spent in scholarships.	One 4 per cent. Government promissory note No. 101882 of 1842-43, dated 1st February 1842, for Rs. 1,000.	President, Local Educational Committee, Bánda, who made over the note to the Comptroller-General for safe custody.	Head Master, Zila school, Bánda (*vide* McConaghey Memorial Fund Committee Resolution Nos. I and II).
ALLAHABAD.	Muir Central College scholarships and prizes	Mahárája Vizianagram, 16th June 1870 and 19th March 1871.	Scholarships and prizes	These endowments were invested in one promissory note of Rs. 63,000	Secretary to Government, North-Western Provinces and Oudh, and Accountant-General, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.	Director of Public Instruction, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.
	Ditto ...	Nawáb of Rámpur, 18th November 1869	Ditto ...			
	Ditto ...	Rája of Punnah, 17th December 1870.	Ditto ...			
	Ditto ...	Rája of Rewah, 17th March 1873.	Ditto ...			
	Ditto ...	Mahárája Proshottamji, 12th November 1873.	Ditto ...			
	Ditto ...	Rája of Chirkhari, 13th April 1874.	Ditto ...			
	Ditto ...	Maulvi Haidar Husain and Chaudhri Dhian Singh, 16th June 1870.	Ditto ...			
	Ditto ...	Nawáb Ali Asghar Khan, C.S.I., 13th November 1872.	Arabic endowment by waqfnáma of the Nawáb, to be a charge on his landed property.	...	...	Ditto ...
	Ditto ...	Babu Piary Mohan, Memorial, 17th February 1885.	For Science ...	Government paper of 4 and 4½ per cent. loan for Rs. 1,000.	Secretary to Government, North-Western Provinces and Oudh, and Accountant-General, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.	Ditto ...
	Ditto ...	Babu Nilkamal Mittra, 1st April 1886.	For Sanskrit ...	Government promissory notes, 4 per cent. for Rs. 1,000.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Ditto ...	College surplus, 18th September 1886.	First year prize ...	Ditto ...	Principal and Director of Public Instruction, North-Western Provinces and Oudh.	Ditto ...
	Turner Memorial Fund	Amount raised by subscription and deposited in the Uncovenanted Service Bank, Allahabad, May 1881.	That the interest be spent for the benefit of the Protestant, Roman Catholic, and Zila schools.	Rs. 4,236-14-3 ...	Civil Chaplain, Roman Catholic Chaplain, and Head Master, Zila school.	Civil Chaplain, Roman Catholic Chaplain, and Head Master, Zila school.
JAUNPUR.	Zila School Endowment.	Purchased out of the old school fund balance on the 30th July 1875, under sanction of G. O. No. 608A., dated 4th November 1875.	For payment of rent of Zila school site and for scholarships.	A 4 per cent. Government promissory note of Rs. 1,000.	Collector of Jaunpur.	Collector and Chairman, District Board.

revenue year 1890-91, *ending 30th September* 1891—(continued).

Mode in which donor's wishes have been carried out.	Assets of the year under report.			Expenditure of the year under report.	Surplus, if any.	Remarks.
	Surplus of former years.	Income of the year.	Total.			
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
In awarding scholarships ...	*16 7 8	40 0 0	56 7 8	36 0 0	20 7 8	* This is reported to be the correct balance.
Awarded for general proficiency.	†302 13 8	1,617 8 9	1,920 6 5	1,531 11 0	388 11 5	† This is the correct balance. A sum of Rs. 6-9-10 on account of commission was, by an oversight, not deducted last year.
Awarded for Persian and Arabic.	170 13 10	216 14 4	387 12 2	208 0 0	179 12 2	
Awarded for proficiency in Sanskrit.	93 8 9	125 11 3	219 4 0	99 11 0	119 9 0	
Ditto ...	35 9 10	147 4 3	182 14 1	130 12 0	52 2 1	
Ditto ...	68 8 9	143 12 3	212 5 0	119 13 0	92 8 0	
Awarded for proficiency in Sanskrit and English.	174 8 0	250 8 3	425 0 3	224 11 3	200 5 0	
Awarded for Persian and Sanskrit alternately.	24 4 4	26 8 8	50 13 0	25 0 0	25 13 0	
For proficiency in Arabic ...	1,398 5 3	600 0 0	1,998 5 3	496 15 3	1,501 6 0	
A gold medal for Physical Science.	134 10 1	44 13 0	179 7 1	...	179 7 1	
A gold medal for Sanskrit ...	60 0 0	40 0 0	100 0 0	...	100 0 0	
Yearly prize	3 7 1	40 0 0	43 7 1	40 0 0	3 7 1	
In the Zila school by payment of fees to some poor, but deserving, boys.	...	...	...	...	...	There were no receipts during the year owing to the failure of the Uncovenanted Service Bank.
Rent of the site and scholarships are paid out of budget grant and receipts credited to the District Board.	342 3 0	40 0 0	382 3 0	...	382 3 0	

STATEMENT No. XVI.—*List of Educational Endowments for the*

District.	Name of Trust or Endowment.	Name of donor and date of endowment.	Donor's wishes, if any.	Detail of property comprised in the endowment.	In whose name securities are invested.	Under whose authority receipts are expended.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
MIRZAPUR.	Zila School Endowment.	Subscriptions from the merchants, &c., in 1863 and 1864 and saving from old school fund in 1870-71.	To maintain the teachers of the Oriental Department and to construct a boarding house.	Rs. Government securities of 1842-43 for 25,000 Government securities of 1865 for 12,000 Government securities of 1878 for 3,000 Total ...40,000	Collector and Magistrate for the time being.	Magistrate and President, District Board.
	Kourh Educational Committee Trust.	Government promissory notes purchased for the Deputy Superintendent, Family Domains, out of accumulated savings of the Halkabandi Funds.	To maintain the Halkabandi school of Bhadohi.	Rs. Government promissory note No. 162462 4 per cent. loan of 1865 for ... 1,000 Government promissory note No. 122168 of 1865 for 1,000 Government promissory note No. 12642 of 1879 for 1,000 Government promissory note No. 171892 of 1865 for 500 Total ... 3,500	Deputy Superintendent, Family Domains, Mahárája of Benares.	Deputy Superintendent, Family Domains, Mahárája of Benares.
	Anglo-Sanskrit Jubilee school Endowment.	Babu Beni Madho Das, 1st May 1887.	The establishment of an Anglo-Sanskrit school at Mirzapur, in which the study of Sanskrit shall be compulsory to all the students. In addition to it every student shall be at liberty to read English, Urdu or Deva Nagri as he likes.	A sum of Rs. 30,000 invested in Government promissory Notes at 4 per cent.	In the name of the Collector of the Mirzapur district for the time being.	Collector of Mirzapur.
BENARES.	Gházipur scholarship...	Gházipur scholarship, 1845.	Transferred from Gházipur for the support of students from Gházipur.	Government promissory notes, 4 per cent., for Rs. 1,800.	Comptroller-General, Calcutta.	Principal, Queen's College, Benares.
	Ghosal scholarship ...	Rája Satya Charan Ghosal, 27th March 1845.	For foundation of scholarships in the Benares College.	Government promissory notes, 4 per cent., for Rs 5,000.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Tucker scholarship ...	Mr. Tucker, 24th December 1858.	Scholarship for general proficiency and good conduct.	Government promissory notes, 4 per cent., for Rs. 5,100.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Rewah scholarship ...	Mahárája, Rewah, 18th October 1865 and 1878.	Scholarship to the student who passes best the Entrance Examination from Benares College.	Government promissory notes, 4 per cent., for Rs. 5,400.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Vizianagram scholarship.	Mahárája, Vizianagram, 29th May 1865.	Scholarship for proficiency in English.	Government promissory notes, 4 per cent. for Rs. 7,000.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Radha Bibi scholarship.	Radha Bibi, 6th August 1860.	Scholarship for proficiency in Vedanta in Sanskrit College.	Government promissory notes, 4 per cent., for Rs. 500.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...

revenue year 1890-91, *ending* 30*th September* 1891—(continued).

Mode in which donor's wishes have been carried out.	Assets of the year under report.			Expenditure of the year under report.	Surplus, if any.	Remarks.
	Surplus of former years.	Income of the year.	Total.			
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
For the maintenance of the teachers of the Oriental Department and boarding house.	371 10 11	1,671 2 9	2,042 13 8	1,666 12 0	376 1 8	
Halkabandi Schools are maintained.	...	139 10 6	139 10 6	139 10 6	...	
An Anglo-Sanskrit school has been established in which all students are required to read a little Sanskrit in addition to their reading English, Urdu or Deva Nagri as they like.	611 9 8	1,696 11 6	2,308 5 2	2,006 9 3	301 11 11	
Has been given to a student of Gházipur reading in the Benares College.	13 12 7	72 0 0	85 12 7	77 7 5	8 5 2	
Has been given to two students of the 1st and 2nd year classes, Benares College.	46 9 8	200 0 0	246 9 8	196 9 8	50 0 0	
Has been given to the students for general merit and good conduct.	194 15 8	200 0 0	394 15 8	349 5 3	45 10 5	A Government promissory note for Rs. 100 was purchased during the year.
Has been given to the student who passed best the Entrance Examination from the Benares College, and from the Sutna school in Rewah.	100 0 2	210 0 6	310 0 8	304 0 2	6 0 6	Ditto ditto
Has been given to the students for excellence in English.	288 4 3	419 4 10	707 9 1	407 8 0	300 1 1	
Has been given for proficiency in Vedanta in Sanskrit College, Benares.	5 4 2	20 0 0	25 4 2	25 4 2	...	

STATEMENT No. XVI.—*List of Educational Endowments for the*

District.	Name of Trust or Endowment.	Name of donor and date of endowment.	Donor's wishes, if any.	Detail of property comprised in the endowment.	In whose name securities are invested.	Under whose authority receipts are expended.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
BENARES—(*continued*).	Venkat Acharya Legacy.	Venkat Acharya, 1849	For purchase of books for the Benares Queen's College Library.	Government promissory notes, 4 per cent., for Rs. 13,000.	Comptroller General, Calcutta.	Principal, Queen's College, Benares.
	Saiyid Ahmad scholarship.	Saiyid Ahmad Khan, 1877.	Scholarship for excellence in Physical Science.	Government promissory notes, 4 per cent., for Rs. 2,200.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Ripon Gold Bracelet Prize.	Bengali residents of Benares, April 1882.	To be given in gold bracelet or its value in cash to the student who passes highest the Title Examination in Sanskrit College.	Government promissory notes, 4 per cent., for Rs. 1,300.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Sawai Madho Singh, Jeypur, Silver Medal.	Mahárája Sawai Madho Singh of Jeypur, July 1883.	To be awarded to the best Sanskrit scholar, Sanskrit College, partly in silver medal and partly in cash.	Government promissory notes, 4 per cent., for Rs. 1,000.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	The Mittra Medal ...	Bábu Mokshada Das Mittra, Benares, 1885.	To be awarded to the student who obtains the highest number of marks in Sanskrit among the successful students in the Intermediate Examination from Queen's College, Benares.	Government promissory notes, 4 per cent., for Rs. 1,200, and Rs. 50 in cash.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Pramoda Das Mittra scholarship.	Bábu Pramoda Das Mittra, Benares, 1885.	To be given to that Acharya passed scholar who stands highest in the annual examination of the Anglo-Sanskrit Department.	Government promissory notes, 4 per cent., for Rs. 1,500.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Shakespear scholarship	Members of the Shakespear Fund Committee, Benares, September 1885.	For foundation of scholarships in the Queen's College, Benares.	Government promissory notes, 4 per cent., for Rs. 10,500.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
	Shivala School Fund Prize.	Members of the Shivala school, 1886.	To be given in prizes to the most deserving students of the Delhi family reading in the Benares College at the annual examination.	Government promissory notes, 4 per cent., for Rs. 900.	Ditto ...	Ditto ...
GORAKHPUR.	Ali Bakhsh Khan's Endowment.	Ali Bakhsh Khan, late Subordinate Judge of Gorakhpur, 1873.	To encourage female education.	Rs. 1,000 invested in 4 per cent. Government promissory notes.	President, Local Educational Committee.	President, District Board.
BALLIA.	Sanskrit Endowment Fund.	Bábu Raghunandan Prasad Pande, 17th February 1887.	For imparting Sanskrit education.	Five annas share in mauza Agrauli in Ballia district.	Invested in landed property in the name of the Collector, Ballia.	Collector of Ballia

revenue year 1890-91, *ending* 30*th September* 1891—(concluded).

Mode in which donor's wishes have been carried out.	Assets of the year under report.			Expenditure of the year under report.	Surplus, if any.	Remarks.
	Surplus of former years	Income of the year.	Total.			
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Has been spent on the purchase of books for Queen's College Library.	0 13 8	259 5 6	260 3 2	260 3 2	...	Half the interest only is payable to the Queen's College, Benares.
Has been given to a student of the 3rd year class for excellence in Science.	108 12 7	84 0 0	192 12 7	192 9 0	0 3 7	A Government promissory note for Rs. 100 was purchased during the year.
Has been given to students who passed highest the Acharya Examination.	70 7 8	51 14 0	122 5 8	122 5 8	...	
Has been awarded to the best student in the Sanskrit College, Benares.	40 8 4	40 0 0	80 8 4	80 8 4	...	
The medal (now in course of preparation) to be given to the student of the 2nd year class who obtained the highest number of marks in Sanskrit among the successful students in the Intermediate Examination from Queen's College, Benares.	7 13 1	50 1 0	57 14 1	50 0 0	7 14 1	
Has not been given this year as in the Anglo-Sanskrit Department there was no student to whom the scholarship could be given according to the wishes of the donor.	...	59 13 8	59 13 8	...	59 13 8	
Has been given as scholarships in the Queen's College, Benares.	28 7 4	418 15 4	447 6 8	390 0 0	57 6 8	
A favourable report having been made by the Head Master on the progress of two boys in their studies, two prizes aggregating Rs. 18 have been awarded.	165 7 11	36 0 0	201 7 11	18 0 0	183 7 11	
Contingencies to female school...	170 9 10	40 0 0	210 9 10	40 0 0	170 9 10	
A Sanskrit class has been started and a Pandit has been entertained to teach the class.	70 1 5	947 1 3	1,017 2 8	944 2 9	72 15 11	
Total ...	8,213 4 3	43,478 13 2	51,692 1 5	43,424 1 10	8,267 15 7	

E. WHITE,

Director of Public Instruction, N.-W. Provinces and Oudh.

STATEMENT No. XVII.—*Return showing what portion of the amounts decreed in favor of the Court of Wards was realized or remitted during the revenue year* 1890-91, *and also the sums outstanding on the* 1st *October* 1891.

District.	Estate.	Sums outstanding on the 1st October 1891.			Awarded to estates between 1st October 1890 and 30th September 1891.			Total.			Realized during 1890-91.		
		Principal.	Interest.	Costs.	Principal.	Interest.	Costs.	Principal.	Interest.	Costs.	Principal.	Interest.	Costs.
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Muzaffarnagar	Bidauli ...	...	...	202 10 0	...	...	4 0 0	...	...	206 10 0	...	...	206 10 0
	Bhandura ...	350 0 0	*a* 117 5 0	676 15 0	...	...	157 9 0	350 0 0	117 5 0	834 8 0	...	...	...
Meerut ...	Ram Saran Das ...	104 12 0	40 11 0	14 6 0	...	8 9 0	11 8 0	104 12 0	49 4 0	25 14 0	...	...	...
Muttra ...	Murari Lal ...	6,076 12 11	346 6 9	457 15 11	...	286 1 2	310 14 4	6,076 12 11	632 7 11	768 14 3	1,504 15 0	488 0 8	687 9 0
	Parshadi Lal ...	82 0 0	...	15 15 10	...	3 10 6	10 5 0	82 0 0	3 10 6	26 4 10	36 0 0	0 15 6	7 7 10
Mainpuri ...	Arjunpur ...	688 0 9	198 6 0	149 11 0	...	...	...	688 0 9	198 6 0	149 11 0	65 0 0	5 0 0	5 0 0
	Sujrai Karauli ...	...	...	745 9 3	...	...	...	...	...	745 9 3	...	...	...
Etáwah ...	Harchandpur ...	...	...	...	124 0 3	0 15 0	25 7 8	124 0 3	0 15 0	25 7 8	124 0 3	0 15 0	25 7 8
	Roshangpur ...	...	...	...	736 10 11	103 15 0	709 12 0	736 10 11	103 15 0	709 12 0	...	...	...
Etah ...	Nagla Kisi ...	231 8 9	20 14 2	27 1 0	16 7 3	10 1 2	12 0 0	248 0 0	30 15 4	39 1 0	...	...	4 3 6
	Nagla Amín ...	9 0 0	*b* 0 6 0	*b* 7 2 0	...	1 10 3	2 4 0	9 0 0	2 0 3	9 6 0	...	...	...
Bareilly ...	Begam Mashúk Mahal	2,017 6 0	89 9 0	*c* 308 15 0	...	30 15 6	9 2 0	2,017 6 0	120 8 6	318 1 0	200 0 0	129 8 6	283 15 6
Bijnor ...	Sahanpur ...	1,082 7 11	93 11 8	154 9 3	...	59 13 6	51 15 2	1,082 7 11	153 9 2	206 8 5	932 5 8	145 7 10	146 2 6
	Musammat Anandi ...	...	...	...	...	...	229 2 4	...	...	229 2 4	...	...	...
Moradabad *d* ...	Anand Sarup and Jagdish Sarup.	...	...	...	367 11 4	37 0 9	80 3 1	367 11 4	37 0 9	80 3 1	...	...	21 9 0
	Rámpur ...	...	...	272 2 0	...	...	...	...	...	272 2 0	...	...	272 2 0
Sháhjahánpur	Azizganj ...	827 6 0	29 11 0	*e* 87 6 8	204 10 0	20 6 9	36 14 3	1,032 0 0	50 1 9	124 4 11	100 0 6	11 2 3	36 14 3
	Baurauli ...	14 0 0	...	28 9 6	...	...	...	14 0 0	...	28 9 6	14 0 0	...	25 14 6
	Khameria ...	...	...	...	62 11 0	22 5 0	15 5 0	62 11 0	22 5 0	15 5 0	...	...	...
	Bhatpura Rasúlpura,	*f* 354 11 5	*f* 48 0 0	*f* 118 12 0	...	2 8 0	60 11 9	354 11 5	50 8 0	179 7 9	152 9 6	9 8 0	147 5 9
	Khiriya Rasúlpur ...	...	...	...	...	...	90 1 4	...	...	90 1 4	...	...	...
Fatehpur ...	Arámpur Bushi ...	...	...	1,133 0 6	...	17 3 0	5 2 0	...	17 3 0	1,138 2 6	...	17 3 0	1,138 2 6
Allahabad ...	Partab Chand ...	*g* 15,964 11 4	1,559 10 8	2,489 14 6	468 15 11½	564 3 8	248 3 4	16,433 11 3½	2,123 14 4	2,738 1 10	5,807 10 0	979 8 8	936 10 10
Jaunpur ...	Batuk Prasad ...	750 0 0	*h* 642 12 1	202 8 0	...	68 14 4	169 12 0	750 0 0	711 10 5	372 4 0	...	...	72 12 6
Gházipur ...	Anapur ...	15,175 9 11	5,362 14 3	2,714 14 8	...	1,673 0 6	314 3 6	15,175 9 11	7,035 14 9	3,029 2 2	...	2,255 0 0	...
	Lakshmi Narain ...	1,104 7 0	798 4 11	56 3 0	...	120 7 4	102 1 6	1,104 7 0	918 12 3	158 4 6	...	400 0 0	...
Gorakhpur ...	Majhauli ...	2,287 5 4	395 2 1	141 1 8½	...	137 12 10	142 10 6½	2,287 5 4	532 14 11	283 12 3	...	...	191 11 10
	Dumri ...	*i* ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Narharpur ...	...	...	20 1 0	...	...	...	...	...	20 1 0	...	...	20 1 0
Azamgarh ...	Brijnandan Das ...	...	17 10 9	393 6 0	1,193 9 0	47 7 8	642 0 0	1,193 9 0	65 2 5	1,035 6 0	...	32 11 6	393 6 0
	Sarái Mír ...	...	...	*f* 21 3 0	...	...	...	...	...	21 3 0	...	...	...
	Total ..	47,120 3 4	9,761 7 4	10,440 0 9½	3,174 11 8½	3,217 0 11	3,441 3 9½	50,294 15 0;	12,978 8 3	13,881 4 7	8,936 8 11	4,466 0 11	4,623 2 2

(*a*) Incorrectly shown as Rs. 116-6-10 in the previous year.
(*b*) These balances were not shown in the previous year.
(*c*) This balance was wrongly shown last year.
(*d*) Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal's estate is no longer under Court of Wards' management.
(*e*) Collector says Rs. 18-0-6 having been realized are not shown.
(*f*) Omitted from last year's report.
(*g*) Rs. 333-14-6 were erroneously included last year.
(*h*) Incorrectly shown last year.
(*i*) No outstanding balance in previous year: Rs. 82 were incorrectly shown.

STATEMENT No. XVII.—*Return showing what portion of the amounts decreed in favor of the Court Wards was realized or remitted during the revenue year* 1890-91, *and also the sums outstanding on the* 1st *October* 1890—(continued).

District.	Estate.	Balance.			Remitted.			Net Total.			Analysis of balance.		
		Principal.	Interest.	Costs.	Principal.	Interest.	Costs.	Principal.	Interest.	Costs.	Recoverable.	Irrecoverable	Doubtful.
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
MUZAFFARNAGAR.	Bidauli ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Bhandura ...	350 0 0	117 5 0	834 8 0	150 0 0	53 7 0	676 15 0	200 0 0	63 14 0	157 9 0	421 7 0	...	...
MEERUT ...	Ram Saran Das ...	104 12 0	49 4 0	25 14 0	...	...	...	104 12 0	49 4 0	25 14 0	179 14 0	...	...
	Murari Lal ...	4,571 13 11	144 7 3	81 5 3	...	...	...	4,571 13 11	144 7 3	81 5 3	4,554 14 2	242 12 3	...
MUTTRA ...	Parshadi Lal ...	46 0 0	2 11 0	18 13 0	...	...	...	46 0 0	2 11 0	18 13 0	67 8 0	...	...
MAINPURI ...	Arjunpur ...	623 0 9	193 6 0	144 11 0	...	...	...	623 0 9	193 6 0	144 11 0	961 1 9	...	...
	Sujrai Karauli ...	...	...	745 9 3	...	...	...	...	...	745 9 3	745 9 3	...	...
ETÁWAH ...	Harchandpur ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Roshangpur ...	736 10 11	103 15 0	709 12 0	...	...	...	736 10 11	103 15 0	709 12 0	1,550 5 11	...	...
ETAH ...	Nagla Kisi ...	248 0 0	30 15 4	34 13 6	...	...	...	248 0 0	30 15 4	34 13 6	172 11 6	141 1 4	...
	Nagla Amín ...	9 0 0	2 0 3	9 6 0	...	...	...	9 0 0	2 0 3	9 6 0	20 6 3	...	...
BAREILLY ...	Bagam Masbúk Mahal	1,817 6 0	...	34 1 6	...	...	...	1,817 6 0	...	34 1 6	1,851 7 6	...	...
BIJNOR ...	Sahanpur ...	150 2 3	8 1 4	60 5 11	...	...	...	150 2 3	8 1 4	60 5 11	155 1 6	63 8 0	...
	Musammat Anandi ...	...	...	229 2 4	...	...	...	...	...	229 2 4	229 2 4	...	...
MORADABAD ...	Anand Sarup and Jagdish Sarup.	367 11 4	37 0 9	58 10 1	...	...	...	367 11 4	37 0 9	58 10 1	463 6 2	...	...
	Rámpur ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
SHÁJAHÁNPUR	Azizganj ...	931 15 6	38 15 6	87 6 8	...	...	...	931 15 6	38 15 6	87 6 8	1,018 1 5	40 4 3	...
	Bamrauli ...	...	...	2 11 0	...	...	...	...	...	2 11 0	2 11 0	...	...
	Khameria ...	62 11 0	22 5 0	15 5 0	...	...	...	62 11 0	22 5 0	15 5 0	100 5 0	...	...
	Bhatpura Rasúlpura...	202 1 11	41 0 0	32 2 0	...	...	...	202 1 11	41 0 0	32 2 0	275 3 11	...	...
	Khiriya Rasúlpur ...	...	...	90 1 4	...	...	...	...	...	90 1 4	90 1 4	...	...
FATEHPUR ...	Arámpur Bushi ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
ALLAHABAD ...	Partab Chand ...	10,626 1 3½	1,144 5 8	1,801 7 0	...	...	...	10,626 1 3½	1,144 5 8	1,801 7 0	10,013 4 7½	3,292 8 2	266 1 2
JAUNPUR ...	Batuk Prasad ...	750 0 0	711 10 5	299 7 6	...	...	...	750 0 0	711 10 5	299 7 6	1,761 1 11	...	...
GHÁZIPUR ...	Anapur ...	15,175 9 11	4,780 14 9	3,029 2 2	...	...	...	15,175 9 11	4,780 14 9	3,029 2 2	22,985 10 10	...	...
	Lakshmi Narain ...	1,104 7 0	518 12 3	158 4 6	...	...	...	1,104 7 0	518 12 3	158 4 6	1,781 7 9	...	...
GORAKHPUR ...	Majhauli ...	2,287 5 4	532 14 11	92 0 5	...	...	...	2,287 5 4	532 14 11	92 0 5	2,912 4 8	...	...
	Dumri ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Narharpur ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
AZAMGARH ...	Brijnandan Das ...	1,193 9 0	32 6 11	642 0 0	...	...	...	1,193 9 0	32 6 11	642 0 0	1,867 15 11	...	...
	Sarái Mír ...	...	...	21 3 0	...	...	...	...	...	21 3 0	21 3 0	...	...
	Total ...	41,358 6 1½	8 512 7 4	9,258 2 5	150 0 0	53 7 0	676 15 0	41,208 6 1½	8,459 0 4	8,591 3 5	54,202 6 8½	3,780 2 0	266 1 2

ORDERS OF GOVERNMENT.

No. $\frac{1947}{I-427}$ OF 1892.

FROM

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

N.-W. PROVINCES AND OUDH,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE BOARD OF REVENUE,

N.-W. PROVINCES AND OUDH.

DATED NAINI TAL, THE 30TH JULY 1892.

SIR,

REVENUE DEPT. I AM directed to acknowledge the receipt, on the 15th instant, of the Board's Report on the Administration of the Court of Wards of the North-Western Provinces.

2. The report deals with 114 estates, of which 103 were under management of the Court throughout the year; four were released, six taken over, and one, the Landhaura estate, was, under the orders of the Civil Court, both taken over and released during the year.

3. Of the Estates that were released the Belaun Estate, which was placed under the Court of Wards by order of the Civil Court during the minority of the proprietor, had been under management for 11 years. During that time the rental demand was increased from Rs. 1,441 to Rs. 1,930, or by 34 per cent., and Rs. 10,000 were invested in Government securities. It is to be regretted that the investment of this sum in the purchase of land in the neighbourhood of the estate was so delayed that it could not be effected before the estate was released on the proprietor coming of age. I am to enquire whether after the release of the estate Aram Singh did complete the purchase. The management of Kunj Behari Lal and Sipahi Lal's estate, which was taken over by the Court of Wards of its own motion during the minority of the two owners, was, owing to the opposition of the other members of the family, less successful. Debts due by the estate amounting to Rs. 2,582 were with difficulty paid off, although debts due to the estate amounting to Rs. 10,821 were recovered; and although nothing was spent on improving the estate, the balance in hand when the estate was released amounted to only Rs. 202: so that during the period of management the expenditure must have been in excess of the normal income of the property. The management of the Rawatpur Estate in the Cawnpore District shows far more satisfactory results. During the 18 years that the estate was managed by the Court of Wards the annual income was increased from Rs. 35,728 to Rs. 61,802 mainly by the

purchase for Rs. 1,06,000 of four villages, and by the expenditure of Rs. 81,365 on improving the estate. The unsuccessful litigation with the Thakurain Baghelin in which the estate was involved was perhaps unavoidable, but is none the less to be regretted. The Sarai Pitha Estate in the Allahabad District has been carefully managed since it was taken over in 1875. The estate was cleared from debt, which, with the interest, amounted to Rs. 84,458 and the rent-roll was increased from Rs. 17,596 to Rs. 20,267.

4. Of the six estates taken under management during the year four belonged to minors and were taken over by the Court of Wards on its own motion; one belonged to a lunatic and was made over by order of the Civil Court; and the sixth belonged to a person who was disqualified on his own application, the Estate being liable for debts amounting to Rs. 4,07,117. The estate of Bhagwat Dyal, a lunatic, seems to be hopelessly involved; the income after payment of the Government demand for revenue and cesses amounts to only Rs. 345, which would seem to be insufficient to meet the cost of management, the maintenance of the proprietor and the interest on the debts which amount to Rs. 3,296. If such prove to be the case, and if there is no prospect of improving the income it would be preferable that so much of the estate should be sold as is necessary to clear the remainder from debt, and in this view an application should be made to the Civil Court under section 14, Act XXXV of 1858.

5. The total income, expenditure and balances of the estates are shown in the following table:—

		Rs.
Cash balance at the close of last year		4,67,952
Receipts during the year		25,78,779
	Total available income ...	30,46,731
Rs.		
* Chargeable to profits, 12,29,383	Total expenditure* ...	22,27,271
Personal and miscellaneous, 9,97,888		
Cash balance at the close of the year		8,19,460

The cash balance at the close of last year differs from that given in column 10 of Statement No. II of last year's report as that statement showed Rs. 67-13-10 and Rs. 454-9-7 as the balances of Ratheri and Kakra, two estates which were released in October 1890, and have not been included in this year's report. The balances also of the Karhai and Sarai Mir Estates, which in last year's report were given as Rs. 312-10-2 and Rs. 849-13-6 respectively, are now shown as Rs. 730-11-3 and Rs. 847-0-3 probably owing to some mistakes in last year's accounts, which should however have been noted and explained. Column 4 of Statement No. IV also includes Rs. 202-2-10, the cash balance of the Dadon Estate which was taken over during the year.

6. The total demand of rent, current and arrears, amounted to Rs. 16,57,317, of which Rs. 14,29,637 were collected, being 38 per cent. of the demand in the case of arrears and 91 per cent. in the case of

the current demand as compared with percentages of 36 and 90 respectively in the previous year and of 42 and 91 in 1888-89. The collections in the Gorakpur Division, though better than in the previous year, are still the worst in the Province; this result is said to be mainly due to untimely rainfall and floods which again made it impossible to bring pressure to bear on the tenantry of the Majhauli Estate. The uncollected balance of the current demand in this estate amounted to no less than Rs. 36,264, or 27 per cent. of the demand. In the previous year the figures were Rs. 23,247 and 17 per cent. It is observed also that in this estate the rental has decreased by Rs. 1,954 much land having fallen out of cultivation owing to the damage done by floods. The loan sanctioned to this estate, which originally amounted to 8 lakhs, was cleared off during the year under review. The surplus of the income over the expenditure will now be very large, and I am to ask that the Board will consider whether some portion of this cannot with advantage be utilised in protecting this valuable estate from the floods which so seriously interfere with the collection of the rental, and which in the previous year, as was remarked by the Collector, reduced the tenantry to the verge of starvation. Except in the Sháhjahánpur District, where the collections were poor, the collections in the Rohilkhand and Meerut Divisions generally were good; elsewhere the deficient collections are mostly ascribed to inferior harvests. There would however appear to be some additional cause for the bad collections in Chet Singh's Estate in the Etah District; the recorded rental is Rs. 2,374, but of the current demand only Rs. 1,422 were collected in the year under review and Rs. 1,322 in the previous year: Rs. 1,306 were remitted as irrecoverable during 1890-91 and Rs. 1,149 since the close of that year. The estate appears to be situated in the deteriorated tract, and if the recorded rents are higher than can possibly be realised, they should be at once reduced.

7. The income from sources other than rent amounted to Rs. 11,46,180. This includes Rs. 89,384 the sale proceeds of Government securities, Rs. 3,98,541 debts recovered, Rs. 3,90,100, the amount of loans contracted, and Rs. 2,68,155 miscellaneous receipts. The debts recovered include three lakhs of rupees temporarily lent to the Benares Municipality by Partab Chand's and Begam Mashuk Mahal's Estates.

8. A sum of Rs. 6,79,080 was paid on account of the Government demand for land revenue which fell at 48 per cent. of the rental receipts, the same figure as in the two previous years. It is noticed that although Rs. 4,435 were collected as the rent of revenue-free land in the Singhpur Estate, the revenue and cesses of the remainder amounted to Rs. 3,481 against collections of Rs. 2,725 only. This estate should not be excluded from revision because a large portion of it is held revenue-free, and the Collector should be instructed to submit proposals. It is stated that proposals are under consideration for reducing the revenue demand in the Baghel Estate, where it was 78 per cent. of the collections. In paragraph 14 there is a list of estates in

which the percentage of land revenue to rental receipts is high, but no mention is made of Chet Singh's estate in the Etah District, where the demand on account of revenue cesses and patwári rate amounts to Rs. 2,453, while the rent collections on account of both current demand and arrears amounted to Rs. 1,613 only. The arrears of revenue due by this estate now amount to Rs. 4,988. Of this sum Rs. 4,611 are held under suspension, and the assessment of the estate has come under revision with other deteriorated villages of Etah. In the review of the Revenue Administration Report the importance of bringing these settlement operations to a conclusion was insisted on.

9. The cost of management amounted to Rs. 1,28,725, or to 6 per cent. of the normal income, as compared with 7 per cent. in the previous year. The causes of the high percentage of the cost of management in certain estates is explained to be either due to the smallness of the estates where it must of necessity be high or to short collections during the year. The special scheme of management was in force throughout the year in the Sháhjahánpur, Allahabad, Gházipur and Gorakhpur Districts, and is spoken of as having been particularly successful in Allahabad. The percentage of cost of special management was highest in the Sháhjahánpur District, being 7·6. The management does not seem to have been satisfactory in that district, the pay of the manager has been reduced, and the district will not in future be shown as being under the special scheme. The miscellaneous expenditure chargeable to profits amounted to Rs. 2,43,709, and includes law expenses, ordinary repairs, and the interest on debts and loans. The balance of the income available for personal expenses and other miscellaneous disbursements amounted to Rs. 18,17,347, of which Rs. 9,97,888 were actually spent. Personal expenditure was less than in the previous year, being Rs. 1,79,415, or 10 per cent. of the net profits, as compared with Rs. 1,83,303, or 12 per cent. Educational charges also show a decrease owing largely to the withdrawal of several wards from the Institute which was finally closed on the 1st of November last. Of the seven wards who were being educated at the Institute, two are now too old for school, one is being taught by a private tutor, two have been sent to the Muhammadan Anglo-Oriental College at Aligarh, and two to the Colvin Institute opened this year at Lucknow for the education of the sons of Talukdárs and others who may be specially admitted. The Lieutenant-Governor regrets that so far it has not been found practicable to send any ward to Babugarh for practical instruction in horse-breeding and agriculture.

10. In paragraph 10 of last year's review the very small outlay on the improvement of the estates managed by the Court of Wards was dwelt upon at some length, and the Lieutenant-Governor expressed a desire that Commissioners would themselves see that this branch of the administration of wards' estates was not neglected. The review was published at too late a date to admit of any results being apparent in the year now under review, and though the expenditure on improvements

rose from Rs. 24,208 to Rs. 36,636, the Lieutenant-Governor considers this expenditure as altogether inadequate. He trusts that proper provision has been made in the budgets for the current year with a view to carrying out his instructions, and he trusts that the Board of Revenue and the Commissioners of Divisions will give this very important matter their unremitting attention. It is unsatisfactory that in the Mashuk Mahal Estate (where there are very large sums funded in Government securities) and in the estates in the Sháhjahánpur District the managers neglected to carry out the improvements that had been suggested to them. Generally speaking the estates in the last mentioned district seem to have suffered from the manager's neglecting his duty, and (it must be added) from the manager's work not having been sufficiently supervised by the Collector. It is noticed that Rs. 16 were expended in usar experiments in the Rawatpur Estate. In the last report of the Director of Land Records and Agriculture the Board will find an account of the apparently successful experiments made under his direction. The Lieutenant-Governor desires that the results of those experiments should be utilised elsewhere, and I am to ask that the Board will select a certain number of estates in which usar land is now lying waste and will attempt to reclaim that land by adopting the measures which were successful at Amramau. The Lieutenant-Governer also desires that more attention should be given to the improvements of the village sites from a sanitary point of view.

11. Sánsiahs were only settled in three estates under the Court of Wards. In Sahanpur and Anapur they seem to have settled down to work, but 44 out of the 45 settled on the Bara Estate absconded, although a great deal of trouble is said to have been taken by the Collector and the Court of Wards' manager to induce them to work.

12. The Lieutenant-Governor is glad to see that the Board have under consideration the question of selling a portion of those estates in which there is no prospect of otherwise clearing them of debt within a reasonable period, but he trusts that during the present year some action will be taken. It is observed that the debt of the Majhauli Estate, which when it was taken under management in 1871 amounted to Rs. 7,55,529, has at last been paid off; the estate is now under the Court of Wards owing to the minority of the proprietor. Rs. 4,06,254 were devoted to the liquidation of debts during the year, but this includes Rs. 3,10,194 paid by the Dadon Estate, for which a fresh consolidated loan was contracted. The debts of all the estates now under the Court, which when superintendence was assumed amounted to Rs. 35,82,739, have been reduced to Rs. 12,03,877.

13. The following statement gives statistics of estates the owners of which have been declared disqualified on their own application under clause *(g)*, section 194, Act XIX of 1873. Nearly all these estates have been taken under management solely with the object of clearing off their liabilities and the policy of Government is to release them as

soon as that object has been attained. It will be convenient if a similar statement be incorporated in future reports:—

List of Estates managed under clause (g) of section 194, *Act XIX of* 1873.

Division.	District.	Name of estate.	Surplus available income, column 27, Statement IV.	Expenditure on improvements, column 10, Statement V.	Expenditure on repayment of debts, columns 12 and 13, Statement V.	Other expenditure not chargeable to profits.	Cash balance in hand at end of year, column 10, Statement II.	Remaining debts due, column 15, Statement II.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
MEERUT ..	Aligarh ...	Dadon ...	3,37,760	...	3,10,194	1,800	25,766	4,31,704
AGRA ...	Mainpuri ...	Arjunpur ...	1,921	...	765	500	656	21,000
		Musammat Jasoda Kuar.	1,309	...	40	835	434	14,256
ROHILKHAND.	Bareilly ...	Ballia ...	3,020	...	2,000	1,020	...	11,142
	Bijnor ...	Sahanpur ...	50,807	4,353	...	36,969	9,485	...
ALLAHABAD.	Cawnpore,	Rawatpur ...	8,960	528	...	8,432	...	...
	Bánda ...	Karhai ...	3,070	....	1,083	480	1,507	637
		Bahuri ...	412	...	363	...	49	1,415
		Sultánpur Husain, &c.	712	...	...	480	232	4,231
		Diya Chakwa ...	1,040	...	673	...	367	4,806
		Singhpur ...	2,815	...	898	580	1,337	18,096
	Allahabad,	Sháhpur ...	4,006	...	3,436	96	474	23,149
		Bara ...	17,377	974	1,884	11,521	2,998	2,34,603
	Jhánsi ...	Chirgaon ...	2,567	10	...	2,500	67	...
	Lalitpur ...	Lalitpur ...	1,442	...	903	150	389	336
BENARES ...	Mirzapur ...	Gopalpur No. I ...	1,164	...	500	387	277	800
	Gházipur ...	Karimuddinpur ...	357	...	...	216	141	...
GORAKHPUR,	Azamgarh...	Sarai Mir ...	8,296	305	4,247	744	2,996	80,754
		Total ...	4,47,035	6,170	3,26,986	66,714	47,165	8,46,929

Sahanpur is free from debt, but is retained under peculiar circumstances which necessitate that course. Rawatpur has been released. The cash balance of Karhai, Rs. 1,507, is more than sufficient to pay off the balance of the debt, Rs. 637. Explanation should have been given of the grounds why Chirgaon and Karimuddinpur have not been restored to their owners. Lalitpur also might, it would seem, have been released at the close of the year.

14. The surplus funds invested in the purchase of property and houses amounted to Rs. 1,79,450, but of this Rs. 1,50,205 were merely transferred from the estate of one brother to that of another. Excluding this the amount so invested was only Rs. 29,245, about the same as in the previous year. The Lieutenant-Governor would have wished to see a greater portion of the large sums which during the year were invested in Government securities utilised in the purchase of landed property. The large investments in Government securities made in the following estates—Begam Mashuk Mahal (40,900), Madhopur (50,000), Sáhanpur (25,000)—seem, without further explanation, to have been open to criticism on this head. Besides these sums, further very large investments have either been made or are contemplated (paragraph 28) in the two former estates. All these estates are shown in Statement No. II to have already large investments in Government securities. No less a sum than Rs. 6,28,000 is held in Government securities by the Court of Wards, of which it is probable that a considerable proportion might be well invested in land. Large cash balances are a dangerous temptation to young men coming into possession of their properties.

15. The large cash balances to the credit of the Begam Mashuk Mahal, Madhopur and Partab Chand Estates at the end of the year are explained in paragraph 28 of the report. Some explanation also seems required why the cash balances of the Kiratpur and Arampur Bashi Estates, which amounted to Rs. 10,576 and Rs. 4,918, were not utilised in paying off the debts of those estates, which amount to Rs. 7,671 and Rs. 6,637 respectively.

16. The statistics of rent litigation for the past four years are compared in the following table :—

	Notices of ejectment.						*Applications for enhancement of rent.*						
Year.	Number issued.	Area in acres.	Contested successfully.	Contested unsuccessfully.	Tenants relinquished holdings.	Tenants in possession at enhanced rates.	Number of applications.	Number of tenants.	Area in acres.	Rent previously paid.	Rent per acre.	Rent decreed.	Rate per acre.
										Rs.	Rs. a. p.	Rs.	Rs. a. p.
1887-88 ...	323	2,080	24	45	177	64	26	62	269	729	2 11 3	1,104	4 1 6
1888-89 ...	351	2,258	34	49	149	104	17	23	318	725	2 4 6	869	2 11 8
1889-90 ...	582	2,229	40	72	241	228	46	70	378	1,340	3 8 8	1,580	4 2 10
1890-91 ...	358	2,738	18	32	198	110	90	97	655	2,325	3 8 8	3,032	4 9 11

Though there was a decrease in the number of notices of ejectment issued there was an increase in the area affected; at the same time the percentage of notices successfully contested was lower than it has been for some years. Though there was a considerable increase in the number of applications for enhancement of rent, the number is very small compared with the number and importance of the estates.

17. The Legal Remembrancer's report deals with the civil litigation of the Court of Wards, but the following statement compares for the last three years the results of the measures taken to realise the amounts decreed in favour of the Court of Wards :—

Year.	Amount decreed.	Amount realised.	Percentage of amount realised to amount decreed.	Amount remitted.	Balance.
1	2	3	4	5	6
	Rs.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
1888-89	1,16,620	15,929	13·6	823	99,868
1889-90	1,21,380	10,184	8·4	36,507	74,689
1890-91	77,155	18,026	23·4	880	58,249

Although the amount realised was small compared with the total amount decreed, it was considerably in excess of the amount decreed during the year; the percentage of the amount due that was realised during the year was much higher than in either of the previous years.

18. The number of benevolent trusts was the same as in the previous year. The receipts amounted to Rs. 36,844 and the expenditure to Rs. 34,642, as compared with Rs. 35,655 and Rs. 36,092 in 1889-90. The closing balance amounted to Rs. 15,070. The income from educational endowments was Rs. 43,479, of which Rs. 43,424 were expended.

Several applications have been received for vesting charitable and educational trusts in the Treasurer of Charitable Endowments under Act VI of 1890. Up to date the number of trusts so vested amounts to seven, including the Fairlawn School, and Rání Mahtab Koer's donation to the Agra Medical School, which are not included in the Board's lists.

19. The Lieutenant-Governor desires that the acknowledgments of Government may be conveyed to Messrs. F. S. Bullock, R. S. Whiteway, J. B. Thomson, E. B. Alexander, W. F. W. Wells, and H. M. Bird for the efficient manner in which they have supervised the management of the estates under their charge. He recognises the serious addition to their work which it implies.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient servant,

J. J. D. LATOUCHE,

Chief Secy. to Govt., N.-W. P. and Oudh.